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#### Abstract

This is a continuation of an earlier work of Cheung-Pečarić. By using the $C$-technique developed by Cheung and Pečarić, some new and interesting Hardy-type inequalities involving vector-valued functions are established. These generalize and imporve some known results by Cheung, Cheung-Hanjš-Pečarić, Izumi-Izumi, and Pachpatte.


## 1. Introduction

One of the classical and important inequalities of G.H. Hardy is the following integral inequality [7, Theorem 330]:

If $p>1, m \neq 1, f(x)$ is non-negative measurable on $(0, \infty)$, and

$$
F(x)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t & \text { for } m>1,  \tag{A}\\ \int_{x}^{\infty} f(t) d t & \text { for } m<1,\end{cases}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-m} F(x)^{p} d x<\left(\frac{p}{|m-1|}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-m+p} f(x)^{p} d x \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

unless $f \equiv 0$, where the constant on the right is best possible.
Because of its fundamental importance in the discipline, over the years much effort and time have been devoted to the improvement and generalizations of Hardy's inequality (B). These include, among others, the works by Cheung [1], Cheung-Hanjš-Pečarić [3], Isumi-Isumi [8], Levinson [9], Love [10], Pachpatte [14], and Pachpatte-Love [15]. Recently, Hanjš, Love and Pečarić [6] adopted a function more general than $F(x)$ in (A) and established some new and interesting Hardy-type integral inequalities. In this paper, using the $C$-technique developed by Cheung and Pečarić (see, e.g. [1-4]), by adopting also a function similar to that in [6] and using techniques parallel to those in Cheung-Pečarić [5], we obtain some new Hardy-type inequalities which generalize and imporve some existing results of Cheung [1], Cheung-Hanjš-Pečarić [3], Isumi-Isumi [8] and Pachpatte [14].

## 2. Main Results

We follow the notations used in [5], namely, $\mathbb{R}_{+}=(0, \infty), X \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$a fixed number, $n \geq 1$ an integer, and $i, j$ are indices running from 1 to $n$. Also, as all summations and products that will appear are taken over $i, j$ from 1 to $n$, we shall drop the limits and denote these simply by $\sum_{i}, \sum_{j}, \prod_{i}, \prod_{j}$, etc.

Theorem 1. Let $m>1, p \geq 1$, and $q \geq 0$. Let $s(x), w(x)$ and $z(x)$ be absolutely continuous and positive a.e. on $[0, X]$, with $z^{\prime}(x)$ essentially bounded and positive. If $f(x)$ is nonnegative and integrable on $[0, X]$,

$$
F(x):=\frac{1}{s(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x} \frac{s(t) z^{\prime}(t)}{z(t)} f(t) d t \quad \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq X
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{m-1} \frac{z(x)}{z^{\prime}(x)}\left((p+q) \frac{s^{\prime}(x)}{s(x)}-\frac{w^{\prime}(x)}{w(x)}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}>0 \quad \text { a.e. }, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)^{m}} F(x)^{p+q} d x \leq\left[\frac{\alpha(p+q)}{m-1}\right]^{p} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)^{m}} F^{q}(x) \tilde{f}(x)^{p} d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}(x) & :=\frac{z(x)}{z^{\prime}(x) s(x)}|\Delta(x)| \\
\Delta(x) & :=\frac{s(x) z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)} f(x)-\frac{s\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{2 z\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (i) By arguments similar to those in the proof of (i) of Theorem 1 in $[6], F(x)$ is absolutely continuous. So the whole integrand in the left hand side of (2) is bounded, and the integral on the left hand side of $(2)$ is convergent.
(ii) Again by arguments similar to those in the proof of (ii) of Theorem 1 in [6], the following integration by parts is valid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{X} w(x) F(x)^{p+q}(1-m) \frac{z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)^{m}} d x=\left[w(x) F(x)^{p+q} z(x)^{1-m}\right]_{x=0}^{x=X} \\
& -\int_{0}^{X} z(x)^{1-m}\left[w^{\prime}(x) F(x)^{p+q}+w(x)(p+q) F(x)^{p+q-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& (m-1) \int_{0}^{X} \frac{z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)^{m}} w(x) F^{p+q}(x) d x+z(X)^{1-m} w(X) F(X)^{p+q} \\
= & \int_{0}^{X} z(x)^{1-m}\left[w^{\prime}(x) F(x)^{p+q}+w(x)(p+q) F(x)^{p+q-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right] d x \\
= & \int_{0}^{X} z(x)^{1-m}\left\{w^{\prime}(x) F(x)^{p+q}+w(x)(p+q) F(x)^{p+q-1}\left[-\frac{s^{\prime}(x)}{s(x)} F(x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{s(x)}\left(\frac{s(x) z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)} f(x)-\frac{s\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{2 z\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right)\right]\right\} d x  \tag{4}\\
= & \int_{0}^{X}\left\{\frac{z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)^{m}} w(x)\left[\frac{w^{\prime}(x)}{w(x)} \frac{z(x)}{z^{\prime}(x)}-(p+q) \frac{s^{\prime}(x)}{s(x)} \frac{z(x)}{z^{\prime}(x)}\right] F(x)^{p+q}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{z(x)^{1-m}}{s(x)} w(x)(p+q)\left(\frac{s(x) z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)} f(x)-\frac{s\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{2 z\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) F(x)^{p+q-1}\right\} d x
\end{align*}
$$

