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#### Abstract

We determine all of lines in the moduli space $M$ of stable bundles for arbitrary rank and degree. A further application of minimal rational curves is also given in last section.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle of degree $d$ on $C$. Let $M:=\mathcal{S} U_{C}(r, \mathcal{L})$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles on $C$ of rank $r$ and with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}$, which is a smooth quasi-projective Fano variety with $\operatorname{Pic}(M)=\mathbb{Z} \cdot \Theta$ and $-K_{M}=2(r, d) \Theta$, where $\Theta$ is an ample divisor. The second author ${ }^{[10]}$ proved that any rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ is defined by a vector bundle $E$ on $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and gave a formula of its $\left(-K_{M}\right)$-degree in terms of splitting type of $E$ on the general fiber of $f: X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow C$. This formula implies immediately that a rational curve through a general point of $M$ has $\left(-K_{M}\right)$-degree at least $2 r$ and it has degree $2 r$ if and only if it is a Hecke curve. In particular, rational curves of $\left(-K_{M}\right)$-degree smaller than $2 r$, which we call small rational curves, must fall in a proper closed subvariety of $M$. In fact, the formula contains the following information about points of small rational curves: There exist, for any small rational curve, a sequence of fixed bundles $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$ on $C$ such that bundles corresponding points of the small rational curve are obtained by extensions of $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$. We should remark here that the bundles $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are independent of points of the small rational curve, and sometime only depend on the degree of the small rational curves.

In this paper, we study the rational curves of degree 1 with respect to $\Theta$ for arbitrary $r$ and $d$, which we call lines of $M$. The geometry of $M$ at the case when $(r, d)<r$ is different from the case when $(r, d)=r$. When $(r, d)<r$, the lines of $M$ fill up a proper closed subvariety. However, when $(r, d)=r, M$ is generally covered by lines. In Section 2, we recall firstly two constructions of lines, then, in Theorem 2.7, we prove that all lines in $M$ are obtained by the two constructions. In Section 3, we determine the variety $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$ of degree 1 morphisms $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ (Theorem 3.1) and the variety $\mathscr{L}(M)$ of lines in $M$ (as subvarieties of Chow variety

[^0]of $M$ ) in Corollary 3.3. In Section 4, we present some partial results on geometry of lines in $M$. The proof of main theorem ${ }^{[10]}$ has some implications about the properties of the bundle $E$ on $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ (which defines the minimal rational curve). In Section 5 , we write down first of all these implications (Theorem 5.1). Then, as an application of it, we give an alternate proof of some known results (Theorem 5.2).

## 2 The constructions of lines

Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on $C$ of degree $d$. Let $M=\mathcal{S U}_{C}(r, \mathcal{L})^{s}$ be the moduli spaces of stable bundles on $C$ of rank $r$, with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}$. It is well-known that $\operatorname{Pic}(M)=\mathbb{Z} \cdot \Theta$, where $\Theta$ is an ample divisor.

Definition 2.1. For any rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$, its degree is defined to be $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi^{*}(\Theta)\right)$. The images $\phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \subset M$ of degree 1 rational curves $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ are called lines in $M$.

In this section, we give the constructions of all lines in $M$. Before stating the first construction, we need the following lemma, which is a generalization of Lemma 3.1 ${ }^{[10]}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $0 \rightarrow V_{1} \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_{2} \rightarrow 0$ be a nontrivial extension of vector bundles on $C$. Let $r_{i}=\operatorname{rk}\left(V_{i}\right), d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(V_{i}\right)(i=1,2), r=\operatorname{rk}(V), d=\operatorname{deg}(V)$ be the rank and degree respectively. Then, when $r_{1} d-d_{1} r=(r, d), V$ is stable if and only if $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are stable.

Proof. It is clear that $r_{i}, d_{i}, r, d$ satisfy $d_{2} r-r_{2} d=(r, d)$, and

$$
\mu\left(V_{1}\right)=\mu(V)-\frac{(r, d)}{r_{1} r}, \quad \mu\left(V_{2}\right)=\mu(V)+\frac{(r, d)}{r_{2} r}
$$

Writing

$$
r_{1} \frac{d}{(r, d)}-d_{1} \frac{r}{(r, d)}=1, \quad d_{2} \frac{r}{(r, d)}-r_{2} \frac{d}{(r, d)}=1
$$

we observe that $\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)=1(i=1,2)$.
Assuming that $V$ is stable, we are going to prove the stability of $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. For any subbundle $V_{1}^{\prime} \subset V_{1}$ of rank $r_{1}^{\prime}$ and degree $d_{1}^{\prime}$, we have, by stability of $V$,

$$
r_{1}^{\prime} r\left(\mu(V)-\mu\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)=r_{1}^{\prime} d-r d_{1}^{\prime} \geq(r, d)
$$

Thus $\mu\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(V)-\frac{(r, d)}{r_{1}^{\prime} r}=\mu\left(V_{1}\right)+\frac{(r, d)}{r_{1} r}-\frac{(r, d)}{r_{1}^{\prime} r}<\mu\left(V_{1}\right)$, i.e., $V_{1}$ is stable. For any subbundle $V_{2}^{\prime} \subset V_{2}$ of rank $r_{2}^{\prime}$, define the subsheaf $V^{\prime} \subset V$ by $0 \rightarrow V_{1} \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$
\mu\left(V^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(V)-\frac{(r, d)}{r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

and stability of $V_{2}$ can be seen as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right) & =\mu\left(V^{\prime}\right) \frac{r_{1}+r_{2}^{\prime}}{r_{2}^{\prime}}-\mu\left(V_{1}\right) \frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \mu(V) \frac{r_{1}+r_{2}^{\prime}}{r_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{(r, d)}{r_{2}^{\prime} r}-\mu\left(V_{1}\right) \frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}^{\prime}} \\
& =\mu(V)<\mu\left(V_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, assuming that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are stable, we are going to prove the stability of $V$. For any nontrivial subbundle $V^{\prime} \subset V$ of rank $r^{\prime}$, let $V_{2}^{\prime} \subset V_{2}$ be the image of $V^{\prime}$ and $V_{1}^{\prime} \subset V_{1}$ such that

$$
0 \rightarrow V_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. When $V_{2}^{\prime}=0$, it is clear that $\mu\left(V^{\prime}\right)<\mu(V)$ since $V_{1}$ is stable and $\mu\left(V_{1}\right)<\mu(V)$. If $V_{1}^{\prime}=0$, then $V_{2}^{\prime}$ is a proper subsheaf of $V_{2}$ since the extension is nontrivial. Thus

$$
\mu\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(V_{2}\right)=-\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime *} \otimes V_{2}\right)}{r_{2} r_{2}^{\prime}}<0
$$

