# Periodic Solutions for $\boldsymbol{p}$-Laplacian Liénard Equation with a Deviating Argument 

Wing-Sum Cheung*<br>Department of Mathematics, The University of Hong Kong<br>Pokfulam, Hong Kong<br>wscheung@hkucc.hku.hk<br>and<br>Jingli $\operatorname{Ren}^{\dagger}$<br>Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University<br>Zhengzhou, Henan 450052, P.R. China<br>ren_jingli@yahoo.com


#### Abstract

By employing Mawhin's continuation theorem, the existence of periodic solutions of the $p$-Laplacian Liénard equation with a deviating argument


$$
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+g(x(t-\tau(t)))=e(t)
$$

under various assumptions are obtained.
Keywords-periodic solution, Mawhin's continuation theorem, deviating argument.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As it is well known, the existence of periodic solutions for Duffing equation and Liénard equation was extensively studied (see $[1,4-6,9]$ and the references therein). In recent years, the existence of $T$-periodic solutions to several types of second order scalar differential equations with deviating arguments were studied in [10, 12-14]. For example, In [10], Huang and Xiang studied the following type of Duffing equation with a single constant deviating argument

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(x(t-\tau))=p(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Under a one-sided boundedness condition imposed on $g(x)$ such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)|<R_{0} \quad \text { for }|x|>M \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a signal condition $x g(x)>0$ for $|x|>M$, where $M>0, R_{0}>0$ are constants, the authors obtained a periodic solution for Eq.(1.1). In [14], Ma, Wang and Yu studied delay Duffing equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+m^{2} x(t)+g(x(t-\tau))=p(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

They established several criteria to guarantee the existence of periodic solutions of Eq.(1.3) by assuming

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{x \in R}|g(x)|<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [12], Lu and Ge discussed the existence of periodic solutions for the second order differential equation with multiple deviating arguments

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}(t) g\left(x\left(t-\gamma_{j}(t)\right)\right)=p(t)
$$

In their work, some linear growth condition imposed on $g(x)$ such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x|}=r \in[0,+\infty) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

was required.
In this paper, we study the existence of periodic solutions for a $p$-Laplacian Liénard equation with a deviating argument

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+g(x(t-\tau(t)))=e(t) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>1$ is a constant, $\varphi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varphi_{p}(u)=|u|^{p-2} u, f, g, e, \tau \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, e, $\tau$ are periodic with period $T$, and $\int_{0}^{T} e(s) d s=0$. By using the time maps and the phase plane analysis, some researchers discussed the existence of periodic solutions to Eq.(1.6) for $\tau(t) \equiv 0$ in $[2,3,7,11,15]$. But the corresponding problem of Eq.(1.6) for $p \neq 2$ and $\tau(t) \not \equiv 0$, as far as we know, has not been studied. The purpose of this paper is to establish some criteria to guarantee the existence of $T$-periodic solutions to Eq.(1.6). The methods used to estimate a priori bound of periodic solutions are different from the corresponding ones in [10, 12-14]. Furthermore, the significance of this paper is that Theorem 3.1 does not require any one-sided growth condition on $g$ but instead, it is related to the deviating argument $\tau(t)$, and the one-sided growth condition imposed on $g(x)$ in Theorem 3.2 is weaker than the corresponding ones, namely, (1.2), (1.4) and (1.5) in [10], [14] and [12].

## 2. MAIN LEMMAS

First, we recall Mawhin's continuation theorem which our study is based upon.
Let $X$ and $Y$ be real Banach Spaces and let $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm operator with index zero, here $D(L)$ denotes the domain of $L$. This means that $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Y$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{dim}(Y / \operatorname{Im} L)<+\infty$. Consider the supplementary subspaces $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ such that $X=\operatorname{Ker} L \oplus X_{1}$ and $Y=\operatorname{Im} L \oplus Y_{1}$ and let $P: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y_{1}$ be the natural projections. Clearly, Ker $L \cap\left(D(L) \cap X_{1}\right)=\{0\}$, thus the restriction $L_{P}:=\left.L\right|_{D(L) \cap X_{1}}$ is invertible. Denote by $K$ the inverse of $L_{P}$.

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $X$ with $D(L) \cap \Omega \neq \phi$. A map $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $L$ - compact in $\bar{\Omega}$, if $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and the operator $K(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact.

