STABLE TAMENESS OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF $F\langle x,y,z\rangle$ FIXING z

ALEXEI BELOV-KANEL AND JIE-TAI YU

Abstract. It is proved that every z-automorphism (z-coordinates, respectively) of the free associative algebra $F\langle x, y, z \rangle$ over an arbitrary field F is stably tame.

1. Introduction and main results

An F-automorphism of a free associative algebra $F\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ (a polynomial algebra $F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$) is elementary if it fixes all variables except one. An F-automorphism is tame if it is product of elementary automorphisms. An F-automorphisms (f_1, \ldots, f_n) of $F\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ $(F[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ is stably tame if there exists a nonnegative integer m, the automorphism $(f_1, \ldots, f_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+m})$ of $F\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m} \rangle$ $(F[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m}])$ is tame.

From now on all automorphisms are F-automorphisms.

Whether every automorphism of a free associative algebra (polynomial algebra) is stably tame, is a long-standing and interesting open question.

In [5], stable tameness of some special kind of automorphisms of polynomial and free associative algebras were obtained. In [6], it is proved that every fixing z automorphism of the polynomial algebra F[x, y, z]

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13S10, 16S10. Secondary 13F20, 13W20, 14R10, 16W20, 16Z05.

Key words and phrases. Automorphisms, coordinates, polynomial algebras, free associative algebras, stably tameness, lifting problem.

The research of Jie-Tai Yu was partially supported by an RGC-GRF Grant.

over a field F of characteristic zero is stably tame, among other things. It is the first big step for attacking the stably tameness problem.

In this paper, based our recent result of the lifting problem, we prove the following

Theorem 1.1. Every fixing z automorphism of the free associative algebra $F\langle x, y, z \rangle$ over an arbitrary field F is stably tame and becomes tame after adding one variable.

A polynomial $f \in F\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ is a coordinate if (f, f_2, \ldots, f_n) is an automorphism for some $f_2, \ldots, f_n \in F\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$. The coordinate f is stably tame if (f, f_2, \ldots, f_n) is stably tame. A coordinate f is a x_n -coordinate if there exists an automorphism $(f, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}, x_n)$. As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain

Theorem 1.2. Every z-coordinate of $F\langle x, y, z \rangle$ is stably tame.

2. Proofs

To prove Theorem 1.1, we only need to prove the following

Theorem 2.1. For every automorphism (f,g) in $\operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x,y,z\rangle$, (f,g,t) is a tame automorphism in $\operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x,y,z,t\rangle$.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need some preliminaries.

Theorem 2.2. An automorphism (f, g) in $\operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x, y, z \rangle$, can be canonically decomposed as product of the following type of automorphisms: i) Linear automorphisms in $\operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x, y, z \rangle$; ii) Automorphisms which can be obtained by an elementary automorphism in

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x, y, z \rangle$$
,

conjugated by a linear automorphism in

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{F(z)} F(z) *_F F\langle x, y \rangle$$

Proof. It is Theorem 3.4 in [1].

Lemma 2.3. Suppose a polynomial $f \in F\langle x, y, z \rangle$ is neither a left multiple nor a right multiple of any nontrivial polynomial in $F[z]\backslash F$. Then $(F(z) * F[f]) \cap (F\langle x, y, z \rangle) = F(z) * F[f]$.

Proof. Suppose $R \in F(z) * F[f] \backslash F \langle f, z \rangle = (F(z) * F[f]) \backslash F$. We need to prove that $R \in F(z) * F \langle x, y \rangle \backslash F \langle x, y, z \rangle$, i.e. $R \notin F \langle x, y, z \rangle$.

Let $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an arbitrary F-basis of F(z)*F[f]. As $R \in F(z)*F[f]$, it can be expressed uniquely

$$R = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} f M_{i},$$

where $\alpha_i \in F(z)$.

It is easy to see that if $\alpha_k \in F(z) \backslash F[z]$ for some k, then $R \notin F\langle x, y, z \rangle$. Hence we may assume that $\alpha_k \in F[z]$ for all k. Then R has the form $R = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_k z^k f N_k$, $\gamma_k \in F$. The set $\{z^k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ can be completed to a basis $\{e_i\}$ of F(z) as a vector space over F, and every element x in the coproduct F(z) * F[f] can be expressed as $x = e_i f h_i$ in canonical way. In our situation $h_i \neq 0$ only for $e_i \in \{z^k\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Hence all $N_k \in F(z) * F[f]$. On the other hand, if some of $N_k \notin F\langle x, y, z \rangle$, then $fN_k \notin F\langle x, y, z \rangle$, because f is not right-divisible by any polynomial from $F[z] \backslash F$. In this situation $R = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_k z^k f N_k \notin F\langle x, y, z \rangle$. Hence for all k

$$(N_k \in F(z) * F[f]) \cap (F\langle x, y, z \rangle) = F(z) * F[f]$$

and $deg(N_k) < deg(R)$. We conclude by induction on the degree of R.

