

# DEGREE ESTIMATE FOR SUBALGEBRAS

YUN-CHANG LI AND JIE-TAI YU

ABSTRACT. Based on Bergman's Lemma on centralizers, we obtain a sharp lower degree bound for nonconstant elements in a subalgebra generated by two elements of a free associative algebra over an arbitrary field.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

Let  $A_n = K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  be the free associative algebra of rank  $n$  over a field  $K$ ,  $B$  a subalgebra of  $A_n$  generated by two elements in  $A_n \setminus K$ .

Based on Bergman's Lemma on radicals [5] that if the leading monomial of an element in a Malcev-Neumann (power series) algebra  $([1, 2, 3, 7])$  over a field of characteristic 0 has  $n^{-th}$  roots, then so does the element itself, Makar-Limanov and Yu [8] gave a sharp lower degree bound for nonconstant elements in  $B$  when the characteristic of  $K$  is zero.

However, in the case of positive characteristic, the Lemma on radical is not true, which can be shown by the following simple example that  $x^2 + x$  has no square roots in the Malcev-Neumann (power series) algebra  $F((x_1, \dots, x_n))$  in free case over a field  $F$  of characteristic 2. Therefore, the method in [8] is no longer applicable.

In this paper, based on Bergman's Lemma on centralizers [5], we generalize the degree estimate in [8] for any characteristic.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A_n = K\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$  be a free associative algebra over a field  $K$  and let  $f, g \in A_n$  be algebraically independent elements over  $F$ . Suppose the leading monomials  $v(f)$  and  $v(g)$  are algebraically*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13S10, 16S10. Secondary 13F20, 13W20, 14R10, 16W20, 16Z05.

*Key words and phrases.* Degree estimate, subalgebras, free associative algebras, commutators, Malcev-Neumann algebras, centralizers, ordered groups.

The research of Jie-Tai Yu was partially supported by an RGC-CERG Grant.

The research of Yun-Chang Li was partially supported by a Postgraduate Studentship.

dependent over  $K$ , and, neither  $\deg(f)$  divides  $\deg(g)$  nor  $\deg(g)$  divides  $\deg(f)$ . Then for any  $P(x, y) \in K\langle x, y \rangle \setminus K$ ,

$$\deg(P(f, g)) \geq w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(P(x, y)) \frac{\deg([f, g])}{\deg(f) + \deg(g)}.$$

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

First we introduce some terminologies. Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic  $r$  (zero or prime),  $A_n$  the free associative algebra generated by  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  over  $K$  where  $n \geq 2$ , and  $F = \langle X \rangle$  be the free group generated by  $X$ . By a group order, we mean that it is a total order of the group as a set, and coincides to the operation of the group as well; namely, if a group  $G$  has a group order, then  $G$  is totally ordered as a set, and to any  $a, b, c \in G$ , if  $a > b$ , we always have  $ca > cb$  and  $ac > bc$ . Since it is possible to equip  $F$  a group order which is an extension of the partial order of the total degree [3], namely if  $\deg(a(x_1, \dots, x_n)) > \deg(b(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  where  $a(x_1, \dots, x_n), b(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F$ , then  $a(x_1, \dots, x_n) > b(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $K((F))$  forms a Malcev-Neumann algebra [1, 2, 7] under this order. Any element  $f \in A_n$  can be viewed as an element of  $K((F))$ . Let the leading term (namely the least element in the support) of  $f$  be  $c \cdot h$  with  $c \in K^*$  and  $h \in F$ , we denote  $h$  by  $v(f)$  and  $c$  by  $c(f)$ . For the degree functions, let  $\deg$  be the total degree, or homogeneous degree, of a polynomial in  $K((F))$  and  $\deg_{x_i}$  be the partial degree relative to  $x_i$ . Here we will restate the definition of weighted degree of a polynomial which has been defined in [5, 6] just for convenience. The weighted degree  $w_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(m(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  of a monomial  $m$  is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \cdot \deg_{x_i}(m)$ , and for a polynomial  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $w_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(p) = \max\{w_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(m) | m \in \text{supp}(p)\}$ . Obviously we have  $\deg(m) = w_{1, \dots, 1}(m)$  and  $\deg_{x_i}(m) = w_{0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0}$  where 1 is the  $i$ -th coordinate.