since $V_{2}$ is stable. Let $r_{2}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}$ be the rank and degree of $V_{2}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(V^{\prime}\right) & =\mu\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\mu(V)+\frac{(r, d)}{r_{2} r}-\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime *} \otimes V_{2}\right)}{r_{2} r_{2}^{\prime}} \\
& =\mu(V)+\frac{(r, d)}{r_{2} r_{2}^{\prime} r}\left(r_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{r}{(r, d)} \operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime *} \otimes V_{2}\right)\right)<\mu(V)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality holds because $r_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{r}{(r, d)} \operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime *} \otimes V_{2}\right)<r_{2}$ and is divisible by $r_{2}$, thus it must be negative. It is divisible by $r_{2}$ since

$$
d_{2}\left(r_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{r}{(r, d)} \operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime *} \otimes V_{2}\right)\right)=r_{2}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{d}{(r, d)} \operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime *} \otimes V_{2}\right)\right)
$$

and $\left(r_{2}, d_{2}\right)=1$. If $V_{1}^{\prime}, V_{2}^{\prime}$ are nontrivial of rank $r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}$ and degree $d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(V^{\prime}\right) & =\mu\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \frac{r_{1}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}+\mu\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right) \frac{r_{2}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}} \leq \mu\left(V_{1}\right) \frac{r_{1}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}+\mu\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right) \frac{r_{2}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}} \\
& <\mu(V) \frac{r_{1}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}+\mu\left(V_{2}\right) \frac{r_{2}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime} * \otimes V_{2}\right)}{r_{2} r^{\prime}} \\
& =\mu(V)+\frac{(r, d)}{r_{2} r^{\prime} r}\left(r_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{r}{(r, d)} \operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2}^{\prime *} \otimes V_{2}\right)\right)<\mu(V) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $V$ is a stable vector bundle, as desired.

Now we can describe the first construction of lines. For any given $r$ and $d$, let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be positive integers and $d_{1}, d_{2}$ be integers that satisfy the equalities $r_{1}+r_{2}=r, d_{1}+d_{2}=d$ and

$$
r_{1} \frac{d}{(r, d)}-d_{1} \frac{r}{(r, d)}=1, \quad d_{2} \frac{r}{(r, d)}-r_{2} \frac{d}{(r, d)}=1
$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{2}, d_{2}\right)\right)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank $r_{1}$ (resp. $r_{2}$ ) and degree $d_{1}$ (resp. $d_{2}$ ). Then, since $\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)=1$ and $\left(r_{2}, d_{2}\right)=1$, they are smooth projective varieties and there are universal vector bundles $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}$ on $C \times \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ and $C \times \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{2}, d_{2}\right)$ respectively. Consider the morphism

$$
\mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \times \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{2}, d_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{det}(\bullet) \times \operatorname{det}(\bullet)} J_{C}^{d_{1}} \times J_{C}^{d_{2}} \xrightarrow{(\bullet) \otimes(\bullet)} J_{C}^{d}
$$

and let $\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ be its fiber at $[\mathcal{L}] \in J_{C}^{d}$. We still use $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}$ to denote the pullback on $C \times \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ by the projection $C \times \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow C \times \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ respectively. Let $p: C \times \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}=R^{1} p_{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{1}\right)$. Then, since $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{2}, V_{1}\right)=0, \mathcal{G}$ is a vector bundle of rank $r_{1} r_{2}(g-1)+(r, d)$. Let $q: P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ be the projective
bundle parametrzing 1-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{G}_{t}\left(t \in \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)\right)$ and $f: C \times P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow C$, $\pi: C \times P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ be the projections. Then there is a universal extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow(i d \times q)^{*} \mathcal{V}_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow(i d \times q)^{*} \mathcal{V}_{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $C \times P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ such that for any $x=\left(\left[V_{1}\right],\left[V_{2}\right],[e]\right) \in P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$, where $\left[V_{i}\right] \in \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ with $\operatorname{det}\left(V_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(V_{2}\right)=\mathcal{L}$ and $[e] \subset \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(C, V_{2}^{\vee} \otimes V_{1}\right)$ being a line through the origin, the bundle $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{C \times\{x\}}$ is the isomorphic class of vector bundles $E$ given by extensions

$$
0 \rightarrow V_{1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow V_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

that defined by vectors on the line $[e] \subset \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(C, V_{2}^{\vee} \otimes V_{1}\right)$.
To see the existence of the universal extension, recall Lemma 2.4 ${ }^{[9]}$ : For two families $\left(E_{s}\right)_{s \in S}$, $\left(F_{t}\right)_{t \in T}$ of bundles on $C \times S, C \times T$, there exists a universal extension if (1) $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{H o m}\left(F_{t}, E_{s}\right)\right)$ is independent of $(s, t) \in S \times T$, (2) $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(S \times T, p_{S \times T *} \mathcal{H o m}(F, E) \otimes V^{*}\right)=0(i=1,2)$, where $V$ is the vector bundle on $S \times T$ with fibers $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{H o m}\left(F_{t}, E_{s}\right)\right)$ at $(s, t) \in S \times T$. In our case, $E=\mathcal{V}_{1}, F=\mathcal{V}_{2}$, and the above conditions are satisfied since $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{2}, V_{1}\right)=0$ for any $\left[V_{i}\right] \in \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$. By Lemma 2.2, the universal extension

$$
0 \rightarrow(i d \times q)^{*} \mathcal{V}_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow(i d \times q)^{*} \mathcal{V}_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

on $C \times P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ defines a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi: P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S U}_{C}(r, \mathcal{L})^{s}=M \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Construction 2.3. The images (under $\Phi$ ) of lines in the fibres of

$$
q: P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)
$$

are lines of $M$.
Lemma 2.4. On each fiber $P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)_{\xi}:=q^{-1}(\xi)$ at $\xi \in \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$,

$$
\Phi_{\xi}:=\left.\Phi\right|_{P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)_{\xi}}: P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)_{\xi} \rightarrow M
$$

is the normalization of its image. The rational curves constructed in Construction 2.3 are lines in $M$.

Proof. Write $\mathcal{P}=P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right), \mathcal{U}_{i}=\mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$, recall

$$
\mathcal{G}=R^{1} p_{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{1}\right)
$$

Let $\omega_{C}=\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 g-2} y_{i}\right), \omega_{\mathcal{U}_{1}}$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{U}_{2}}$ be the canonical line bundles of $C, \mathcal{U}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2}$. It is not difficult, using (2.1), to compute

$$
\Phi^{*}\left(\omega_{M}^{-1}\right)=q^{*}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{U}_{1}}^{-1} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{U}_{2}}^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{G})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2 g-2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)_{y_{i}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(2(r, d))
$$

Thus, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{R}, \Phi_{\xi}^{*}(\Theta)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{\xi}}(1)$ which implies that $\Phi_{\xi}$ is birational, therefore it is the normalization of $\Phi_{\xi}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi}\right)$. In particular, for any line $\ell \subset \mathcal{P}_{\xi}, \Phi_{\xi}(\ell) \subset M$ is a line and $\ell$ is the normalization of $\Phi_{\xi}(\ell)$.