LEMMA 2.1[8] Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are two Banach spaces, and $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Furthermore, $\Omega \subset X$ is an open bounded set and $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is $L$ - compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. If
(1) $L x \neq \lambda N x, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap D(L), \lambda \in(0,1)$;
(2) $N x \notin \operatorname{Im} L, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$; and
(3) $\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0$, where $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ is an isomorphism, then the equation $L x=N x$ has a solution in $\bar{\Omega} \bigcap D(L)$.

The following Lemma is important for us to estimate a priori bound of periodic solutions in Section 3.

LEMMA 2.2[12] Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq T$ be a constant, $s \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be periodic with period $T$, and $\max _{t \in[0, T]}|s(t)| \leq \alpha$. Then for any $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ which is periodic with period $T$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)-u(t-s(t))|^{2} d t \leq 2 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t
$$

In order to use Mawhin's continuation theorem to study the existence of $T$-periodic solutions for Eq.(1.6), we rewrite Eq.(1.6) in the following form

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t) & =\varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)=\left|x_{2}(t)\right|^{q-2} x_{2}(t)  \tag{2.1}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t) & =-g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)-f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) \varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)+e(t)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $q>1$ is a constant with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Clearly, if $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{\top}$ is a $T$-periodic solution to Eqs.(2.1), then $x_{1}(t)$ must be a $T$-periodic solution to Eq.(1.6). Thus, the problem of finding a $T$-periodic solution for Eq. (1.6) reduces to finding one for Eq. (2.1).

Now, we set $C_{T}=\{\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}): \phi(t+T) \equiv \phi(t)\}$ with norm $|\phi|_{0}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|\phi(t)|, X=Y=$ $\left\{x=\left(x_{1}(\cdot), x_{2}(\cdot)\right) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right): x(t) \equiv x(t+T)\right\}$ with norm $\|x\|=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|_{0},\left|x_{2}\right|_{0}\right\}$. Clearly, $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces. Meanwhile, let

$$
L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y, \quad L x=x^{\prime}=\binom{x_{1}^{\prime}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}
$$

$$
N: X \rightarrow Y, \quad N x=\binom{\varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}\right)}{-g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)-f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) \varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)+e(t) .}
$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Ker} L=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, $\operatorname{Im} L=\left\{y \in Y: \int_{0}^{T} y(s) d s=0\right\}$. So $L$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Let $P: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be defined by

$$
P x=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} x(s) d s ; \quad Q y=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} y(s) d s
$$

and let $K$ denote the inverse of $\left.L\right|_{\operatorname{Ker} P \cap D(L)}$. Obviously, $\operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{Im} Q=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K y](t)=\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) y(s) d s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{s}{T}, & 0 \leq s<t \leq T \\ \frac{s-T}{T}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T\end{cases}
$$

From (2.2), one can easily see that $N$ is $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$, where $\Omega$ is an open, bounded subset of $X$.

For the sake of convenience, we list the following assumptions which will be used for us to study the existence of $T$-periodic solutions to Eq.(1.6) in Section 3.
[ $H_{1}$ ] There exists an integer $m$ such that $\delta:=|\tau(t)-m T|_{0} \leq T$.
$\left[H_{2}\right]$ There is a constant $d>0$ such that $u g(u)$ does not change sign for $|u|>d$.
[ $H_{3}$ ] There is a constant $l>0$ such that

$$
\left|g\left(u_{1}\right)-g\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \leq l\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right| \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

$\left[H_{4}\right]$ There is a constant $r \in[0,+\infty)$ such that $\lim _{u \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{|g(u)|}{|u|^{p-1}}=r$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 3.1 If $\left[H_{1}\right]-\left[H_{3}\right]$ hold, and there is a constant $\sigma>0$ such that $|f(s)| \geq \sigma$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then Eq.(1.6) has at least one $T$-periodic solution if $2^{1 / 2} l \delta<\sigma$.

