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#### Abstract

Multiple loci analysis has become popular with the advanced development in biological experiments. A lot of studies have been focused on the biological and the statistical properties of such multiple loci analysis. In this paper, we study one of the important computational problems: solving the probabilities of haplotype classes from a large linear system $A x=b$ derived from the recombination events in multiple loci analysis. Since the size of the recombination matrix $A$ increases exponentially with respect to the number of loci, fast solvers are required to deal with a large number of loci in the analysis. By exploiting the nice structure of the matrix $A$, we develop an efficient recursive algorithm for solving such structured linear systems. In particular, the complexity of the proposed algorithm is of $O(m \log m)$ operations and the memory requirement is of $O(m)$ locations where $m$ is the size of the matrix $A$. Numerical examples are given to demonstrate the effectiveness of our efficient solver.


## 1. Introduction

Linkage analysis is an important tool for the mapping of genetic loci. With the availability of numerous DNA markers throughout the human genome, linkage analysis has demonstrated its usefulness in mapping the mutations responsible for hundreds of Mendelian diseases (Kruglyak and Lander, 1995). The genotype of an individual at loci $X$ and $Y$ is formed by the haplotypes of two gametes $X_{f} Y_{f}$ inherited from the father, and $X_{m} Y_{m}$ inherited from the mother. The haplotype of a gamete produced by the individual consists of a mixture of paternal and maternal alleles. A gamete contains two alleles from the same parental gamete (non-recombinant), i.e., $X_{f} Y_{f}$ or $X_{m} Y_{m}$, or one allele from each parental gamete (recombinant), i.e., $X_{f} Y_{m}$ or $X_{m} Y_{f}$. The recombination fraction between the two loci is defined as the probability that a gamete is recombinant.

[^0]When the number of loci is large, a haplotype almost certainly constitutes a new combination of alleles, different from the parental and the maternal haplotypes (Sham, 1998). If $n$ loci are involved, there are $(n-1)$ intervals between adjacent loci, each of which can either have an even or an odd number of crossovers. This produces $2^{n-1}$ classes of gametic haplotypes, and therefore $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ independent gametic frequencies (the $2^{n-1}$ classes of gametic frequencies must sum up to one). The frequency of a gametic haplotype is equal to the joint probability of the co-occurrence of a set of recombination events. Liberman and Karlin (1984) applied the concept of recombination values to establish the relationship between recombination fractions and haplotype frequencies for $n>3$. The recombination value of a set of intervals (not necessary contiguous), is the probability of an odd number of crossovers occurring in the intervals. Since each of the $(n-1)$ intervals can be included or excluded in a set of intervals, there are $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ sets of intervals and hence $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ recombination values. There is a relationship between these $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ recombination values and the $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ haplotype frequencies as specified by a linear system

$$
\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}
$$

where $A_{n}$ is the $m$-by- $m$ matrix with $m=2^{n-1}$ being equal to the number of haplotype classes, and $\Theta$ and $\Gamma$ are $m$-vectors containing the recombination values and haplotype frequencies respectively. When the number $n$ of loci increases, the size of $A_{n}$ increases exponentially and therefore the cost of solving $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$ is very expensive. Here we will first establish the structure of $A_{n}$ and a recursive formula relating $A_{n+1}$ and $A_{n}$. We then present a recursive solver based on the recursiev formula to solve $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$ efficiently.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some background and basic properties on the matrix $A_{n}$. In Section 3, we show that $A_{n}$ is nonsingular and give the explicit form for its inverse. According to the explicit form of $A_{n}^{-1}$, we obtain the haplotype frequencies efficiently by using a recursive scheme. We also give a cost analysis for the proposed algorithm. Numerical examples are given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Finally, concluding remarks are given in Section 4.