Now we recall the construction of Hecke curves which are also lines in $M$ when $(r, d)=r$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{C}(r, d-1)$ be the moduli space of stable bundles of rank $r$ and degree $d-1$. Let $\mathfrak{O} \subset \mathcal{U}_{C}(r, d-1)$ be the open set of $(1,0)$-semistable bundles in the following sense

Definition 2.5. A vector bundle $V$ on $C$ is called ( $k, \ell$ )-semistable (resp. ( $k, \ell$ )-stable) if for any proper subbundle $W \subset V$, we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{deg}(W)+k}{\operatorname{rk}(W)} \leq(\text { resp. }<) \frac{\operatorname{deg}(V)+k-\ell}{\operatorname{rk}(V)}
$$

Let $C \times \mathfrak{O} \xrightarrow{\psi} J^{d}(C)$ be defined as $\psi(x, V)=\mathcal{O}_{C}(x) \otimes \operatorname{det}(V)$ and let $\mathscr{R}_{C}:=\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subset C \times \mathfrak{O}$. There is a fibration $\mathscr{R}_{C} \rightarrow C$ with fibres $\mathfrak{O} \cap \mathcal{S U}_{C}(r, \mathcal{L}(-x))$ at $x \in C$. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be the universal bundle on $\mathscr{R}_{C}$, let $p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}$ be the projective bundle and

$$
p^{*}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right)}(1) \rightarrow 0
$$

be the universal quotient. Let $C \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right)$ be the projection and $\Gamma \subset C \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right)$ be the graph of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right) \xrightarrow{p} \mathscr{R}_{C} \rightarrow C$. We have

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \pi^{*} p^{*}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{V} \vee)}(1) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{E}^{\vee}$ is defined to the kernel of the surjection. Taking duals, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \pi^{*} p^{*} \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{V} \vee)}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, at any point $\xi=\left(x, V, V_{x}^{\vee} \rightarrow \Lambda\right) \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right)$, gives exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow V \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} \mathscr{E}_{\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x} \rightarrow 0
$$

on $C$ such that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota_{x}\right)=\Lambda^{\vee} \subset V_{x}$. That $V$ being ( 1,0 )-semistable (resp. stable) implies semistability (resp. stability) of $\mathscr{E}_{\xi}$. Observe that $\mathscr{E}$ determines a morphism

$$
\Psi: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S U}_{C}(r, \mathcal{L}) \supseteq \mathcal{S U}_{C}(r, \mathcal{L})^{s}=M
$$

Let $\mathscr{P}^{0}:=\Psi^{-1}(M) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right), \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}:=p\left(\mathscr{P}^{0}\right) \subset \mathscr{R}_{C}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}, \quad \Psi: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow M \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Construction 2.6. The images (under $\Psi$ ) of lines in the fibres of

$$
p: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}
$$

are so called Hecke curves in $M$, which are lines if and only if $(r, d)=r$ by Theorem $1^{[10]}$.
Theorem 2.7. (i) If $(r, d) \neq r$, all lines in $M$ are obtained by performing Construction 2.3 for all pairs $\left\{r_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
0<r_{1}<r, \quad r_{1} d-d_{1} r=(r, d) .
$$

(ii) If $(r, d)=r$, perform Construction 2.3 as in (i) and the Construction 2.6, we obtain all lines in $M$.

Proof. It was shown ${ }^{[10]}$ that any rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ is defined by a vector bundle $E$ on $X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. A degree formula ${ }^{[10]}$ was also proved. To recall it, let $f: X \rightarrow C$ and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the projections. On a general fiber $f^{-1}(\xi)=X_{\xi}, E$ has the form

$$
\left.E\right|_{X_{\xi}}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\xi}}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)^{\oplus r_{i}}, \quad \alpha_{1}>\cdots>\alpha_{n}
$$

The $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}^{\oplus r_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{\oplus r_{n}}\right)$ is called the generic splitting type of $E$. Tensoring $E$ by $\pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(-\alpha_{n}\right)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $\alpha_{n}=0$. Any such $E$ admits a relative HarderNarasimhan filtration

$$
0=E_{0} \subset E_{1} \subset \cdots \subset E_{n}=E
$$

in which the quotient sheaves $F_{i}=E_{i} / E_{i-1}$ are torsion-free with generic splitting type $\left(\alpha_{i}^{\oplus r_{i}}\right)$ respectively. Let $F_{i}^{\prime}=F_{i} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(-\alpha_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, n)$, thus they have generic splitting type $\left(0^{\oplus r_{i}}\right)$ respectively. Without risk of confusion, we denote the degree of $F_{i}$ (resp. $E_{i}$ ) on the general fiber of $\pi$ by $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{i}\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{i}\right)$ ). Accordingly, $\mu\left(E_{i}\right)$ (resp. $\mu(E)$ ) denotes the slope of the restriction of $E_{i}$ (resp. $E$ ) to the general fiber of $\pi$ respectively. Let $\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{i}\right)$ denote the rank of $E_{i}$. Then we have the formula ${ }^{[10]}$

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi^{*}(\Theta)\right)=\frac{r}{(r, d)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{2}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\mu(E)-\mu\left(E_{i}\right)\right)\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i+1}\right) \mathrm{rk}\left(E_{i}\right)\right)
$$

When $(r, d) \neq r$, we have $c_{2}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $n=2$. Thus there are bundles $V_{1}, V_{2}$ of rank $r_{1}$, $r_{2}$ and degree $d_{1}, d_{2}$ on $C$ such that

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \rightarrow E \rightarrow f^{*} V_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $r_{1}, r_{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}$ satisfy $r_{1}+r_{2}=r, d_{1}+d_{2}=d$ and

$$
r_{1} \frac{d}{(r, d)}-d_{1} \frac{r}{(r, d)}=1, \quad d_{2} \frac{r}{(r, d)}-r_{2} \frac{d}{(r, d)}=1
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ must be stable and $\operatorname{det}\left(V_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(V_{2}\right)=\mathcal{L}$. Thus $\phi$ factors through $\mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{P}_{\xi} \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\xi}} M$, where $\xi=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{\xi}}(1)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)$ (so that $\sigma$ is an embedding and $\sigma\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is a line of $\left.\mathcal{P}_{\xi}\right)$. This proves (i).

When $(r, d)=r$, we have either $c_{2}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $n=2$ or $c_{2}(E)=1$ and $n=1$. Thus the line is either obtaining by Construction 2.3 or defined by a vector bundle $E$ on $X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ satisfying

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} V \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{p\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $f: X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow C$ and $\pi: X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are projections, $V$ is a vector bundle on $C$. The stability of $E_{t}=\left.E\right|_{C \times\{t\}}\left(\forall t \in \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ implies immediately that $V$ is $(1,0)$-semistable. Thus, in this case, the line is obtained by Construction 2.6.