We note that

$$
\frac{z(x)^{1-m}}{s(x)} w(x)\left[\frac{s(x) z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)} f(x)-\frac{s\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{2 z\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right] F(x)^{p+q-1}
$$

is integrable. In fact, by the proof of (i) of Theorem 1 in $[6], \frac{s(x) z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)} f(x)$ is integrable, so the same is true for $\frac{s\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{2 z\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$ and $\Delta(x)$, while the other factors in the item including $z(x)^{1-m}$ are absolutely continuous.

Now, by additivity and using condition (1), (4) can be restated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{X} \bar{w}(x) F(x)^{p+q} d x \leq \frac{p+q}{m-1} \int_{0}^{X} \bar{w}(x) F(x)^{p+q-1} \tilde{f}(x) d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{w}(x)=\frac{z^{\prime}(x)}{z(x)^{m}} w(x)$ and $\tilde{f}(x)$ is defined as in (3).

From (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{X} \bar{w}(x) F(x)^{p+q} d x & \leq \frac{p+q}{m-1} \int_{0}^{X}\left(\bar{w}(x)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} F(x)^{p+q-1-\frac{q}{p}}\right) \cdot\left(\bar{w}(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} F(x)^{\frac{q}{p}} \tilde{f}(x)\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{p+q}{m-1}\left(\int_{0}^{X} \bar{w}(x) F(x)^{p+q} d x\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{X} \bar{w}(x) F^{q}(x) \tilde{f}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Hölder's inequality, and Theorem 1 follows.
Theorem 2. For any $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $w, s_{i}, z_{i}:[0, X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be absolutely continuous with $z_{i}^{\prime}$ essentially bounded and positive a.e., and $k_{i}>1, p_{i} \geq q_{i}>0, r_{i} \geq 0, \alpha_{i}>0$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{i} q_{i}=1$ and

$$
1+\frac{1}{k_{i}-1} \frac{z_{i}(x)}{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}\left[\left(\frac{p_{i}+r_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{s_{i}(x)}-\frac{w^{\prime}(x)}{w(x)}\right] \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{i}}>0 \quad \text { a.e. . }
$$

If for any $i=1, \ldots, n, f_{i}$ is integrable and nonnegative and

$$
F_{i}(x):=\frac{1}{s_{i}(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x} \frac{s_{i}(t) z_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{z_{i}(t)} f_{i}(t) d t, \quad 0 \leq x \leq X,
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \prod_{i}\left[\left(\frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}}\right)^{q_{i}} F_{i}(x)^{p_{i}+r_{i}}\right] d x \\
\leq & \left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) \sum_{i} q_{i} C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{i}\left(p_{i}+r_{i}\right)}{q_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}\right]^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{r_{i}}{q_{i}}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_{i}(x) & =\frac{z_{i}(x)}{z_{i}^{\prime}(x) s_{i}(x)}\left|\Delta_{i}(x)\right| \\
\Delta_{i}(x) & =\frac{s_{i}(x) z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)} f_{i}(x)-\frac{s_{i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) z_{i}^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{2 z_{i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} f_{i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F(x)^{\frac{p_{i}+r_{i}}{q_{i}}} d x \leq\left[\frac{\alpha_{i}\left(p_{i}+r_{i}\right)}{q_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}\right]^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{r_{i}}{q_{i}}} f_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}(x) d x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. On the other hand, for any $C_{i}>0$, by the arithmetic-geometric inequality [7, 11-13], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(x) \prod_{i}\left[\left(\frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}}\right)^{q_{i}} F_{i}(x)^{p_{i}+r_{i}}\right]=w(x) \prod_{i}\left\{\left[C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}+r_{i}}{q_{i}}}\right]^{q_{i}} C_{i}^{-p_{i}}\right\} \\
& =\left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) w(x) \prod_{i}\left[C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}+r_{i}}{q_{i}}}\right]^{q_{i}} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) w(x) \sum_{i} q_{i} C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}+r_{i}}{q_{i}}} . \\
& 5
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \prod_{i}\left[\left(\frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}}\right)^{q_{i}} F_{i}(x)^{p_{i}+r_{i}}\right] d x \leq\left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) \sum_{i} q_{i} C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}+r_{i}}{q_{i}}} d x \\
\leq & \left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) \sum_{i}\left(q_{i} C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\right)\left[\frac{\alpha_{i}\left(p_{i}+r_{i}\right)}{q_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}\right]^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{r_{i}}{q_{i}}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. For any $i=1, \ldots$, n, let $s_{i}:[0, X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be absolutely continuous and positive a.e., and $p_{i} \geq q_{i}>0, m_{i}>q_{i}$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{i} q_{i}=1$ and