Let  $f, g \in A_n$  be algebraically independent where  $v(f)$  and  $v(g)$  are algebraically dependent but  $\deg(f) \nmid \deg(g)$ ,  $\deg(g) \nmid \deg(f)$ , and we assume that  $\deg(g) = n > m = \deg(f)$ .

Crucial to the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following Bergman's Lemma on Centralizers [5, 6].

**Lemma 2.1 (on centralizers).** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $S$  an ordered semigroup (the group order), and an element of  $R((S))$  with invertible leading term  $a_u u$ . (Thus,  $u$  is invertible in  $S$ , and  $a_u$  in*

*R*). Then there exists an element  $f$  with leading term 1, such that the element  $c = f^{-1}af$  (which clearly also has leading term  $a_u u$ ) has support entirely in the centralizer of  $u$  in  $S$ .

Now we re-present the proof of Lemma on centralizers in [5, 6] for self-contain-ness of this paper as the journal that [5, 6] appeared is not well circulated.

*Proof.* Clearly, we may assume without loss of generality that  $a_u = 1$ . Let  $\infty$  be a symbol outside of  $S$  with the property  $\forall s \in S, s < \infty$ , and let  $S' = S \cup \{\infty\}$ . Of course  $S'$  is a totally ordered set. By ‘the leading term of  $r \in R((S))$  is  $\alpha t$ ’, we mean that if  $r = 0$ , then  $t = \infty$  and  $\alpha$  is undefined. To each pair  $x, y \in S'$ , the intervals of different types are defined as follows:  $[x, y] = \{s \in S' | x \leq s \leq y\}$ ;  $(x, y] = \{s \in S' | x < s \leq y\}$ ;  $[x, y) = \{s \in S' | x \leq s < y\}$ ;  $(x, y) = \{s \in S' | x < s < y\}$ .

For  $s, t \in S$ ,  $s$  being invertible, we define  $\frac{t}{s} = \max\{ts^{-1}, s^{-1}t\}$ . We also define  $\frac{\infty}{s} = \infty$ . Easy to get that  $x > y$  implies  $\frac{x}{s} > \frac{y}{s}$ .

Let  $X$  be the set of all 3-tuples  $(t, b, e)$  where  $t \in (u, \infty]$ ,  $b \in R((S))$  with  $v(b) = u, c(b) = 1$  and  $\text{supp}(b) \subseteq [u, t) \cap C_u(S)$ , and  $e$  is an element with leading term 1 and support in  $[1, \frac{t}{u})$  such that  $v(ebe^{-1} - a) = t, c(ebe^{-1} - a) = \alpha$  (here we mean that if  $ebe^{-1} - a = 0$ , then  $t = \infty$ , and if not,  $\alpha \in R - \{0\}$ ).

Now establish a partial order on  $X$ :  $(t, b, e) < (t', b', e')$  if and only if  $t < t'$ ,  $\text{supp}(b' - b) \subseteq [t, t')$  and  $\text{supp}(e' - e) \subseteq [\frac{t}{u}, \frac{t'}{u})$  (here notice that surely  $\frac{t}{u} < \frac{t'}{u}$  as being proved). The last two conditions say that  $b', e'$  “extend”  $b$  and  $e$ .

$X$  is nonempty since  $(v(a - u), u, 1) \in X$ . Hence, to each ascending chain  $\{(t_l, b_l, e_l) | l \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ , we just ‘piece together’  $b_l$  and  $e_l$  as  $b$  and  $e$ , and let  $t = v(ebe^{-1} - a)$  (obviously here  $t \geq t_l$  for each  $l$ ), and then  $(t, b, e)$  becomes the upper bound of the chain. Hence, according to Zorn’s Lemma,  $X$  has a maximal one.

We now prove that if  $t < \infty$ ,  $(t, b, e)$  can not be a maximal element. If not, let  $(t, b, e)$  with  $t < \infty$  be a maximal element, and we have three cases.