## 3 The variety of lines

By the variety of lines, we mean the quotient $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right) / \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ which can be defined by means of the Chow variety. To determine $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$, recall from Construction 2.3 and

Construction2.6, we have

$$
q: P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right), \quad p: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0} .
$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}^{1}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}^{1}, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) / \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)\right)$ be the scheme such that for any scheme $T$ over $\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}^{1}, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) / \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)\right)(T)
$$

is the set of $T$-morphisms $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}^{1} \times_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)} T \rightarrow P(\mathcal{G}) \times_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)} T$ of degree 1 with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{P(\mathcal{G})}(1)$. It is the variety of degree 1 maps

$$
\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})
$$

with images in the fibers of $q: P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$. Similarly, recall that

$$
p: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}
$$

is an open set of the projective bundle $p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{V}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}$, we can define the variety

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathscr{P}^{0}\right):=\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}}^{1}, \mathscr{P}^{0} / \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}\right)
$$

of degree 1 maps $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}^{0}$ with images in the fibers of $p: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}$ (we use $\mathbf{H o m}^{r}$ to denote relative maps). Let

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}\right):=\bigsqcup_{\left\{r_{1}, d_{1}\right\}} \operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}^{1}, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) / \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)\right)
$$

be the disjoint union, where $\left\{r_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ runs through the pairs satisfying:

$$
0<r_{1}<r, \quad r_{1} d-d_{1} r=(r, d) .
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$ be the variety of degree 1 morphisms $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ (respect to $\Theta)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right) \cong \begin{cases}\operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}\right) & \text { if }(r, d) \neq r \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}\right) \bigsqcup \operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathscr{P}^{0}\right) & \text { if }(r, d)=r .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By sending a $T$-morphism

$$
\mathbb{P}^{1} \times T \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)}^{1} \times_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)} T \xrightarrow{\varphi_{T}} P(\mathcal{G}) \times_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)} T
$$

to a $T$-morphism

$$
\mathbb{P}^{1} \times T \xrightarrow{\varphi_{T}} P(\mathcal{G}) \times_{\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)} T \rightarrow P(\mathcal{G}) \times T \xrightarrow{\Phi \times i d_{T}} M \times T,
$$

we have the canonical morphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)
$$

which is surjective when $(r, d) \neq r$ by Theorem 2.7. To show it is also injective, let $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ defined by the exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1} \rightarrow f^{*} V_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} W_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2} \rightarrow f^{*} W_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

on $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, where $f: X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow C$ and $\pi: X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are the projections. If $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ have the same image in $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$, then there is a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{1} \cong \mathcal{E}_{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{N}) \leq 0$, then $\operatorname{Hom}\left(f^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1), f^{*} W_{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)=0$ and $\mathcal{E}_{1} \cong \mathcal{E}_{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}$ induces $f^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \hookrightarrow f^{*} W_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}$, which implies $V_{1} \hookrightarrow W_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Thus $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}, V_{1} \cong W_{1}$ and $V_{2} \cong W_{2}$, which implies $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{N}) \geq 0$, using $\mathcal{E}_{2} \cong \mathcal{E}_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}^{-1}$, we get $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$ by the same arguments. Thus $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$ is bijective when $(r, d) \neq r$.

Similarly, when $(r, d)=r$, we have a surjective morphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}\right) \bigsqcup \operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathscr{P}^{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)
$$

by Theorem 2.7. To see the injectivity, we only need to consider $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathscr{P}^{0}\right)$ defined by the following two exact sequences on $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow f^{*} V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow f^{*} W \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\left\{x_{2}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V, W$ are stable vector bundles on $C$ of rank $r$ and degree $d-1, x_{1}, x_{2} \in C$ are two points. If $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ have the same image in $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$, then there is a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1} \cong \mathcal{E}_{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}, \quad x_{1}=x_{2} .
$$

If $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{N}) \leq 0$, then $\operatorname{Hom}\left(f^{*} V, \mathcal{O}_{\left\{x_{2}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)=0$. The isomorphism $\mathcal{E}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ induces an injection $f^{*} V \hookrightarrow f^{*} W \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}$, which implies that $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$ and $V \cong W$, thus $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{N}) \geq 0$, using $\mathcal{E}_{2} \cong \mathcal{E}_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}^{-1}$, we have $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$ by the same arguments.

To show the isomorphism, it is enough to show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$ is smooth. To see the smoothness of $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$, let $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ be a point of $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$, which, by Lemma $2.1^{[10]}$, is defined by a vector bundle $E$ on $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $\varphi^{*} T_{M}=R^{1} \pi_{*} A d(E)$. Then $E$ must satisfy either $0 \rightarrow f^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \rightarrow E \rightarrow f^{*} V_{2} \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} V \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Using these exact sequences, we can show

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\varphi^{*} T_{M}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(R^{1} \pi_{*} A d(E)\right)=0 .
$$

Thus $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$ is smooth.
By Theorem $3.21^{[6]}$, there is a semi-normal variety $\operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M)$ parametrizing effective cycles of dimension 1 and degree 1 (respect to $\Theta$ ) with a universal cycle

$$
\operatorname{Univ}_{1,1}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right)$ is smooth, there is an $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$-invariant morphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, M\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M) .
$$

Let $\mathscr{L}(M) \subset \operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M)$ be the image, which is precisely the locus of $\operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M)$ parametrizing the cycles with rational components. Then, by Proposition $2.2^{[6]}, \mathscr{L}(M) \subset \operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M)$ is a closed subset.

Definition 3.2. The closed subset $\mathscr{L}(M) \subset \operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M)$ with the reduced scheme structure is called the variety of lines in $M$. The induced universal cycle $\mathbb{L} \subset M \times \mathscr{L}(M)$ defined by

$$
\mathbb{L}:=\operatorname{Univ}_{1,1}(M) \times_{\operatorname{Chow}_{1,1}(M)} \mathscr{L}(M) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(M)
$$

is called the universal line in $M$.

Let $G\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}\right)$ be the relative Grassmannian bundles of lines in $P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\mathscr{P}^{0}$ ), and let

be the universal lines. Recall the morphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi: P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow M, \quad \Psi: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow M \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (2.2) and (2.4), which induce


Then the families $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi \times \mathrm{id}) \subset M \times G\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi \times \mathrm{id}) \subset M \times \mathscr{H}$ of lines define the morphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{r_{1}, d_{1}}} \mathscr{L}(M) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{H} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathscr{L}(M) \quad \text { if }(r, d)=r . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{L}(M)_{r_{1}, d_{1}}:=\operatorname{Im}\left(\Upsilon_{r_{1}, d_{1}}\right), \mathscr{H}_{\theta}:=\operatorname{Im}(\theta)$, and let

$$
G(M):=\bigsqcup_{\left\{r_{1}, d_{1}\right\}} G\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right), \quad \mathscr{S}(M):=\bigsqcup_{\left\{r_{1}, d_{1}\right\}} \mathscr{L}(M)_{r_{1}, d_{1}}
$$

be the disjoint unions of varieties, where $\left\{r_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ runs through the pairs $\left\{r_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ satisfying: $0<r_{1}<r, \quad r_{1} d-d_{1} r=(r, d)$.