Let z_l denote the left multiplication operator on z, z_r the right multiplication operator. An automorphism ψ in $\operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x, y, z \rangle$ linear in both x and y has the following form: $\psi: x \to a_{11}x + a_{12}y, y \to a_{21}x + a_{22}y$ where $a_{ij} \in F[z_l, z_r]$. It should be pointed out, the study of such automorphisms is equivalent to the study of invertible 2×2 matrices over the polynomial ring of two commuting variables z_l and z_r over a field.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\psi: x \to a_{11}x + a_{12}y, y \to a_{21}x + a_{22}y$ be a linear automorphism of $F(z_l, z_r)\langle x, y \rangle$ where $a_{ij} \in F(z_l, z_r)$ and let $\varphi: x \to a_{11}x + a_{12}y, y \to a_{21}x + a_{22}y$ be a linear

x, y + Q(x) be an elementary z-automorphism of $F\langle x, y, z \rangle$. Suppose $\phi = \psi \circ \varphi \circ \psi^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x, y, z \rangle$. Then it has the following form $\phi: x \to x + bh(ax + by), y \to y - ah(ax + by); a, b \in F[z_l, z_r],$ where $h(t) \in F\langle z, t \rangle$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in F[z_l, z_r]$ be the least common multiple of the denominators of $a_{21}, a_{22}, a = a_{21}\alpha, b = a_{22}\alpha$. Then

$$\phi: x \to x + bQ((ax + by)/\alpha), y \to y - aQ((ax + by)/\alpha);$$

where $a, b \in F[z_l, z_r].$

As a, b are relatively prime, $Q((ax + by)/\alpha) \in F\langle x, y, z \rangle$. Hence by Lemma 2.3, the coefficients of $Q((ax + by)/\alpha) \in F\langle z, ax + by \rangle$. Therefore $Q((ax + by)/\alpha)$ must have the form h(ax + by) for some $h(t) \in F\langle z, t \rangle$.

Lemma 2.5. Let ψ be a z-automorphism of $F\langle x,y,z\rangle$ in the form

$$x \to x + bh(ax + by), \ y \to y - ah(ax + by); \ a, b \in F[z_l, z_r]$$

for some polynomial $h(t) \in F\langle z, t \rangle$. Then it is stably tame and becomes tame after adding one variable.

Proof. Based on the method of Smith [5],

$$(x+bh(ax+by),y-ah(ax+by),t) = \\ (x,y,t-h(ax+by))(x-bt,y+at,t)(x,y,z,t+h(ax+by))(x+bt,y-at,t).$$

Remark 2.6. The general Anick type automorphisms (see [3, 4])

$$(x+zg(xz-zy,z),y+g(xz-zy,z)z),$$

in $\operatorname{Aut}_{F[z]} F\langle x, y, z\rangle$, with an arbitrary polynimial $g(t, s) \in F\langle t, s\rangle$, are obviously covered by Lemma 2.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First, an automorphism of Type i) in Theorem 2.1 is stably tame and becomes tame after adding one variable, according to [2].

Now, suppose we have an automorphism of Type ii) in Theorem 2.1, we are done by Lemma 2.5. \Box

3. Acknowledgements

Jie-Tai Yu would like to thank David Wright for sending him an early version of [6] in July 2007. The authors also thank Vesselin Drensky and Leonid Makar-Limanov for comments and remarks.

References

- [1] A.Belov-Kanel and Jie-Tai Yu, On the lifting of the Nagata automorphism, arXiv:1011.3349; Selecta Math (New Series), to appear.
- [2] V.Drensky and J.-T.Yu, Automorphisms fixing a variable of $K\langle x, y, z \rangle$, J. Algebra **291** (2005) 250-258.
- [3] V.Drensky and J.-T.Yu, *The strong Anick conjecture is true*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **9** (2007) 659-679.
- [4] V.Drensky and J.-T.Yu, The strong Anick conjecture, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA (PNAS) 103 (2006) 4836-4840.
- [5] M.Smith, Stably tame automorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 58 (1989) 209-212.
- [6] J.Berson, A. van den Essen and David Wright, Stable tameness of twodimensional polynomial automorphisms over a regular ring, arXiv:0707.3151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY RAMAT-GAN, 52900 ISRAEL

E-mail address: beloval@cs.biu.ac.il, kanelster@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

E-mail address: yujt@hkusua.hku.hk, yujietai@yahoo.com