**Case 1.**  $tu^{-1} > u^{-1}t$ . Then  $\frac{t}{u} = tu^{-1}$ . Let  $e' = e - atu^{-1}$ , and hence  $e'^{-1} = e^{-1} + atu^{-1} + o(tu^{-1})$  where  $o(tu^{-1})$  means that it is an element of

$R((S))$  each of whose support is greater than  $tu^{-1}$ . Let  $b' = b$ , and  $t' = v(e'b'e'^{-1} - a)$ . Since  $(e - \alpha tu^{-1})b(e^{-1} + \alpha tu^{-1} + o(tu^{-1})) - a = (ebe^{-1} - a) + \alpha ebtu^{-1} + ebo(tu^{-1}) - \alpha tu^{-1}be^{-1} - \alpha^2 tu^{-1}btu^{-1} - \alpha tu^{-1}bo(tu^{-1})$ , and  $v(\alpha ebtu^{-1}) = utu^{-1} > t$ ,  $v(ebo(tu^{-1})) > utu^{-1} > t$ ,  $v(-\alpha^2 tu^{-1}btu^{-1}) = tu^{-1}utu^{-1} = t^2u^{-1} > t$  (notice that  $t > u$ ),  $v(-\alpha tu^{-1}bo(tu^{-1})) > tu^{-1}utu^{-1} > t$ ,  $v((ebe^{-1} - a) - \alpha tu^{-1}be^{-1}) = v((\alpha t + o(t)) - \alpha tu^{-1}u + o(t)) > t$ , as well as  $v((ebe^{-1} - a) + \alpha ebtu^{-1} + ebo(tu^{-1}) - \alpha tu^{-1}be^{-1} - \alpha^2 tu^{-1}btu^{-1} - \alpha tu^{-1}bo(tu^{-1})) \geq \max\{v((ebe^{-1} - a) - \alpha tu^{-1}be^{-1}), v(\alpha ebtu^{-1}), v(ebo(tu^{-1})), v(-\alpha^2 tu^{-1}btu^{-1}), v(-\alpha tu^{-1}bo(tu^{-1}))\}$ ,  $t' > t$ . It means that  $(t', b', e') > (t, b, e)$  which contradicts to  $(t, b, e)$  being maximal.

**Case 2.**  $tu^{-1} < u^{-1}t$ . Similar to case 1, we just let  $e' = e - \alpha u^{-1}t$ ,  $b' = b$ , and  $v(e'b'e'^{-1} - a) > t$ .

**Case 3.**  $tu^{-1} = u^{-1}t$ . Then  $t$  commutes with  $u$ , so we can let  $e' = e$ ,  $b' = b - \alpha t$ , and hence  $e'b'e'^{-1} - a = e(b - \alpha t)e^{-1} - a = (ebe^{-1} - a) - \alpha ete^{-1}$ . Since  $ebe^{-1} - a = \alpha t + o(t)$ ,  $v(\alpha ete^{-1}) = t$ ,  $v((ebe^{-1} - a) - \alpha ete^{-1}) > t$ , namely  $t' > t$  which contradicts to  $(t, b, e)$  being maximal. Therefore, there must exist some  $(t, b, e)$  such that  $t = \infty$ , namely  $ebe^{-1} = a$ , or  $e^{-1}ae = b$ .  $\square$

Let us give an example in  $K((F))$  to understand Bergman's Lemma on centralizers and its proof. Here we will use the opposite definition of "well-ordered" on  $F$ , namely each subset has a greatest element.

**Example 2.2.** In  $F$  we assume  $x > y$  and  $xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1} < (x^2)^{-1} \cdot xy$  (of course  $xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1} > (x^2)^{-1} \cdot xy$  is also feasible since they are both extended total orders of the partial order of degree) and let  $a = x^2 + xy$ . By Bergman's method, we establish the approximation starting from  $(xy, x^2, 1)$  ( $b = v(a)$ ,  $t = v(a - v(a))$ ,  $e = 1$ ). Then  $e' = e + xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1} = 1 + xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}$  and  $(e')^{-1} = e^{-1} - xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1} + O(xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}) = 1 - xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1} + O(xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1})$  where  $O(xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1})$  means all the monomials behind are all less than  $xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}$ .  $b' = b = x^2$ , and since  $e'b'(e')^{-1} = (1 + xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1})x^2(1 - xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1} + O(xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}))$ , it is easy to get that  $v(e'b'(e')^{-1} - a) = x^2 \cdot xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}$  since  $x > y$ , namely  $t' = x^2 \cdot xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}$ .