Corollary 3.3. $G\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{r_{1}, d_{1}}} \mathscr{L}(M)_{r_{1}, d_{1}}$ are the normalizations and $\theta$ induces $\mathscr{H} \cong \mathscr{H}_{\theta} \subset$ $\mathscr{L}(M)$ when $(r, d)=r$. Moreover,

$$
\mathscr{L}(M)= \begin{cases}\mathscr{S}(M) & \text { if }(r, d) \neq r \\ \mathscr{S}(M) \sqcup \mathscr{H}_{\theta} & \text { if }(r, d)=r\end{cases}
$$

and $G(M) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(M)$ is an injective morphism.
Proof. $\mathscr{H} \cong \mathscr{H}_{\theta}$ follows from the study of Hecke cycles ${ }^{[8]}$. Since all $G\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ are smooth projective varieties, to show the other statements, it is enough to show that $G(M) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(M)$ (resp. $G(M) \sqcup \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(M))$ is bijective if $(r, d) \neq r$ (resp. $(r, d)=r)$. Theorem 2.7 implies surjectivity. The same arguments in the proof Theorem 3.1 imply injectivity.

## 4 The geometry of lines

The morphism $\Psi: \mathscr{P}^{0} \rightarrow M$ was well studied ${ }^{[8]}$ for arbitrary rank. In particular, for any $\xi \in \mathscr{R}_{C}^{0}$, the morphism

$$
\Psi_{\xi}:=\left.\Psi\right|_{\mathscr{P}_{\xi}^{0}}: \mathscr{P}_{\xi}^{0}=p^{-1}(\xi) \rightarrow M
$$

is a closed embedding. In this section, we study the morphism

$$
\Phi: \mathcal{P}:=P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow M
$$

for arbitrary rank. In general, we are not able to show that

$$
\Phi_{\xi}:=\left.\Phi\right|_{\mathcal{P}_{\xi}}: \mathcal{P}_{\xi}=q^{-1}(\xi) \rightarrow M
$$

is a closed embedding for each $\xi \in \mathcal{R}:=\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$. Consequently, we are not able to show that every line in $M$ is smooth for arbitrary rank case (it is true in rank two case). However, we will show that $\Phi_{\xi}$ is a closed embedding for $\xi \in \mathcal{R} \backslash \mathcal{D}$, where

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{\xi=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R} \mid \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{D}$ can be realized as the degenercy locus of a morphism between two vector bundles on $\mathcal{R}$. Thus, if $\mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$, it has

$$
\operatorname{Codim}(\mathcal{D}) \leq r_{1} r_{2}(g-1)+1-(r, d)
$$

and $\mathcal{D}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if the equality holds. To prove a lower bound of the codimension, we start it with an elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, V$ be stable vector bundles on $C$ of rank $r_{1}, r_{2}, r=r_{1}+r_{2}$ and degree $d_{1}, d_{2}, d=d_{1}+d_{2}$. Then, when $r_{1} d-d_{1} r=(r, d)$, we have
(1) Any nontrivial morphism $V_{1} \rightarrow V$ must be an injective morphism of bundles, and any nontrivial morphism $V \rightarrow V_{2}$ must be surjective.
(2) For any nontrivial morphism $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$, if $\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right) \neq \mu(V)$, then it must be injective when $r_{1} \leq r_{2}$ and surjective when $r_{1}>r_{2}$. If $\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right)=\mu(V)$ and $(r, d) \neq r$, then $f\left(V_{1}\right)$ is semistable and $V_{2} / f\left(V_{1}\right)$ is torsion-free.

Proof. Let $V_{1}^{\prime} \subset V$ be the image of $V_{1} \rightarrow V$ with $\operatorname{rk}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)=r_{1}^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)=d_{1}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\frac{(r, d)}{r_{1} r}=\mu(V)-\mu\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\mu\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(V_{1}\right)>\mu(V)-\mu\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{r_{1}^{\prime} d-r d_{1}^{\prime}}{r_{1}^{\prime} r}>0
$$

if $r_{1}^{\prime} \neq r_{1}$, which is impossible since $r_{1}^{\prime} d-r d_{1}^{\prime} \geq(r, d)$. It also shows that $V_{1}^{\prime}$ must be a subbundle of $V$. The surjectivity of any nontrivial morphism $V \rightarrow V_{2}$ can be proved similarly. To prove $(2)$, let $f\left(V_{1}\right)$ be of rank $r_{1}^{\prime}$ and degree $d_{1}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(r, d)}{r_{1} r_{2}}= & \mu\left(V_{2}\right)-\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right)+\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right)-\mu\left(V_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{r_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}-r_{2} d_{1}^{\prime}}{r_{1}^{\prime} r_{2}}+\frac{r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime} d_{1}}{r_{1}^{\prime} r_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $r_{1} \leq r_{2}$, if $V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ is not injective, then both $\operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2} \otimes f\left(V_{1}\right)^{*}\right)=r_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}-r_{2} d_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f\left(V_{1}\right) \otimes V_{1}^{*}\right)=r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime} d_{1}$ are positive. Their difference

$$
\left(r_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}-r_{2} d_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime} d_{1}\right)=r_{1}^{\prime} d-d_{1}^{\prime} r=r_{1}^{\prime} r\left(\mu(V)-\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

is a nonzero integer divisible by $(r, d)$, thus one of them is bigger than $(r, d)$, which contradicts the above equality (4). When $r_{1}>r_{2}$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(f\left(V_{1}\right) \otimes V_{1}^{*}\right)>0$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2} \otimes f\left(V_{1}\right)^{*}\right) \geq 0$. The same argument shows that $\operatorname{deg}\left(V_{2} \otimes f\left(V_{1}\right)^{*}\right)=r_{2} r_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mu\left(V_{2}\right)-\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right)\right)$ must be zero. Thus $f\left(V_{1}\right)=V_{2}$ by the stability of $V_{2}$.