## 2. The Recombination Matrix $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

In this section, we give some background of the recombination matrix $A_{n}$. In the multilocus situation $(n \geq 3)$, we denote a haplotype of $n$ loci by a $(n-1)$ string of 0 s and 1 s with respect the $i$ th digit representing the recombination status of the $(i+1)$ th allele with respect to the first allele. This string of $(n-1)$ digits specifies the recombination status between all $n(n-1) / 2$ pairs of loci. Here pairs of loci with different digits are recombinants while the others are non-recombinants. Such strings refer to different rows of the matrix $A_{n}$. To apply the concept of recombination values of a set of non-contiguous intervals, we let the inclusion or the exclusion of the intervals be denoted by a vector of 0 s and 1 s , where 0 represents exclusion and 1 represents inclusion. Such intervals refer to different columns of the matrix $A_{n}$.

For examples, in the case of four loci, $W, X, Y$ and $Z$, there are eight possible haplotype classes, $000,001,010,011,100,101,110$ and 111 . Each represents a unique
combination of recombination status between the six possible pairs of loci ( $W X, W Y$, $W Z, X Y, X Z$ and $Y Z$ ). There are seven possible sets of intervals ( $001,010,011,100$, $101,110,111)$, excluding the set with no intervals. In this case, the relationship between the haplotype classes and the recombination values can be described as follows:

| Haplotype classes <br> WXYZ | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 | 110 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 001 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 010 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 011 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 100 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 101 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 110 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

- The gamete of the haplotype class " 001 " is the recombinant with respect to the loci $W$ and $Z$, and is the non-recombinant with respect to the loci $W, X$ and $Y$. Correspondingly, the crossover only occurs in the interval $Y Z$, and therefore, we assign one in the sets of intervals $(001,011,101$ and 111) as these intervals including $Y Z$ contribute the frequencies to the haplotype class " 001 ". By using the same arguments, the haplotype class " 100 " can be considered similarly.
- For the haplotype class " 011 ", the gamete is the recombinant with respect to the loci $W$ and $Y$ and the loci $W$ and $Z$, and is the non-recombinant with respect to the loci $W$ and $X$. In this case, the crossover only occurs in the interval $X Y$. The sets of intervals including $X Y$ contributing to the frequencies of the haplotype class " 011 " are $010,011,110$ and 111 . The haplotype class " 110 " can be considered similarly.
- The gamete of the haplotype class " 010 " is the recombinant with respect to the loci $W$ and $Y$, and is the non-recombinant with respect to the loci $W, X$ and $Z$. It also implies that such haplotype is also the the recombinant with respect to the loci $X$ and $Y$, and also the loci $Y$ and $Z$. Correspondingly, the crossover only occurs in the interval $X Y$ or $Y Z$, and therefore, we assign one in the sets of intervals $(001,010,101$ and 111) as these intervals including $X Y$ or $Y Z$ contribute the frequencies to the haplotype class " 010 ". We note that the sets of intervals (011 and 111) include both $X Y$ and $Y Z$ and therefore the value 0 is assigned to them since an odd number of crossovers occurring in the intervals is counted. By using the same arguments, the haplotype class " 101 " can be considered similarly.
- For the haplotype class " 111 ", the gamete is the recombinant with respect to the loci $W$ and $X$, the loci $W$ and $Y$, and the loci $W$ and $Z$. In this case, the crossover only occurs in the interval $W X$. The sets of intervals contributing to the frequencies of the haplotype class " 111 " are $100,101,110$ and 111.

Finally, we note that the sum of all haplotype frequencies should be equal to one. With the
above table and the additional constraint, the matrix $A_{4}$ is given as follows:
Interval sets
001010011100101110111


In the following discussion, the binary strings of haplotype classes and interval sets are represented in ascending order, and the properties of the recombination matrix $A_{n}$ can be summarized as follows:
(1) All the entries in the first column of $A_{n}$ are equal to 1 .
(2) The first row of $A_{n}$ is a unit row vector with the first entry being equal to 1.
(3) For the $(i, j)$ th entry of $A_{n}$, we express the integers $i$ and $j$ in a binary system:

$$
i=1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} a_{k}^{(i)} 2^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad j=1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} b_{k}^{(j)} 2^{k} .
$$