After  $k$  steps, we get the three-tuple  $(t_k, b_k, e_k)$ . Now we claim that to all the  $t'_i$ s, if  $t_i \neq \infty$ , then  $\deg(t_i) = 2$ , and all the  $e'_i$ s are homogenous of degree 0 and  $b_i = x^2$  all the way. For  $k = 1$ , we see  $t_1 = x^2 \cdot xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}$ ,  $e_1 = 1 + xy \cdot (x^2)^{-1}$ ,  $b_1 = x^2$  and it satisfies. Assume that it is correct for  $k = n - 1$ . If  $t_{n-1} = \infty$ , then  $e_{n-1}b_{n-1}e_{n-1}^{-1} = a$ , and we prove it. If not, since  $t_{n-1}$  is a monomial of degree 2 however it is less than

$x^2$ , so it can not commute with  $x^2$  (By Bergman [4], the centralizer of any element of  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \setminus K$  is a polynomial algebra in one variable over  $K$ ). Hence  $b_n = b_{n-1} = x^2$ ,  $e_n = e_{n-1} + \alpha t_n \cdot x^{-2} / \alpha x^{-2} \cdot t_n$ , and the new term of  $e_n$  will always has degree 0. Then  $e_n$  is also homogenous of degree 0 and so is  $e_n^{-1}$ . Obviously  $e_n b_n e_n^{-1}$  is homogenous of degree 2 and since  $a$  is homogenous of degree 2,  $e_n b_n e_n^{-1} - a$  is homogeneous of degree 2 or equal to 0, namely  $\deg(t_n) = 2$  or  $t_n = \infty$ .

It means that after finite steps of the algorithm, we always get  $eae^{-1} = x^2 + t$  where  $\deg(t) = 2$ , or we get  $eae^{-1} = x^2$ . Now we consider the subset  $S$  of three-tuples  $(t, b, e)$  defined in the proof of Lemma on centralizers where  $e$  being homogeneous of degree 0 and  $b = x^2$ . Since  $a$  is homogenous of degree 2,  $t$  is also of degree 2 or  $\infty$ . Then, By preserving the order introduced by Bergman on  $S$ , if  $t$  is not  $\infty$ , we can always construct an ‘extension’ of  $b$  and  $e$  such that  $(t', b', e') \in S$  is greater. However, by the ‘piece together’, we will always get a maximal element, and hence we get the maximal element with  $t = \infty$ , namely there exists an  $e$  which is homogenous of degree 0 such that  $eae^{-1} = x^2$ .

**Remark 2.3.** The steps in the proof of Bergman is to construct a ‘better approximative’ element to the maximal element instead of calculating the maximal three-tuple.

According to the discussion in the example above, we obtain

**Proposition 2.4.** *If an element  $a \in K((F))$  is homogenous, then there exists some  $e \in K((F))$  with leading term 1 which is homogenous of degree 0 such that  $eae^{-1} = c(a)v(a)$ .*

□

Then according to Lemma on centralizers, there exists some  $t \in K((F))$  with  $c(t)v(t) = 1$  such that the support of  $tft^{-1}$  is in  $C_F(v(f))$ . Let  $v(f) = h^q$  where  $h$  is the generator of  $C_F(h)$ , and then  $tft^{-1} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^q a_i h^i$  with  $a_i \in K$ . Let  $f' = tft^{-1}$ ,  $g' = tgt^{-1}$ , and we have the following

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any  $P(x, y) \in K\langle x, y \rangle$ ,  $P(f', g') = tP(f, g)t^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} x^i y^j$  for some nonnegative integers  $i$  and  $j$  where  $a_{ij} \in K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(f', g') &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} f'^i g'^j = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} (tft^{-1})^i (tgt^{-1})^j \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} t f^i g^j t^{-1} = t \left( \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} f^i g^j \right) t^{-1} = tP(f, g)t^{-1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v(t) = 1$ ,  $\deg(P(f, g)) = \deg(P(f', g'))$  where the degree function is the homogenous degree of  $K((F))$ . So we can just do degree estimate for  $P(f', g')$ .