When $\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right)=\mu(V)$, we show first of all that $V_{2} / f\left(V_{1}\right)$ is torsion-free. Let $f\left(V_{1}\right) \subset W \subset$ $V_{2}$ such that $V_{2} / W$ is torsion-free, $\operatorname{rk}(W)=r_{1}^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}(W)=\tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\left(r_{1} \tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime} d_{1}\right)-\left(r_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}-r_{2} \tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=r\left(\tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(r, d)}{r_{1} r_{2}} & =\mu\left(V_{2}\right)-\mu(W)+\mu(W)-\mu\left(V_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{r_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}-r_{2} \tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}}{r_{1}^{\prime} r_{2}}+\frac{r_{1} \tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime} d_{1}}{r_{1}^{\prime} r_{1}} \geq \frac{r\left(\tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{r_{1} r_{1}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $\tilde{d}_{1}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}>0$, we get $r \leq(r, d)$, which contradicts the assumption $r \neq(r, d)$. To see that $f\left(V_{1}\right)$ is semistable, let $V_{0} \subset f\left(V_{1}\right)$ be a proper subbundle of rank $r_{0}$ and degree $d_{0}$. If $\mu\left(V_{0}\right)>\mu\left(f\left(V_{1}\right)\right)=\mu(V)$, then $\mu\left(V_{1}\right)<\mu\left(V_{0}\right)<\mu\left(V_{2}\right)$, which is impossible by the above arguments. Thus $f\left(V_{1}\right)$ is semistable.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{D}=\left\{\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \times \mathcal{U}_{C}\left(r_{2}, d_{2}\right) \mid \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \neq 0\right\}$ and $\mathcal{R}:=\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$. Then, when $\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}>\frac{r}{(r, d)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R}) \geq \frac{r}{(r, d)}\left(r-\frac{r}{(r, d)}\right)(g-1)-1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\} \leq \frac{r}{(r, d)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R}) \geq r_{1} r_{2}(g-1)+1-(r, d) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same inequalities also hold for the codimension of $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Since taking dual of vector bundles induces an isomorphism between moduli spaces, we can assume $r_{1} \geq r_{2}$ without loss of generality. Let $h: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be the total space of morphisms $V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$, let $\mathcal{H}_{1} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be the union of irreducible components whose general points are not surjective morphisms $V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{2}:=\mathcal{H} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{1}$. Then there is an open dense subset $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{0} \subset \mathcal{H}_{2}$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{2} \rightarrow 0$ on $C \times \mathcal{H}_{2}^{0}$, where $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ is a flat family of vector bundles of rank $r_{1}-r_{2}$ and degree $d_{1}-d_{2}$ any subbundle of which has slope less than $d_{1} / r_{1}$ (so that the set of such bundles is bounded). Let $Q \subset \operatorname{Quot}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(-m)^{p(m)}\right)$ be the open set consisting of locally free quotients $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-m)^{p(m)} \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ of rank $r_{1}-r_{2}$ and degree $d_{1}-d_{2}$ such that $V^{\prime}(m)$ is generated by global sections, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(V^{\prime}(m)\right)=0$ and the quotient map induces $\mathbb{C}^{p(m)} \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(V^{\prime}(m)\right)$. Let $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2}^{0}$ be the frame bundle of $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime}(m)\right)$, where $\pi: C \times \mathcal{H}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2}^{0}$, then the pullback of the exact sequence gives a morphism from $\mathcal{F}$ to the projective bundle over $Q \times \mathcal{U}_{2}$ that parametrizes nontrivial extensions. The fiber of this morphism has dimension at most 1 since $V_{1}$ is a stable bundle. Note that the irreducible component of $Q$ containing
stable bundles has maximal dimension and sending any extension $\left(0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2} \rightarrow 0\right)$ to $\operatorname{det}\left(V_{2}\right)^{2} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ defines a surjective morphism to $J^{d}(C)$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) \leq\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}(g-1)+1+r_{2}^{2}(g-1)+1+(r, d)+r_{2}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)(g-1)-g
$$

and codimension of $h\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) \subset \mathcal{R}$ is at least $r_{1} r_{2}(g-1)+1-(r, d)$.
To estimate $h\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$, by Lemma 4.1 (2), there are two cases: (1) $r_{1}=r_{2},\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in h\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ satisfy $0 \rightarrow V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2} \rightarrow x_{n_{1}} \mathbb{C}^{n_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus{ }_{x_{n_{k}}} \mathbb{C}^{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ for some $x_{n_{i}} \in C$ and $\sum n_{i}=d_{2}-d_{1}$ (the locus of these points has codimension at least $r_{1}^{2}(g-1)+1-(r, d)$ ), or (2) $\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}>$ $\frac{r}{(r, d)},\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in h\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ where $V_{1}, V_{2}$ are nontrivial extensions $0 \rightarrow V_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{1} \rightarrow V_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$, $0 \rightarrow V_{k-1} \rightarrow V_{2} \rightarrow V_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ such that $V_{k-1}$ is a bundle of rank $r_{k-1}=k \frac{r}{(r, d)}$ and degree $d_{k-1}=k \frac{d}{(r, d)}$, where $1 \leq k<\min \left\{\frac{r_{1}(r, d)}{r}, \frac{r_{2}(r, d)}{r}\right\}$. The locus of such points has codimension at least $r_{k-1}\left(r-r_{k-1}\right)(g-1)+1-\frac{2 r_{k-1}}{r}(r, d)$. Note that the function

$$
f(x)=x(r-x)(g-1)+1-\frac{2 x}{r}(r, d)
$$

is an increase function for $x \leq \frac{r}{2}-\frac{(r, d)}{r(g-1)}, r_{0}:=\frac{r}{(r, d)} \leq r_{k-1} \leq \frac{r}{2}-\frac{(r, d)}{r(g-1)}$, and $f\left(r_{1}\right) \leq$ $r_{1}\left(r-r_{1}\right)(g-1)+1-(r, d)$, we get (4.1) when $\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}>\frac{r}{(r, d)}$. If $\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\} \leq \frac{r}{(r, d)}$, any morphism $V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ must be surjective when $r_{1}>r_{2}$ and injective when $r_{1}=r_{2}$. Thus we get the inequality (4.2). The same estimates also hold clearly for $\mathcal{D}$.

Corollary 4.3. If $(r, d) \leq 2$ and $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}$ are Cohen-Macaulay closed subschemes of codimension $r_{1} r_{2}(g-1)+1-(r, d)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, when $(r, d) \leq 2, \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R}$ have codimension at least $r_{1} r_{2}(g-1)+1-$ $(r, d)$. On the other hand, it is standard to realize $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R}$ ) as the degenercy locus of a morphism between two vector bundles. Then the general theory implies that the codimension of $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R}$ ) is at most $r_{1} r_{2}(g-1)+1-(r, d)$ and $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{R}$ ) Cohen-Macaulay if the bound is reached.

Write $\mathcal{P}=P\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right), \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}\left(r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$. Recall that we have

and the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow(1 \times q)^{*} \mathcal{V}_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow(1 \times q)^{*} \mathcal{V}_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

which induces the morphism

$$
\Phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow M .
$$

Let $\operatorname{Ad}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the sheaf of trace free endomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{E}) \subset A d(\mathcal{E})$ the subsheaf of endomorphisms that preserve the above exact sequence. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ad}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow(1 \times q)^{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2, $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)=0$, thus the sequence (4.3) induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \Delta(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} A d(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow q^{*} R^{1} \pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.4. The infinitesimal deformation map $T_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \Delta(\mathcal{E})$ induces an isomorphism. Under this identification, the sequence (4.4) induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{d \Phi} \Phi^{*} T_{M} \rightarrow q^{*} R^{1} \pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} n d^{0}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{E} n d\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{E} n d\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)+\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)} \mathcal{O}_{C \times \mathcal{R}}\right)$, then

$$
0 \rightarrow(1 \times q)^{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{2}^{\vee}\right) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(1) \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow(1 \times q)^{*} \mathcal{E} n d^{0} \rightarrow 0
$$

Now the proof is a straightforward generalization of Lemma $6.6{ }^{[8]}$ since we have here $T_{\mathcal{R}}=$ $R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathcal{E} n d^{0}$, thus we omit it.