$$
1+\frac{p_{i}}{m_{i}-q_{i}} \frac{x s_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{s_{i}(x)} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{i}}>0 \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

If for any $i=1, \ldots, n, f_{i}$ is integrable and nonnegative and

$$
\tilde{F}_{i}(x):=\frac{1}{s_{i}(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x} \frac{s_{i}(t)}{t} f_{i}(t) d t, \quad 0 \leq x \leq X
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{x} x^{-\sum_{i} m_{i}} \prod_{i}\left(\tilde{F}_{i}(x)^{p_{i}}\right) d x \\
\leq & \left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) \sum_{i} q_{i} C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\left[\frac{p_{i} \alpha_{i}}{m_{i}-q_{i}}\right]^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \int_{0}^{X} x^{-\frac{m_{i}}{q_{i}}} \tilde{f}_{i}^{*}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} d x \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{f}_{i}^{*}(x)=\frac{1}{s_{i}(x)}\left|s_{i}(x) f_{i}(x)-s_{i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) f_{i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right|
$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2 by setting $w(x) \equiv 1, z_{i}(x)=x, k_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{q_{i}}$, and $r_{i}=0$ for all $i$.

REMARK 1. If we rename $f_{i}(x)$ as $g_{i}(x) f_{i}(x)^{\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}}$ and $s_{i}(x)$ as $f_{i}(x)^{\alpha_{i}},(7)$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{X} x^{-\sum_{i} m_{i}} \prod_{i}\left(\mu_{i}(x)^{p_{i}}\right) d x \\
\leq & \left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) \sum_{i} q_{i} C_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\left[\frac{p_{i} \alpha_{i}}{m_{i}-q_{i}}\right]^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \int_{0}^{X} x^{-\frac{m_{i}}{q_{i}}}\left[\frac{1}{f_{i}(x)^{\alpha_{i}}}\left|f_{i}^{\beta_{i}}(x) g_{i}(x)-f_{i}^{\beta_{i}}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) g_{i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right|\right]^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} d x \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

which is exactly Theorem 2.9 in [1], where

$$
\mu_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{f_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x} \frac{f_{i}^{\beta_{i}}(t) g_{i}(t)}{t} d t, \quad 0 \leq x \leq X
$$

Furthermore, if we restrict to $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}=1$ for all $i$, (8) reduces to Theorem 3 in [3]. Note that inequality (8) also generalizes a result of Isumi-Isumi [8, Theorem 2], which only deals with the situation where $n=1$.

Now, we choose specific constants $C_{i}, q_{i}$ etc. to derive two interesting Hardy-type inequalities from Theorem 2 in the following Corollaries.

Corollary 2. Under the same conditions as in Theorem 2,

$$
\int_{0}^{X} w(x) \prod_{i}\left[\left(\frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}}\right)^{q_{i}} F_{i}(x)^{p_{i}+r_{i}}\right] d x \leq C \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{\frac{r_{i}}{q_{i}}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x)^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} d x,
$$

where

$$
C=\prod_{j}\left\{q_{j}^{q_{j}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{j}\left(p_{j}+r_{j}\right)}{q_{j}\left(k_{j}-1\right)}\right]^{p_{j}}\right\}
$$