Two elements of  $A_n$  are called algebraically independent over  $K$  if they generate a subalgebra of rank two. If  $v(f)$  and  $v(g)$  are algebraically independent, then for all  $P(x, y) \in K\langle x, y \rangle \setminus K$ ,  $\deg(P(f, g)) = w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(P(x, y))$ , so we may assume without loss of generality that  $v(f)$  and  $v(g)$  are algebraically dependent. However, if  $\deg(f) \mid \deg(g)$  or  $\deg(g) \mid \deg(f)$ , then  $\deg(f) + \deg(g)$  can be reduced by some automorphism, so we also assume  $\deg(f) \nmid \deg(g)$  as well as  $\deg(g) \nmid \deg(f)$ . We assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are algebraically independent over  $K$  but  $v(f)$  and  $v(g)$  are not. Hence since  $v(f') = v(f)$  and  $v(g') = v(g)$ ,  $f'$  and  $g'$  are algebraically independent but  $v(f')$  and  $v(g')$  are algebraically dependent. Then since  $h$  generates its own centralizer in  $A_n$ ,  $v(g') = h^p$  for some positive integer  $p$ . Let  $g' = h^p + g'_1$  where  $v(g'_1) < h^p$ , and if  $v(g'_1)$  and  $h$  are dependent, then  $v(g'_1) = h^{p_1}$  for some integer  $p_1$  which is less than  $p$ . This can be done inductively.

**Lemma 2.6 (on steps).** *The above process will stop after a finite number of steps.*

*Proof.* After  $k$  steps, let  $g' = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i h^{m_i} + g'_k$ . Obviously  $\deg([f', g']) = \deg([f', g'_k]) \leq \deg(f') + \deg(g'_k)$ , so  $\deg(g'_k) \geq \deg([f', g']) - \deg(f') = \deg([f, g]) - \deg(f)$ . Here notice that  $\deg(h) > 0$ , so after each step, if possible, the  $\deg(g'_i)$  decreases by at least 1 which means after at most

$$\deg(g) - (\deg([f, g]) - \deg(f)) = \deg(fg) - \deg([f, g])$$

steps, the process will stop.  $\square$

Hence, after a finite number of steps we get  $g' = \sum_{i=p-k}^p a_i h^i + s$  where  $v(s)$  and  $h$  are algebraically independent.

Let  $C$  be the subalgebra generated by  $h$ ,  $h^{-1}$  and  $s$ , and equip it with the weighted degree function  $w_{1,p}$  where  $w_{1,p}(h) = 1$  and  $w_{1,p}(s) = p$ . Of course  $f', g' \in C$ , and we write  $\tilde{f}', \tilde{g}'$  as the leading parts of  $f'$  and  $g'$  respectively relative to  $w_{1,p}$ . To any polynomial  $P(x, y)$ , let  $\overline{P}$  denote the leading part relative to the weighted degree function  $w_{q,p}$ . Let  $\deg$  be the homogenous degree of  $A_n$ , and we have:

**Lemma 2.7 (on degrees).**  $\overline{P}(\tilde{f}', \tilde{g}') \neq 0$  and

$$\deg(P(f', g')) \geq \deg(\overline{P}(\tilde{f}', \tilde{g}')).$$

*Proof.* Consider  $P(f', g') = Q(h, h^{-1}, s)$  as well as  $\overline{P}(\tilde{f}', \tilde{g}') = R(h, h^{-1}, s)$  as the element of  $C$ , and then  $R$  is the leading part of  $Q$  relative to  $w_{1,p}$ , so all the monomials of  $R$  appear in  $Q$  with nonzero coefficients. Since  $h$  and  $v(s)$  are algebraically independent,  $\deg(P(f', g')) = w_{\deg(h), \deg(s)}(Q(h, h^{-1}, s))$  and  $\deg(\overline{P}(\tilde{f}', \tilde{g}')) = w_{\deg(h), \deg(s)}(R(h, h^{-1}, s))$ . We conclude by the definition of weighted degree.  $\square$

Now we only need to estimate  $\deg(\overline{P}(\tilde{f}', \tilde{g}'))$ .

The following procedure is similar to the counterparts in [8].