For any $\xi=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$, in order to study differential $d \Phi_{\xi}$ of the morphism

$$
\Phi_{\xi}:=\left.\Phi\right|_{\mathcal{P}_{\xi}}: \mathcal{P}_{\xi}=q^{-1}(\xi) \rightarrow M
$$

let $[e] \in \mathcal{P}_{\xi}$ be represented by a nontrivial extension

$$
0 \rightarrow V_{1} \xrightarrow{i} V \xrightarrow{j} V_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
K_{[e]}:=\left\{(f, g) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{1}, V\right) \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(V, V_{2}\right) \mid g \cdot i+j \cdot f=0\right\} .
$$

Lemma 4.5. The kernel of $\left(d \Phi_{\xi}\right)_{[e]}: T_{\mathcal{P}_{\xi},[e]} \rightarrow T_{M, \Phi([e])}$ has dimension

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{[e]}\right)-1
$$

In particular, when $\operatorname{rk}(V)=2, d \Phi_{\xi}$ is injective at every point $[e] \in \mathcal{P}_{\xi}$.

Proof. The $k[\epsilon]$-value points of $\mathcal{P}_{\xi}$ over $[e] \in \mathcal{P}_{\xi}$, which lie in kernel of $\left(d \Phi_{\xi}\right)_{[e]}$, are precisely represented by the extensions $\left(\epsilon^{2}=0\right)$

$$
0 \rightarrow V_{1} \otimes_{k} k[\epsilon] \xrightarrow{i_{\epsilon}} V \otimes_{k} k[\epsilon] \xrightarrow{j_{\epsilon}} V_{2} \otimes_{k} k[\epsilon] \rightarrow 0
$$

with $i_{\epsilon}=i \otimes 1+\epsilon f \otimes 1$ and $j_{\epsilon}=j \otimes 1+\epsilon g \otimes 1$ where $(f, g) \in K_{[e]}$. Thus the kernel of $\left(d \Phi_{\xi}\right)_{[e]}$ has dimension $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{[e]}\right)-1$. When $r k(V)=2$, using Lemma 4.1 (1), we can show $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{1}, V\right)$ has dimension 1, which implies the injectivity of $\left(d \Phi_{\xi}\right)_{[e]}$ (which also implies that $\Phi_{\xi}$ is an embedding in the case of rank two).

Proposition 4.6. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{R} \backslash \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{D}$, the morphism $\Phi_{\xi}: \mathcal{P}_{\xi} \rightarrow M$ is an embedding. For any two different points $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathcal{R}$, the intersection of $\Phi_{\xi_{1}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{1}}\right)$ and $\Phi_{\xi_{2}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{2}}\right)$ has dimension zero, i.e., a finite set.

Proof. $\xi=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \notin \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{D}$ means $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)=0$, which implies that both $\Phi_{\xi}$ and $d \Phi_{\xi}$ are injective, thus $\Phi_{\xi}$ is an embedding.

Let $\xi_{1}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}, \xi_{2}=\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ be any two different points. Fix isomorphisms $\mathbb{P} \cong \mathcal{P}_{\xi_{1}} \cong \mathcal{P}_{\xi_{2}}$ and pull back the universal extensions to $C \times \mathbb{P}$

$$
0 \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1} \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} V_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

$$
0 \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} W_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2} \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} W_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $p_{1}: C \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow C, \pi: C \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ are the projections. If the intersection $\Phi_{\xi_{1}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{1}}\right) \cap \Phi_{\xi_{2}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{2}}\right)$ has positive dimension, then there is a nonsingular projective curve $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that on $C \times Y$ the pullback of above exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1} \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} V_{2} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} W_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2} \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} W_{2} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

define the same morphism $Y \rightarrow M$. Thus there is a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on $Y$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{1} \cong \mathcal{E}_{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{N}) \leq 0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(p_{1}^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1), p_{1}^{*} W_{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)=0
$$

and $\mathcal{E}_{1} \cong \mathcal{E}_{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}$ induces an injection

$$
p_{1}^{*} V_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1) \rightarrow p_{1}^{*} W_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}
$$

which implies an injection $V_{1} \rightarrow W_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Thus $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}, V_{1} \cong W_{1}$ and $V_{2} \cong W_{2}$, which contradicts with $\xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{N}) \geq 0$, using $\mathcal{E}_{2} \cong \mathcal{E}_{1} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}^{-1}$, we get contradiction by the same arguments. Hence the intersection $\Phi_{\xi_{1}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{1}}\right) \cap \Phi_{\xi_{2}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{2}}\right)$ has dimension zero.

It would be interesting to have a formula of the intersection number of $\Phi_{\xi_{1}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{1}}\right)$ and $\Phi_{\xi_{2}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{2}}\right)$. We end this section with a question.

Question 4.7. Is it true that any two lines on $M$ has at most one intersection point ? It is interesting to describe the configurations of lines on $M$ and on subvarieties (such as the Brill-Noether locus) of $M$.

## 5 Remarks on minimal rational curves on $M$

Let $M$ be the moduli space of stable bundles of rank $r$ and degree $d$ with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}$ on a nonsingular projective $C$ of genus $g \geq 3$. We assume $(r, d)=1$ in this section. Then $M$ is a smooth projective Fano variety and there is an universal bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $C \times M$. The universal bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is unique up to tensoring the pullback of a line bundle on $M$. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(M) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}$, according to Remark $2.9^{[9]}$, there is a unique universal bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $C \times M$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{\{x\} \times M}\right)=\Theta_{M}^{\alpha}$ for any $x \in C$, where $\Theta_{M}$ is the ample generator of $\operatorname{Pic}(M)$ and $\alpha$ is the smallest positive integer such that $\alpha d \equiv 1 \bmod (r)$. We will denote this canonical universal bundle by $\mathcal{E}$ in this section.

For any rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ through a general point of $M$, denote by $f: X=$ $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow C$ and $\pi: X=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ the projections, the proof of Theorem $1^{[10]}$ implies in fact the following

Theorem 5.1. If $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ is a minimal rational curve through a general point, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi^{*} \Theta_{M}\right)=r$ and $E:=(1 \times \phi)^{*} \mathcal{E}$ is a stable bundle on $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with respect to any polarization. Moreover, there is a point $x_{\phi} \in C$ such that $\left.E\right|_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha)^{\oplus r}$ for $x \neq x_{\phi}$ and

$$
\left.E\right|_{\left\{x_{\phi}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha+1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha)^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha-1) .
$$

There is a stable vector bundle $V$ on $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow f^{*} V \otimes \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha) \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\left\{x_{\phi}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an exact sequence.

For any general point $[W] \in M$, let $\Omega_{W}$ be the relative cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}\left(W^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow C$. Then Theorem $1^{[10]}$ also implies that the variety of all minimal rational curves passing through $[W] \in M$ is naturally isomorphic to the (double)projective bundle

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{W}\right) \xrightarrow{p} C .
$$

Thus, for any $x_{0} \in C$, the set of minimal rational curves $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ with $x_{\phi} \neq x_{0}$ is the dense open set $p^{-1}\left(C \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$ of the variety of minimal rational curves passing through $[W] \in M$. Let $\pi: C \times M \rightarrow M$ be the projection and $d>2 r(g-1)$. Then the direct image $\pi_{*} \mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle on $M$ (the so called Picard bundle). By using Theorem $1^{[10]}$, we give a simple proof of some known results ${ }^{[1],[2],[7]}$.