The haplotype class is represented by $a_{0}^{(i)} a_{1}^{(i)} \cdots a_{n-2}^{(i)}$ and the set of intervals is represented by $b_{1}^{(i)} b_{2}^{(i)} \cdots b_{n-2}^{(i)}$. The value of the $(i, j)$ th entry of $A_{n}$ is determined by the following formula:

$$
\left[A_{n}\right]_{i, j}=\left(a_{0}^{(i)} b_{0}^{(i)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left(a_{k}^{(i)}-a_{k-1}^{(i)}\right) b_{k}^{(j)}\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

We note that when $a_{k}^{(i)}$ and $a_{k-1}^{(i)}$ are different, it refers to the case that the gamete is recombinant with respect to themselves, and hence such interval should be included in the interval set if $b_{k}^{(j)}$ is equal to 1 . The $a_{0}^{(i)}$ already indicates whether the gamete is recombinant with respect to the first two loci. Finally, the value one is assigned to $\left[A_{n}\right]_{i, j}$ under the modulo arithmetic if the number of intervals included is an odd number.

According to the above properties of $A_{n}$, we can construct the recombination matrix and then solve the linear system $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$ to obtain the haplotype frequencies. Since the size of $A_{n}$ increases exponentially with respect to the number of loci $n$, fast solvers are required in order to compute haplotype frequencies efficiently in linkage analysis of multiple loci. Next we present a recursive formula for $A_{n+1}$ and $A_{n}$ based on the nice structure of the matrix $A_{n+1}$.

Theorem 2.1. For $n \geq 1$, the recombination matrix $A_{n+1}$ is given recursively as follows:

$$
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{n} & A_{n}-R \\
\hline P A_{n} & N-P A_{n}+R
\end{array}\right)
$$

where
$P=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right), \quad R=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right) \quad$ and $\quad N=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
Proof. First of all, we partition $A_{n+1}$ into four blocks, i.e.,

$$
A_{n+1}=\left(\frac{M_{1} \mid M_{2}}{M_{3} \mid M_{4}}\right)
$$

where $M_{i}$ are $2^{n-1}$-by- $2^{n-1}$ matrices. We note that the binary strings of haplotype classes and interval sets are represented in ascending order. Therefore, for the matrix $M_{1}$ corresponding to the first $2^{n-1}$ rows and the first $2^{n-1}$ columns, the first digit of their corresponding haplotype classes and interval sets is equal to 0 . It implies that $M_{1}$ is just the recombination matrix $A_{n}$ for the $n$ loci problem.

For the submatrix $M_{2}$, we note that the first digit of the interval sets corresponding the columns of $A_{n+1}$ between $\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)$ th to $2^{n}$ th, is equal to 1 . Since the first digit of the corresponding haplotype classes is equal to 0 , there is no contribution of such haplotype classes to the interval set " $100 \cdots 000$ ". We assign the zero entries for the first column of $M_{2}$, and the other entries are the same as the matrix $M_{1}$. Therefore the resulting matrix $M_{2}$ is equal to $\left(A_{n}-R\right)$.

For the submatrix $M_{3}$, the corresponding haplotype class " $i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}$ " can be viewed as the same as the haplotype class " $\left(1-i_{1}\right)\left(1-i_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-i_{n}\right)$ ". The contributions of the haplotype class " $i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}$ " and the haplotype class " $\left(1-i_{1}\right)\left(1-i_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-i_{n}\right)$ " to the interval sets are the same. It means that the $k$ th row of the matrix $M_{3}$ is equal to the $\left(2^{n-1}-k+1\right)$ th row of the matrix $M_{1}$. Such permutation can be implemented as $M_{3}=P M_{1}$.

For the submatrix $M_{4}$, by using the similar argument for the submatrix $M_{3}$, the $k$ th row of the matrix $M_{4}$ is equal to the $\left(2^{n-1}-k+1\right)$ th row of the matrix $M_{2}$. Since the first digit of all the haplotype classes and all the interval sets corresponding to the matrix $M_{4}$ is equal 1 , all the entries of $M_{4}$ should increase by 1 . We note that an odd number of crossovers occurring in the set intervals is counted in the recombination matrix. Therefore, the matrix $M_{4}$ is given by $N-P\left(A_{n}-R\right)$. Hence the result follows.