Now we can write  $\tilde{f} = t^m$  and  $\tilde{g} = t^n + s$  just for convenience since  $\deg(f) = m$  and  $\deg(g) = n$ . Then  $\deg(t) = 1$  and to each polynomial  $m(x, y)$ ,  $\deg(m(t, s)) = \deg_{1, \deg(s)}(m(x, y))$ , or we can say that  $v(t)$  and  $v(s)$  are algebraically independent over  $K$ .

Let  $N = w_{m,n}(\overline{P}(x, y))$ , and  $q$  be the greatest integer among the integers which are not greater than  $\frac{N}{m+n}$  (or we can denote it by  $q = \lfloor \frac{N}{m+n} \rfloor$ ). Define  $Q(t, s) = \overline{P}(t^m, t^n + s)$ , and we have

**Lemma 2.8 (on monomials).** *There is a monomial  $u(t, s)$  in  $\text{supp}(Q)$  such that  $\deg_s(u) \leq q$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a monomial  $z(x, y)$  in  $\text{supp}(\overline{P}(x, y))$  such that

- (1)  $\deg_y(z)$  is the greatest;
- (2) Among all the monomials whose degree related to  $y$  is equal to  $\deg_y(z)$ ,  $z$  is the greatest under the lexicographic order  $x \gg y$ .

Let  $z(x, y) = x^{\alpha_1} y^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k} y^{\beta_k}$  with  $\alpha_1, \beta_k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_i \geq 1, 2 \leq i \leq k, \beta_j \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Let  $I = \deg_x(z)$  and  $J = \deg_y(z)$ . If  $J \leq q$ , then the degrees related to  $s$  of all the monomials in  $\text{supp}(Q)$  are not greater than  $q$ , and since in Proposition 2.4 it is proved that  $\text{supp}(Q)$  is not empty, we prove the lemma. Hence assume  $J > q$ , or  $J \geq q+1$ . If  $I+J \geq 2q+2$ , then since  $N = mI + nJ, N = m(I+J) + (n-m)J \geq m(2q+2) + (n-m)(q+1) = (m+n)(q+1)$  which contradicts to  $\frac{N}{m+n} < q+1$ , and hence  $I+J \leq 2q+1$ .

Now for  $z(x, y) = x^{\alpha_1} y^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k} y^{\beta_k}$ , replace  $x$  by  $t^m$ , and, if  $\beta_i = 2\sigma_i$ , replace  $y^{\beta_i}$  by  $(st^n)^{\sigma_i}$ ; if  $\beta_i = 2\sigma_i + 1$ , replace  $y^{\beta_i}$  by  $(st^n)^{\sigma_i} s$ . Then we get a monomial  $u(t, s)$ . It is easy to verify that  $u(t, s)$  is a monomial in the extension of  $z(t^m, t^n + s) = t^{m\alpha_1} (t^n + s)^{\beta_1} \dots t^{m\alpha_k} (t^n + s)^{\beta_k}$ , and the coefficient of  $u$  is just the coefficient of  $z$  in  $\text{supp}(\overline{P})$  and hence nonzero.

Now we are going to prove that  $u(t, s)$  cannot come from other extensions of the monomials in  $\text{supp}(\overline{P})$  after replacement.