$F_{i}$ and $\tilde{f}_{i}$ are defined as in Theorem 2.
Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 2 by setting

$$
C_{i}=q_{i}^{-\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{i}\left(p_{i}+r_{i}\right)}{q_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}\right]^{-1}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Corollary 3. For any $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $w, s_{i}, z_{i}:[0, X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be absolutely continuous with $z_{i}^{\prime}$ essentially bounded and positive a.e. and $k_{i}>1, p_{i} \geq \frac{1}{n}, r_{i} \geq 0$ be real numbers such that

$$
1+\frac{1}{k_{i}-1} \frac{z_{i}(x)}{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}\left[n\left(p_{i}+r_{i}\right) \frac{s_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{s_{i}(x)}-\frac{w^{\prime}(x)}{w(x)}\right] \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{i}}>0 \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

If for any $i=1, \ldots, n, f_{i}$ is integrable and nonnegative and

$$
F_{i}(x):=\frac{1}{s_{i}(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x} \frac{s_{i}(t) z_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{z_{i}(t)} f_{i}(t) d t, \quad 0 \leq x \leq X
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \prod_{i}\left[\left(\frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} F_{i}(x)^{p_{i}+r_{i}}\right] d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{n}\left(\prod_{j} C_{j}^{-p_{j}}\right) \sum_{i} C_{i}^{n p_{i}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{i}\left(p_{i}+r_{i}\right) n}{k_{i}-1}\right]^{n p_{i}} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{n r_{i}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x)^{n p_{i}} d x \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for any constants $C_{i}>0$, where $F_{i}$ and $\tilde{f}_{i}$ are defined as in Theorem 2.
Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2 by setting $q_{i}=\frac{1}{n}$ for all $i$.
Remark 2. If we choose $C_{i}=1$ for all $i$, (9) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \prod_{i}\left[\left(\frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} F_{i}(x)^{p_{i}+r_{i}}\right] d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}\left[\frac{\alpha_{i}\left(p_{i}+r_{i}\right) n}{k_{i}-1}\right]^{n p_{i}} \int_{0}^{X} w(x) \frac{z_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{z_{i}(x)^{k_{i}}} F_{i}(x)^{n r_{i}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x)^{n p_{i}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, setting $z_{i}(x)=x, k_{i}=m, w \equiv 1$, and $r_{i}=0$, this reduces to an inequality obtained by Pachpatte in [13, Theorem 6]. Observe, though, that our assumption here are considerably milder. In [14] it was required that $p_{i}>1$ for all $i$, while here all we need is $p_{i} \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i$.

Remark 3. In Theorems 1, 2 and Corollaries 1,2 and 3 , if the hypotheses on $w, s_{i}, z_{i}$ and $z_{i}^{\prime}$ hold locally on $[0, \infty$ ), the assertions are still true with $X$ replaced by $\infty$ (but in this case the improper integrals concerned may not always be convergent).

## Refrences

[1] W.S. Cheung, Some new Hardy-type inequalities, to appear in J. Con. Appl. Math.
[2] W.S. Cheung, Some new Poincaré-type inequalities, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 63 (2001), 321-327.
[3] W.S. Cheung, Ž. Hanjš and J. Pečarić, Some Hardy-type Inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 250 (2000), 621-634.
[4] W.S. Cheung and J. Pečarić, Multi-dimensional integral inequalities of the Wirtinger-type, Math. Ineq. Appl., 1 (1998), 481-489.
[5] W.S. Cheung and J. Pečarić, Some weighted Hardy-type inequalities of vector-valued functions, preprint.
[6] Ž. Hanjš, E.R. Love and J. Pečarić, On some inequalities related to Hardy's integral inequality, Math. Ineq. Appl., 4 (2001), 357-368.
[7] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Polya, Inequalities, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952
[8] M. Izumi and S. Izumi, On some inequalities for Fourier series, J. Anal. Math., 21 (1968), 277-291.
[9] N. Levinson, Generalizations of an inequality of Hardy, Duke Math. J., 31 (1964), 389-394.
[10] E.R. Love, Generalizations of Hardy's integral inequality, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A. 100 (1985), 237-262.
[11] G.V. Milovanović, D.S. Mitrinović and Th. M. Rassias, Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
[12] D.S. Mitrinović, Analytic Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
[13] D.S. Mitrinović, J.E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.
[14] B.G. Pachpatte, On a new class of Hardy type inequalities, Proc. Royal Soc. Edin., 105A (1987), 265-274.
12. B.G. Pachpatte and E.R. Love, On some new integral inequalities related to Hardy's integral inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 149 (1990), 17-25.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Correspondence author. Research is partially supported by the Resarch Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR, China (Project No: HKU7040/03P).
    ${ }^{2}$ The second author was supported in part by NSF of Guangdong Province (No. 011471) and Guangdong Province Education Bureau (No. 0176) of China.