Proof: Consider the following operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=\lambda N x, \lambda \in(0,1) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{1}=\{x \in X: L x=\lambda N x, \lambda \in(0,1)\}$. If $x(t)=\binom{x_{1}(t)}{x_{2}(t)} \in \Omega_{1}$, then from (3.1)we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t) & =\lambda \varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)=\lambda\left|x_{2}(t)\right|^{q-2} x_{2}(t)  \tag{3.2}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t) & =-\lambda g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)-\lambda f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) \varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)+\lambda e(t)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

From the first equation of (3.2), we have $x_{2}(t)=\varphi_{p}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)$, which together with the second equation of (3.2) yields

$$
\left[\varphi_{p}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)=\lambda e(t)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\lambda^{p-1} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{p} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)=\lambda^{p} e(t), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of (3.3) over $[0, T]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) d t=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So there is a constant $\xi \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
g\left(x_{1}(\xi-\tau(\xi))\right)=0 .
$$

From assumption $\left[H_{2}\right]$, we see $\left|x_{1}(\xi-\tau(\xi))\right| \leq d$. Write $\xi-\tau(\xi)=k T+t_{0}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t_{0} \in[0, T)$. Thus $\left|x_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|=\left|x_{1}(\xi-\tau(\xi))\right| \leq d$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq d+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of Eq.(3.3) by $x_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ and integrating over $[0, T]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t+\lambda^{p-1} \int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)\left[x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2} d t+\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t \\
= & \lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

If we write $w(t)=\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{q}(w(t)) d w(t)=0
$$

which together with (3.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)\left[x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2} d t\right|<\left|\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from condition $|f(s)| \geq \sigma$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|f| \geq \sigma$ everywhere, we see that

$$
\sigma \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)\right|\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t=\left|\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)\left[x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2} d t\right|
$$

So we have from (3.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq\left|\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t) d t=0$, we find from (3.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\leq & \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left[g\left(x_{1}(t)\right)-g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right] x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t)\right)-g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right|\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|e(t)|\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
\leq & l \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|\left|x_{1}(t)-x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|e(t)|\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
\leq & l\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t)-x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+ \\
& \left(\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
= & l\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t)-x_{1}(t-\tau(t)+m T)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+ \\
& \left(\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t)-x_{1}(t-\tau(t)+m T)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 2^{1 / 2} \delta\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Substituting this into (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\leq & 2^{1 / 2} l \delta \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\left(\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{\left(\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sigma-2^{1 / 2} l \delta}=: R_{0}
$$

It follows from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq d+T^{1 / 2} R_{0}=: M_{1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the first equation of (3.2), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{2}(s)\right|^{q-2} x_{2}(s) d s=0
$$

which implies that there is a constant $t_{2} \in[0, T]$ such that $x_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. So

$$
\left|x_{2}\right|_{0} \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s
$$

Then by the second equation of (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s & \leq \lambda g_{M_{1}} T+\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|f\left(x_{1}(s)\right) \| x_{2}(s)\right|^{q-1} d s+\lambda|e|_{1} \\
& =\lambda g_{M_{1}} T+\int_{0}^{T}\left|f\left(x_{1}(s)\right) \| x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\lambda|e|_{1} \\
& \leq g_{M_{1}} T+|f|_{0} T^{1 / 2} R_{0}+|e|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{M_{1}}=\max _{|s| \leq M_{1}}|g(s)|$ and $|e|_{1}=\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)| d s$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{2}\right|_{0} \leq g_{M_{1}} T+|f|_{0} T^{1 / 2} R_{0}+|e|_{1}=: M_{2} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{2}=\{x \in \operatorname{Ker} L: N x \in \operatorname{Im} L\}$. If $x \in \Omega_{2}$, then $x \in \operatorname{Ker} L$ and $Q N x=0$. By the assumption on $e$, we see that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|x_{2}\right|^{q-2} x_{2}=0 \\
g\left(x_{1}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

So

$$
\left|x_{1}\right| \leq d \leq M_{1}, \quad x_{2}=0 \leq M_{2}
$$

Let $\Omega=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\top} \in X:\left|x_{1}\right|_{0}<N_{1},\left|x_{2}\right|_{0}<N_{2}\right\}$, where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are constants with $N_{1}>M_{1}, N_{2}>M_{2}$ and $\left(N_{2}\right)^{q}>d g_{d}$, where $g_{d}=\max _{|u| \leq d}|g(u)|$. Then $\bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega, \bar{\Omega}_{2} \subset \Omega$. From (3.10), (3.11) and the above, it is easy to see that conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied.