We divide  $z(x, y)$  into different parts first:  $x^{\alpha_1}; y^{\beta_i}x^{\alpha_{i+1}}$  where  $1 \leq i \leq k-1; y^{\beta_k}$ . Let  $l(x, y)$  be a part of  $z(x, y)$ , and we define  $\psi(l(x, y))$  being the corresponding part in  $u(s, t)$  after replacement. So  $\psi(x^{\alpha_1}) = t^{m\alpha_1}$  and so on. If  $u(s, t)$  is also in the extension of  $z_1(t^m, t^n + s)$  where  $z_1(x, y) \in \text{supp}(\overline{P}(x, y))$ , then let  $l_1(x, y)$  be a part of  $z_1(x, y)$ , and we define  $\psi_1(l(x, y))$  to be the corresponding part in  $u(s, t)$ . Hence  $z_1(x, y)$  can also be divided into  $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} h_i(x, y)$  with  $\psi_1(h_1) = \psi(x^{\alpha_1})$ ,  $\psi_1(h_i) = \psi(y^{\beta_i}x^{\alpha_{i+1}})$  where  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , and  $\psi_1(h_{k+1}) = \psi(y^{\beta_k})$ . Obviously  $\deg_y(h_1) \geq \deg_y(x^{\alpha_1})$ . To each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , if  $\beta_i$  is odd, then  $\psi_1(h_{i+1}) = (st^n)^{\sigma_i} s \cdot t^{m\alpha_{i+1}}$ , and since  $n < m$ , the  $t^n$  between two  $s$  has to come from  $\tilde{g}$ , so  $h_{i+1} = y^{\beta_i} \cdot h'_{i+1}$  where  $\psi_1(h'_{i+1}) = t^{m\alpha_{i+1}}$ , namely  $\deg_y(h_{i+1}) \geq \beta_i$ . If  $\beta_i$  is even, then  $\psi_{h_{i+1}} = (st^n)^\sigma \cdot t^{m\alpha_{i+1}} = (st^n)^{\sigma-1} s \cdot t^{m\alpha_{i+1}+n}$ . Hence  $h_{i+1} = y^{\beta_i-1} h'_{i+1}$  where  $\psi_1(h'_{i+1}) = t^{m\alpha_{i+1}+n}$ . However, since  $n < m$ ,  $h'_{i+1}$  cannot be of the form  $x^p$  for some integer  $p$ , and hence  $\deg_y(h'_{i+1}) \geq 1$ , namely  $\deg_y(h_{i+1}) \geq \beta_i$ . To  $h_{k+1}$ , since  $\psi_1(h_{k+1}) = \psi_{y^{\beta_k}} = st^n st^n \dots s$  or  $st^n st^n \dots st^n$ , it has to equal to  $y^{\beta_k}$ . Hence,  $\deg_y(z_1(x, y)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \deg_y(h_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i = \deg_y(z(x, y))$ . However  $\deg_y(z(x, y))$  is the greatest one among the monomials in  $\text{supp}(\overline{P})$ ,  $\deg_y(z_1(x, y)) = \deg_y(z(x, y))$ , and the only case is that  $h_1(x, y) = x^{\alpha_1}$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ,  $h'_{i+1} = x^{\alpha_{i+1}}$  if  $\beta_i$  is odd and  $\deg(h'_{i+1}) = 1$  if  $\beta_i$  is even. Let  $h'_{j+1}$  be the monomial with least  $j$  such that  $\beta_j$  is even but  $h'_{j+1} \neq yx^{\alpha_{j+1}}$ , then since  $\deg_y(h'_{j+1}) = 1$ ,  $h'_{j+1} = x^r yx^{\alpha_{j+1}-r}$  for  $1 \leq r \leq \alpha_{j+1}$ . But if so,  $z_1(x, y) > z(x, y)$  under the lexicographic order  $x \gg y$  which contradicts to  $z(x, y)$  being maximal, hence no such  $h'_{j+1}$  exists, namely each  $h'_{j+1}$  of this kind is equal to  $yx^{\alpha_{j+1}}$ . Hence  $z_1(x, y) = z(x, y)$  and the coefficient of  $u(s, t)$  is not zero.

According to the definition of  $u(s, t)$ , we see that

$$\deg_s(u) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\beta_i + 1}{2} = \frac{J + k}{2}.$$

Obviously that  $I \geq k-1$ , and hence  $\frac{J+k}{2} \leq \frac{I+J+1}{2} \leq \frac{2q+2}{2} = q+1$  (be reminded that  $I+J \leq q+1$ ). Notice that  $\deg_s(u) = q+1$  only if all the  $\beta_i$ 's are odd and  $I = k-1$ , and  $z(x, y) = y^{2\sigma_1+1}xy^{2\sigma_2+1} \dots xy^{2\sigma_k+1}$  or  $y^{2\sigma_1+1}xy^{2\sigma_2+1} \dots xy^{2\sigma_{k-1}+1}x$ . Then in  $z(t^m, t^n + s)$  we replace  $y^{2\sigma_1+1}x$  by  $(t^n s)^{\sigma_1} t^n \cdot t^m$  and choose  $u(t, s) = (t^n s)^{\sigma_1} t^n t^m (st^n)^{\sigma_2} s \dots$ . We denote