In the next section, we demonstrate that an efficient solver based on the recursive formula for $A_{n+1}$ can be developed to solve the linear system $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$.

## 3. Recursive Solvers

Since the number $n$ of loci increases, the size of $A_{n}$ increases exponentially. Fast solvers are required in order to compute haplotype frequencies efficiently in linkage analysis of multiple loci. In this section, we show that $A_{n}$ is nonsingular for $n \geq 2$, and study the structure of $A_{n}^{-1}$. We then present our recursive solvers.

Theorem 3.1. For $n \geq 1, A_{n+1}$ is nonsingular, and we have the following properties of $A_{n+1}^{-1}$ :
(a) The matrix $A_{n+1}^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{n}^{-1}+G & \left(A_{n}^{-1}-G\right) P \\
A_{n}^{-1}-G-H & \left(H+G-A_{n}^{-1}\right) P
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H=\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

[Here we assume that $A_{1}=1$.]
(b) The first row of $A_{n+1}^{-1}$ is a unit row vector with the first entry being equal to 1 .
(c) The row sum of $A_{n+1}^{-1}$ is equal to zero except for the first row of $A_{n+1}^{-1}$.

Proof. Here we use mathematical induction. Let $S(k)$ be a statement that $A_{k}$ is invertible and $A_{k}^{-1}$ satisfies above properties. To begin with, we notice that $A_{2}$ and its inverse are:

$$
A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $k=3, A_{3}$ and its inverse are given by

$$
A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad A_{3}^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is clear that the last two properties are satisfied. We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{2}^{-1}+G & \left(A_{2}^{-1}-G\right) P \\
A_{2}^{-1}-G-H & \left(H+G-A_{2}^{-1}\right) P
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\binom{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)}{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{2^{0}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2^{0}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right]\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right]\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=A_{3}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The statement is true for $k=2$ and $k=3$.
Now we assume $S(k)$ is true. We are going to prove that $S(k+1)$ is true. By using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
A_{k+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{k} & A_{k}-R \\
P A_{k} & N-P A_{k}+R
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let us consider the following matrix-matrix multiplication:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{k}^{-1}+G & \left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right) P \\
A_{k}^{-1}-G-H & \left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{k} & A_{k}-R \\
P A_{k} N-P A_{k}+R
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{11} & C_{12} \\
C_{21} & C_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $P^{2}=I$. Our task here is to show that the above right-hand-side matrix is the identity matrix. We expand the product of the two matrices and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{11}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}+G\right) A_{k}+\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right) P P A_{k}\right] & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}+G\right) A_{k}+\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right) A_{k}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[I+G A_{k}+I-G A_{k}\right]=I
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{12} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}+G\right)\left(A_{k}-R\right)+\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right) P\left(N-P A_{k}+R\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}+G\right)\left(A_{k}-R\right)+\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right) P P\left(N-A_{k}+R\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}+G\right)\left(A_{k}-R\right)+\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right)\left(N-A_{k}+R\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[I-A_{k}^{-1} R+G A_{k}-G R+A_{k}^{-1} N-I+A_{k}^{-1} R-G N+G A_{k}-G R\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{k}^{-1} N-G N\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Theorem 2.1, the first row of $A_{k}$ is a unit row vector with first entry being equal to 1, we obtain $G A_{k}=G R=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. By proposition 3.1, we obtain $A_{k}^{-1} N=$ $G N=2^{n-2} H$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{21} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G-H\right) A_{k}+\left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P P A_{k}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G-H\right) A_{k}+\left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) A_{k}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[I-G A_{k}-H A_{k}+H A_{k}+G A_{k}-I\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{22}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G-H\right)\left(A_{k}-R\right)+\left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P\left(N-P A_{k}+R\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G-H\right)\left(A_{k}-R\right)+\left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P P\left(N-A_{k}+R\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G-H\right)\left(A_{k}-R\right)+\left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right)\left(N-A_{k}+R\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[I-G A_{k}-H A_{k}-A_{k}^{-1} R+G R+H R+H N-H A_{k}+H R+G N-G A_{k}\right. \\
& \left.+G R-A_{k}^{-1} N+I-A_{k}^{-1} R\right] \\
= & I+\frac{1}{2}\left[2 G R+2 H R-2 G A_{k}-2 H A_{k}-2 A_{k}^{-1} R+H N+G N-A_{k}^{-1} N\right]=I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (a) is proved.
By using the induction assumption, it is easy to show that each row sum of $\left(A_{k}^{-1}+\right.$ $G)+\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right) P$ is equal to zero except the first row. Also it is clear that the first row sum of $\left(A_{k}^{-1}+G\right)+\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right) P$ is equal to two. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k}^{-1}-G-H+\left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P & =A_{k}^{-1}-G-H+H+\left(G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P \\
& =\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G\right)+\left(G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we can show that each row sum of $\left(A_{k}^{-1}-G-H\right)+\left(H+G-A_{k}^{-1}\right) P$ is equal to zero. Thus (b) and (c) are proved.