Theorem 5.2. The bundles $\mathcal{E}_{x}:=\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{\{x\} \times M}(\forall x \in C), \mathcal{E}$ and the Picard bundle $\pi_{*} \mathcal{E}$ are stable with respect to any polarization on $C \times M$ and $M$. Moreover, for any $x \neq y$, we have $\mathcal{E}_{x} \neq \mathcal{E}_{y}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.7 in Chapter II ${ }^{[6]}$ (cf. also (4.3), proof of Proposition $12^{[4]}$ ), for any closed subset $S \subset M$ of codimension at least two, there is a minimal rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. If $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}_{x}$ is a subsheaf with $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mu\left(\mathcal{E}_{x}\right)$, we may assume that the singular locus $S \subset M$ of $\mathcal{F}$ has codimension at least two. Then there is a minimal rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ with $x_{\phi} \neq x$ such that $\phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cap S=\emptyset$ and $\phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is not contained in the singular locus of $\mathcal{E}_{x} / \mathcal{F}$. By Theorem 5.1, $E_{x}=\phi^{*} \mathcal{E}_{x}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha)^{\oplus r}$, thus $r \cdot a_{\mathcal{F}}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi^{*} c_{1}(\mathcal{F})\right) \leq \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \alpha$ where $a_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c_{1}(\mathcal{F})=a_{\mathcal{F}} c_{1}\left(\Theta_{M}\right)$ and $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{x}\right)=\alpha c_{1}\left(\Theta_{M}\right)$, which implies $\mu(\mathcal{F})=\mu\left(\mathcal{E}_{x}\right)$, a contradiction since $\alpha d \equiv 1 \bmod (r)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{x}(\forall x \in C)$ are stable bundles.

To show stability of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to any polarization $H=a f^{-1}(x)+b \Theta_{M}$, for any subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$, let $c_{1}(\mathcal{F})=d_{1} f^{-1}(x)+\beta \Theta_{M}$ and $c_{1}(\mathcal{E})=d f^{-1}(x)+\alpha \Theta_{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot H^{n}=\left(d_{1} b+\beta a\right) b^{n-1} f^{-1}(x) \cdot \Theta_{M}^{n} \\
& c_{1}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot H^{n}=(d b+\alpha a) b^{n-1} f^{-1}(x) \cdot \Theta_{M}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=\operatorname{dim}(M)$. Thus it is enough to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{1} b+\beta a}{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F})}<\frac{d b+\alpha a}{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume that singular loci $S \subset C \times M$ of $\mathcal{F}$ has codimension at least two. If $f(S) \varsubsetneqq C$, then stability of $\mathcal{E}_{x}(x \notin f(S))$ and $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{C \times\{y\}}(y \notin \pi(S))$ implies the inequality (5.2). If $f(S)=C$, for generic $x \in C$, the locus $S_{x}=S \cap f^{-1}(x) \subset\{x\} \times M$ has codimension at least two. Thus there is a minimal rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cap \pi\left(S_{x}\right)=\emptyset$ and $x_{\phi} \neq x$. Then $\{x\} \times \phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \subset C \times M$ is disjoint with $S$ and $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{\{x\} \times \phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)}$ is semi-stable, which implies $\beta / \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha / \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})$. The stability of $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{C \times\{y\}}(y \notin \pi(S))$ implies $d_{1} / \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F})<d / \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})$. All together, we have the inequality (5.2).

To show stability of $\pi_{*} \mathcal{E}$, for any subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \pi_{*} \mathcal{E}$, it is enough to find a $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ disjoint with the singular locus $S$ of $\mathcal{F}$ such that the restrictions $F=\phi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ and $\pi_{*} E=\pi^{*}\left(\pi_{*} \mathcal{E}\right)$
satisfy $\mu(F)<\mu\left(\pi_{*} E\right)$, where $E=(1 \times \phi)^{*} \mathcal{E}$. Let $\mathcal{F}(W) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}(W)=\left.\pi_{*}(\mathcal{E})\right|_{[W]}$ be the fibre of $\mathcal{F}$ at a general point $[W] \in M$. Let $Z \subset C$ be the set of common zero points of sections of $\mathcal{F}(W)$ and $x \in C \backslash Z$ a general point. Let $\mathcal{F}(W)_{x}=\left\{s_{x} \in W_{x} \mid s \in \mathcal{F}(W)\right\}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}\left(W_{x}^{\vee}\right)$ a general point such that $\mathcal{F}(W)_{x} \nsubseteq \zeta^{\perp} \subset W_{x}$. Define a vector bundle $W^{\zeta}$, which is the Hecke modification of $W$ along $\zeta^{\perp} \subset W_{x}$, by

$$
0 \rightarrow W^{\zeta} \xrightarrow{\iota} W \rightarrow\left(W_{x} / \zeta^{\perp}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{x} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\zeta^{\perp}$ denotes the hyperplane in $W_{x}$ annihilated by $\zeta$. The 1-dimensional subspace $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota_{x}\right) \subset$ $W_{x}^{\zeta}$ defines a point $\left[\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota_{x}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{P}\left(W_{x}^{\zeta}\right)$. Then a general line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}\left(W_{x}^{\zeta}\right)$ passing through $\left[\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota_{x}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{P}\left(W_{x}^{\zeta}\right)$ defines a minimal rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ passing through $[W] \in M$ disjoint with $S$ such that $x_{\phi}=x$. By (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha) \rightarrow \pi_{*} E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\left\{x_{\phi}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{F}(W)_{x} \nsubseteq \zeta^{\perp} \subset W_{x}$ and $\phi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ passes through $[W] \in M$, the image of $F \subset \pi_{*} E$ under the surjection $\pi_{*} E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\left\{x_{\phi}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha-1)$ is non-trivial. Thus

$$
\mu(F) \leq \alpha-\frac{1}{\operatorname{rk}(F)}<\alpha-\frac{1}{\operatorname{rk}\left(\pi_{*} E\right)}=\mu\left(\pi_{*} E\right)
$$

To show $\mathcal{E}_{x} \not \equiv \mathcal{E}_{y}$ when $x \neq y$, we choose a minimal rational curve $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow M$ with $x_{\phi}=x$. Then, by Theorem 5.1, we have

$$
\phi^{*} \mathcal{E}_{y}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha)^{\oplus r} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha+1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha)^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\alpha-1)=\phi^{*} \mathcal{E}_{x} .
$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}_{x} \neq \mathcal{E}_{y}$, we finish the proof of theorem.
Remark 5.3. As far as we know, the semi-stability of $\mathcal{E}_{x}$ appears firstly as Proposition $1.4^{[1]}$, its stability is Proposition $2.1^{[7]}$. The stability of $\mathcal{E}$ is Theorem $1.5^{[1]}$. The stability of $\pi_{*} \mathcal{E}$ and the fact that $\mathcal{E}_{x} \not \not \mathcal{E}_{y}(x \neq y)$ are the main theorems ${ }^{[2],[7]}$.
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