Next, we claim that condition (3) of Lemma 2.1 is also satisfied. For this, define the isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow K e r L$ by

$$
J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right), & \text { if } u g(u)<0 \text { for }|u|>d \\ \left(-x_{2}, x_{1}\right), & \text { if } u g(u)>0 \text { for }|u|>d\end{cases}
$$

and let $H(v, \mu):=\mu v+\frac{1-\mu}{T} J Q N v, \quad(v, \mu) \in \Omega \times[0,1]$. By simple calculation, we obtain, for $(x, \mu) \in \partial(\Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L) \times[0,1]$,

$$
x^{\top} H(x, \mu)= \begin{cases}\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1-\mu}{T}\left(-x_{1} g\left(x_{1}\right)+\left|x_{2}\right|^{q}\right)>0, & \text { if } u g(u)<0 \text { for }|u|>d, \\ \mu\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1-\mu}{T}\left(x_{1} g\left(x_{1}\right)+\left|x_{2}\right|^{q}\right)>0, & \text { if } u g(u)>0 \text { for }|u|>d\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\}=\operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 0), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
= & \operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 1), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\}=\operatorname{deg}\{I, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
\neq & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and so condition (3) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.
Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that equation

$$
L x=N x
$$

has a solution $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{\top}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, i.e., Eq.(1.6) has a $T$-periodic solution $x_{1}(t)$ with $\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq M_{1}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Observe that if $\tau(t) \equiv k T$, where $k$ is an integer, then we may take $m=k$ and $\delta=0$, and so
in this case assumption $\left[H_{3}\right]$ in Theorem 3.1 can be removed. In fact, from (3.8) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\leq & \left|\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-k T)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
\leq & \left(\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{\left(\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sigma}
$$

So

$$
\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq d+T^{1 / 2} \frac{\left(\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sigma}
$$

Therefore, we have
COROLLARY 3.1 Suppose $\left[H_{2}\right]$ holds and there is a constant $\sigma>0$ such that $|f(s)| \geq \sigma$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, assume $\tau(t) \equiv k T$, where $k$ is an integer. Then Eq.(1.6) has at least one $T$-periodic solution.

THEOREM 3.2 If $\left[H_{2}\right]$ and $\left[H_{4}\right]$ hold, then Eq.(1.6) has at least one $T$-periodic solution if $2 r T^{p}<1$.

PROOF: Let $\Omega_{1}$ be defined as in Theorem 3.1. If $x(t)=\binom{x_{1}(t)}{x_{2}(t)} \in \Omega_{1}$, then from the proof of Theorem 3.1 we see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\lambda^{p-1} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{p} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)=\lambda^{p} e(t)}  \tag{3.12}\\
\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) d t=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq d+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(3.12) by $x_{1}(t)$ and integrating over $[0, T]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime} x_{1}(t) d t+\lambda^{p-1} \int_{0}^{T} x_{1}(t) f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t+\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) x_{1}(t) d t \\
= & \lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} x_{1}(t) e(t) d t \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $\int_{0}^{T} x_{1}(t) f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t=0$ and $\int_{0}^{T}\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime} x_{1}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t$, it follows from (3.15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t & <\int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right|\left|x_{1}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t) \| e(t)\right| d t  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t+\left|x_{1}\right|_{0}|e|_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|e|_{1}=\int_{0}^{T}|e(s)| d s$. From $2 r T^{p}<1$, we easily see that there is a constant $\varepsilon>0$ (independent of $\lambda$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 T^{p}(r+\varepsilon)<1 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such a constant $\varepsilon>0$, we have from assumption $\left[H_{4}\right]$ that there is a constant $\rho>d$ (independent of $\lambda$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(u)| \leq(r+\varepsilon)|u|^{p-1}, \text { for } u<-\rho . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E_{1}=\left\{t \in[0, T]:\left|x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right| \leq \rho\right\}, E_{2}=\left\{t \in[0, T]: x_{1}(t-\tau(t))>\rho\right\}$ and $E_{3}=\{t \in[0, T]:$ $\left.x_{1}(t-\tau(t))<-\rho\right\}$. From (3.13), we have

$$
\left(\int_{E_{1}}+\int_{E_{2}}+\int_{E_{3}}\right) g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) d t=0
$$