By using Theorem 3.1, a recursive method can be developed to solve the linear system $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$. The next theorem states how to solve the linear system $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$ without storing $A_{n}^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.2. The complexity for solving $\Gamma$ in $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$ with n loci is of $O\left(n 2^{n}\right)$.
Proof. To begin with, let us consider the complexity for calculating $2^{n-1} \Theta A_{n+1}^{-1}$ given that the computational complexity of the inverse of $2^{n-2} X A_{n}^{-1}$ is $\psi(n)$, where $X$ is a 1-by-2 $2^{n-1}$ vector. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\Theta A_{n+1}^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Theta_{1} \Theta_{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{n}^{-1}+G & \left(A_{n}^{-1}-G\right) P \\
A_{n}^{-1}-G-H & \left(H+G-A_{n}^{-1}\right) P
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Theta=\left(\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}\right)$. It implies that

$$
2^{n-1} \Theta A_{n+1}^{-1}=\binom{2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}\right) A_{n}^{-1}+2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right) G+-2^{n-2} \Theta_{2} H}{2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right) A_{n}^{-1} P-2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right) G P+2^{n-2} \Theta_{2} H P}^{T}
$$

Firstly, we observe that the cost for $2^{n-2} G$ requires one operation and there is no computational cost for $2^{n-2} H$ as they are given by

$$
2^{n-2} G=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2^{n-2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad 2^{n-2} H=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The computational cost for obtaining either $\left(\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}\right)$ or $\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right)$ requires $2^{n-1}$ operations. The cost for $2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}\right) A_{n}^{-1}$ and $2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right) A_{n}^{-1}$ requires $2 \psi(n)$ operations. The cost for $2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right) G$ requires one operation as $2^{n-2} G$ contains only one non-zero element in $2^{n-2} G$. Similarly, there is no cost involved for the computation of $2^{n-2} \Theta_{2} H$. This is also true for the matrix multiplication of $P$ as it is just a permutation. Thus, the total computational cost $\psi(n+1)$ of $2^{n-1} \Theta A_{n+1}^{-1}$ is equal to $2 \psi(n)+5 \cdot 2^{n-1}+4$. It is easy to deduce that
$\psi(n+1)=3 \cdot 2^{n-1}+5(n-1) 2^{n-1}+4 \cdot\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)=5 n \cdot 2^{n-1}+\left(2^{n}-4\right)=O\left(n 2^{n}\right)$.
Hence the result follows.

Theorem 3.3. The storage cost for solving $\Gamma$ in $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$ with $n$ loci is $3 \cdot 2^{n}-5$.
Proof. To begin with, let us denote the storage cost for computing $2^{n-2} \Theta A_{n}^{-1}$ by $\phi(n)$. According to Theorem 3.2, we need to store such components

$$
2^{n-2} G, \quad 2^{n-2} H, \quad \Theta_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \Theta_{2}
$$