$z(x, y) = y^{2\sigma_1+1}x \cdot h(x, y)$  and if  $u(s, t)$  can also come from another monomial  $z_1(x, y)$ , then  $z_1(x, y) = y^{2\sigma_2}h_1(x, y)h(x, y)$  with  $\psi_1(h_1) = t^{m+n}$ . Hence  $h_1(x, y) = xy$  or  $yx$ . Notice again that  $z(x, y)$  is the maximal element under the lexicographic order  $x \gg y$ , and hence  $h_1(x, y) = yx$  which means  $z_1(x, y) = z(x, y)$ . Then the coefficient of  $u(s, t)$  is nonzero and  $\deg_s(u) = q + 1 - 1 = q$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Recall that  $\deg(f) = m$ ,  $\deg(g) = n$ ,  $\deg(t) = 1$ ,  $\deg(s) = \deg([f, g]) - \deg(f) = \deg([f, g]) - m$ ,  $N = w_{m,n}(\overline{P}(x, y))$ . We have proved that there exists some  $u(s, t) \in \text{supp}(\overline{P}(t^m, t^n + s))$  such that  $\deg_s(u) \leq N/(m+n)$ . Since  $N = \deg_t(u) + n \cdot \deg_s(u)$ , then  $\deg(u) = \deg_t(u(t, s)) + \deg_s(u(t, s)) \cdot (\deg([f, g]) - m) = N + \deg_s(u(s, t))(\deg([f, g]) - m - n)$ . Since  $\deg([f, g]) - m - n \leq 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(P(f, g)) &\geq \deg(\overline{P}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})) \geq \deg(u) \geq N + \frac{N(\deg([f, g]) - m - n)}{m + n} \\ &= \frac{\deg([f, g])}{m + n} w_{m,n}(P). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m + n = \deg(fg)$ , we get

$$\deg(P(f, g)) \geq \frac{\deg([f, g])}{\deg(fg)} w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(P).$$

$\square$

**Example 2.9.** Let  $f = x^n$ ,  $g = x^m + y$ ,  $P = [x, y]^k$ . Then

$$\deg(P(f, g)) = k(n+1) = \frac{\deg([f, g])}{\deg(fg)} w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(P),$$

which shows the estimate is sharp.

**Remark 2.10.** The methodology in this paper, unlike that in [8], is not applicable for commutative case, as in that case there is no invariant to judge whether two polynomials are algebraically dependent or independent over a field of positive characteristic, and in fact to find such an invariant is an interesting question, and it is also interesting to get a sharp degree estimate for the commutative case for positive characteristic.

### 3. Acknowledgements

Jie-Tai Yu is grateful to Academia Sinica Taipei, Shanghai University, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, and Osaka University for warm hospitality and stimulating atmosphere during his visit, when part of this work was done. The authors would like to thank I-Chiau Huang for providing [5, 6] and helpful discussion, and to thank Alexei Belov, Vesselin Drensky and Leonid Makar-Limanov for useful comments and suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A.I. Malcev, *On the embedding of group algebras in division algebras*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **60**, 1499-1501(1948)(in Russian).
- [2] B.H. Neumann, *On ordered division rings*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. **66** (1949) 202-252.
- [3] B.H. Neumann, *On ordered groups*, Amer.J.Math. **71** (1949) 1-18.
- [4] G.M.Bergman, *Centralizers in free associative algebras*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. **137** (1969) 327-344.
- [5] G.M.Bergman, *Conjugates and  $n$ th roots in Hahn-Laurent group rings*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. **1** (1978) 29-41.
- [6] G.M.Bergman, *Historical addendum to: 'Conjugates and  $n$ th roots in Hahn-Laurent group rings'*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. **2** (1979) 41-42.
- [7] H. Hahn, *Über die nichtarchimedischen Grössensysteme*, Sitzungsber.Math.-Natur.Kl.Kaiserl.Akad.Wiss. **116** (1907) 601-655.
- [8] L. Makar-Limanov and J.-T. Yu, *Degree estimate for subalgebras generated by two elements*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **10** (2008) 533-541.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

*E-mail address:* liyunch@hku.hk, liyunch@163.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

*E-mail address:* yujt@hkusua.hku.hk, yujietai@yahoo.com