It follows from assumption $\left[H_{2}\right]$ that
$\int_{E_{2}}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t=\left|\int_{E_{2}} g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right) d t\right| \leq \int_{E_{1}}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t+\int_{E_{3}}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t$, and then by (3.18), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t \\
= & \left(\int_{E_{1}}+\int_{E_{2}}+\int_{E_{3}}\right)\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t \\
\leq & 2 \int_{E_{1}}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t+2 \int_{E_{3}}\left|g\left(x_{1}(t-\tau(t))\right)\right| d t \\
\leq & 2 g_{\rho} T+2(r+\varepsilon) T\left|x_{1}\right|_{0}^{p-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{\rho}=\max _{|s| \leq \rho}|g(s)|$. Substituting (3.19) and (3.14) into (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
\leq & 2(r+\varepsilon) T\left|x_{1}\right|_{0}^{p}+\left[2 g_{\rho} T+|e|_{1}\right]\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \\
\leq & 2(r+\varepsilon) T\left(d+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{p}+\left(2 g_{\rho} T+|e|_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\left(2 g_{\rho} T+|e|_{1}\right) d . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that there exists a constant $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq M_{1} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. If $\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s=0$, then by (3.14), $\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq d$.
Case 2. If $\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right]^{p}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{p}\left[1+\frac{d}{\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s}\right]^{p} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From elementary analysis, there is a constant $h>0$ (independent of $\lambda$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+x)^{p}<1+(1+p) x \quad \forall x \in(0, h] . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\frac{d}{\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s} \geq h$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq d / h
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq d+d / h \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\frac{d}{\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s}<h$, then from (3.22) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[d+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right]^{p} } \\
\leq & \left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{p}\left[1+\frac{(p+1) d}{\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s}\right] \\
= & \left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{p}+(p+1) d\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{p-1} \\
\leq & T^{p / q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s+(p+1) d T^{(p-1) / q}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into (3.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
\leq & 2(r+\varepsilon) T^{1+p / q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s+2(r+\varepsilon)(p+1) d T^{(p+q-1) / q}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / q}+ \\
& {\left[2 g_{\rho} T+|e|_{1}\right] T^{1 / q}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / p}+\left[2 g_{\rho} T+|e|_{1}\right] d } \\
= & 2(r+\varepsilon) T^{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s+2(r+\varepsilon)(p+1) d T^{(p+q-1) / q}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / q}+ \\
& {\left[2 g_{\rho} T+|e|_{1}\right] T^{1 / q}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / p}+\left[2 g_{\rho} T+|e|_{1}\right] d . }
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of $1 / q<1,1 / p<1$ and $2(r+\varepsilon) T^{p}<1$, it follows that there is a constant $M_{0}>0$ (independent of $\lambda$ ) such that $\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t \leq M_{0}$, which together with (3.14) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{0} \leq d+T^{1 / q}\left(M_{0}\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the claim and the rest of the proof of the theorem is identical to that of Theorem 3.1.
REMARK 3.1 From the proof of Theorem 3.2, it is easy to see that if assumption $\left[H_{4}\right]$ is replaced by

$$
\left[H_{4}^{\prime}\right] \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{|g(u)|}{\left|u^{p-1}\right|}=r \in[0,+\infty)
$$

the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 is still true.
REMARK 3.2 The one-sided linear growth condition $\left[H_{4}\right]$ imposed on $g(x)$ in Theorem 3.2 is weaker than the corresponding ones in [12] and [14].

Example 3.1. Let us consider the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+g(x(t-\sin t))=\cos t \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary continuous function,

$$
g(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{x}{8 \pi^{2}+1}, & x<0 \\ x \exp x^{3}, & x \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

Corresponding to Eq.(1.6), we have $p=2, T=2 \pi$ and $r=\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x|^{p-1}}=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}+1}$. Since $2 T^{p} r=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{1+8 \pi^{2}}<1$, by Theorem 3.2, we conclude that Eq.(3.26) has at least one $2 \pi$-periodic solution.

Remark 3.3: In example 3.1, one can easily see that $g(x)$ does not satisfy conditions (1.2), (1.4) or (1.5). So the above result cannot be obtained by [10, 12, 14].

Example 3.2. Let us consider the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\left(1+x^{2}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)+x(t-\theta \cos t)=\sin t \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>1$ is an arbitrary constant, and $\theta \in(0,1)$ is a parameter. Corresponding to Eq.(1.6), we have $T=2 \pi, f(x)=1+x^{2}, g(x)=x$ and $\tau(t)=\theta \cos t$. So we can choose $\sigma=1, \delta=\theta, d=L=1$ such that assumptions $\left[H_{1}\right]-\left[H_{3}\right]$ hold. If $\theta \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$, then $\sqrt{2} L \delta<\sigma$. By using Theorem 3.1, Eq.(1.6) has a $2 \pi$-periodic solution.
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