Their corresponding storage cost are are $1,2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}$ and $2^{n-1}$ respectively. The computational procedure of solving $\Gamma$ in $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$ is summarized as follows:

| Procedure | Current Storage requirement |
| :--- | :--- |
| Start with $2^{n-2} A_{n}^{-1}$ | $\phi(n)$ |
| Load $\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}$ | $\phi(n)+2^{n}$ |
| Compute $\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}, \Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}$ | $\phi(n)+2^{n}+2^{n}$ |
| Remove $\Theta_{1}$ | $\phi(n)+2^{n}+2^{n-1}$ |
| Compute $X_{1}=2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}\right) A_{n}^{-1}$ | $\phi(n)+2^{n}+2^{n-1}+2^{n-1}$ |
| Remove $\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}$ | $\phi(n)+2^{n}+2^{n-1}$ |
| Compute $X_{2}=2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right) A_{n}^{-1}$ | $\phi(n)+2^{n}+2^{n-1}+2^{n-1}$ |
| Remove $2^{n-2} A_{n}^{-1}$ | $2^{n+1}$ |
| Compute $X_{2}=X_{2} P$ | $2^{n+1}$ |
| Create $2^{n-2} G$ | $2^{n+1}+1$ |
| Compute $Y=2^{n-2}\left(\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right) G$ | $2^{n+1}+1+1$ |
| Remove $\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}, 2^{n-2} G$ | $2^{n}+2^{n-1}+1$ |
| Compute $2^{n-2} \Theta_{2} H$ | $2^{n}+2^{n-1}+1+2^{n-1}$ |
| Remove $\Theta_{2}$ | $2^{n}+2^{n-1}+1$ |
| Compute $X_{1}+Y-2^{n-2} \Theta_{2} H$ | $2^{n}+2^{n-1}+1+2^{n-1}$ |
| Remove $X_{1}$ | $2^{n}+2^{n-1}+1$ |
| Compute $Y=Y P$ | $2^{n}+2^{n-1}+1$ |
| Compute $X_{2}-Y+2^{n-2} \Theta_{2} H$ | $2^{n}+2^{n-1}+1+2^{n-1}$ |
| Remove $X_{2}, Y, 2^{n-2} \Theta_{2} H$ | $2^{n}$ |

Table 1: The Storage of the Algorithm.
From the above procedure, the maximum storage requirement is either $\phi(n)+2^{n+1}$ or $2^{n+1}+1$. Since $\phi(n+1)=\phi(n)+2^{n+1}=\cdots=2^{n+2}-5+2^{n+1}$, the total storage requirement is $3 \cdot 2^{n+1}-5$.

### 3.1. Computational Results

In this subsection, we demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed recursive solver for solving $\Theta=\Gamma A_{n}$. Here we perform our test in a MATLAB platform with CPU=AMP $1800+$ and memory $=512 \mathrm{Mb}$. Table 2 shows the times (in seconds) required for computing $\Theta A_{n}^{-1}$ and the ratio between the computational times of $\Theta A_{n}^{-1} \Theta^{\prime} A_{n-1}^{-1}$. We remark that the complexity of the proposed recursive algorithm for the $n$ loci problem is of $O((n-$ 1) $2^{n}$ ). From Table 1, we find that the computational times only increase linearly with respect to $n$ when $n \geq 10$. It clearly shows that the proposed recursive method is highly efficient.

| $n$ | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| time (seconds) | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.77 | 1.43 | 2.86 | 5.65 |
| ratio | - | 2.20 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 2.33 | 1.86 | 2 | 1.98 |
| $n$ | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| time (seconds) | 11.37 | 22.91 | 46.08 | 92.83 | 187.68 | 379.04 | 765.94 | 1812.82 |
| ratio | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.37 |

Table 1: The Computational Times for different $n$.

## 4. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we give a systematic formulation for the linkage analysis problem and an efficient recursive solver is also proposed for solving the haplotype frequencies in multiple loci linkage analysis. The complexity of our method is shown to be $O\left((n-1) 2^{n}\right)$ for $n$ loci problem. It is much more efficient when compared to $O\left(2^{3 n}\right)$ operations required by the classical Gaussian elimination method. Previous applications of the linkage analysis only consider small values of $n$, see for instance (Sham 1998 and Zhao 1990). With our formulation of the problem and also the fast recursive solver, practitioners can now consider larger $n$ and we expect the method can be more popular.
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