

**REMARK ON THE PAPER
“ON PRODUCTS OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF CUSP FORMS”**

YUK-KAM LAU, YINGNAN WANG, DEYU ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let $a(n)$ be the Fourier coefficient of a holomorphic cusp form on some discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. This note is to refine a recent result of Hofmann and Kohnen on the non-positive (and non-negative resp.) product of $a(n)a(n+r)$ for a fixed positive integer r .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Γ be a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Assume as in [2] that

- (i) Γ is a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind,
- (ii) $-I \in \Gamma$ where I is the identity,
- (iii) Γ contains $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ exactly if b is an integer.

Conditions (ii) and (iii) may be formulated as: Γ has a cusp at $i\infty$ and its stabilizer $\Gamma_{i\infty}$ is generated by $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $k > 2$ be any even integer. Write $S_k(\Gamma)$ for the space of all elliptic cusp forms of weight k on Γ (with trivial multiplier system). Throughout, a cusp form is tacitly assumed to be holomorphic. Suppose all the coefficients of f in its Fourier expansion at $i\infty$ are real. In [2], Hofmann and Kohnen showed the infinitude of non-vanishing terms in the sequence $\{a_f(n)a_f(n+r)\}_{n \geq 1}$. Moreover, when Γ is a congruence subgroup, they showed that the sequence has infinitely many non-negative (resp. non-positive) terms, i.e. for $\epsilon = +$ or $-$ respectively,

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_{f,r}^\epsilon(x) := \#\{n \in [1, x] : \epsilon a_f(n)a_f(n+r) \geq 0\} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $\#\{\dots\}$ denotes the cardinality of the set $\{\dots\}$. It is also pointed out that (1.1) holds for more general, but still restricted as in [5], subgroups Γ ; in particular, it requires the discrete subgroups Γ (considered here) that have a cusp at 0.

This note aims at some refinement: First we show that (1.1) will hold when Γ satisfies merely Conditions (i)-(iii). Second we give a quantitative version for the case of congruence subgroups – a lower bound for $\mathcal{C}_{f,r}^\pm(x)$.

Date: December 8, 2016.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F12, 11F30.

Key words and phrases. Fourier coefficients, Integral weight modular forms

To appear in *Archiv der Mathematik*.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose Γ satisfies Conditions (i)-(iii) and f is a cusp form of even integral weight $k > 2$ on Γ whose Fourier coefficients are all real. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathcal{C}_{f,r}^{\pm}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a congruence subgroup and f a cusp form of even integral weight $k > 2$ on Γ . Suppose all the Fourier coefficients of f are real. There exist positive constants $c_1 = c_1(f, r)$ and $x_1 = x_1(f, r)$ such that for all $x \geq x_1$,*

$$a_f(n_1)a_f(n_1 + r) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_f(n_2)a_f(n_2 + r) \leq 0$$

for some integers $n_1, n_2 \in (x, x + c_1x^{1/2}]$. In particular, we have $\mathcal{C}_{f,r}^{\pm}(x) \gg_{f,r} x^{1/2}$ for all $x \geq x_1$.

Remark 1.1. 1. Compared with [2, Theorem 2], the condition on Γ there is relaxed in Theorem 1.1 while an explicit lower bound is given in Theorem 1.2 (for congruence subgroup Γ).

2. Both results are derived, similarly to the argument in [2], via counting the sign changes of $a_f(n)$ in arithmetic progressions $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{a,r}$ where

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_{a,r} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv a \pmod{r}\}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. However we detect the sign-changes in ways different than the method in [5] (used in [2]).

3. In Theorem 1.2, f is not necessarily a Hecke eigenform or primitive form. The Fourier coefficients of a primitive form are multiplicative. Recently Matomäki and Radziwiłł [8] obtained very strong results on sign-changes via their theory on multiplicative functions. Hence it is plausible to get a lower bound much better than $x^{1/2}$ for primitive forms.

4. Our results hold for maass cusp forms (of weight 0 and trivial multiplier system) with real coefficients. In view of the proof (in Section 4), the analogue of Theorem 1.2 is clear while for Theorem 1.1, one may apply [9, Theorem 5.1], the pointwise bound $a(n) \ll |n|^{2/5+\varepsilon}$ in [10, Corollary 1] and [4, (8.23)] instead (cf. Section 2).

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $f \neq 0$ be given as in Theorem 1.1. By [1, Theorem 2] and its Corollary, we have $a_f(n) \ll n^{(k-1)/2+1/3}$ and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n)^2 \sim C_f x^k \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

where $C_f > 0$ is a constant depending on f . Thus, there exists $1 \leq a \leq r$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{r}}} |a_f(n)| \gg_{f,r} x^{k/2+1/6}.$$

On the other hand, from [3, Corollary 5.4], it follows that for any $1 \leq b \leq r$,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv b \pmod{r}}} a_f(n) \ll x^{k/2} \log 2x.$$

Hence for all large x , there are $u, v \in (x, 2x] \cap \mathcal{A}_{a,r}$ for some a such that $a_f(u)a_f(v) < 0$, and consequently $a_f(n)a_f(n+r) \leq 0$ for some $n \in (x, 2x] \cap \mathcal{A}_{a,r}$, implying the infinitude of non-positive $a_f(n)a_f(n+r)$.

Suppose $a_f(n)a_f(n+r) < 0$ for all n . Then $a_f(n)a_f(n+2r) > 0$ for all n which contradicts to the last assertion with $2r$ in place of r . This completes the proof.

3. PRELIMINARIES FOR THEOREM 1.2

Define for $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(M, N) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : M|c, N|b \right\}, \\ \Gamma(M, N) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(M, N) : a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{[M, N]} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $[M, N]$ denotes the least common multiple of M and N .[†] Then $\Gamma_0(M, 1) = \Gamma_0(M)$, $\Gamma(M, 1) = \Gamma_1(M)$ and $\Gamma(M, M) = \Gamma(M)$ of the usual notation. Recall a subgroup Γ of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup of level N if $\Gamma(N) \subseteq \Gamma$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also we write

$$n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and any function g , define

$$g|_{\gamma}(z) = \left(\frac{cz + d}{\sqrt{\det \gamma}} \right)^{-k} g(\gamma z) \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Lemma 3.1. (i) Suppose $f \in S_k(G(M, N))$ where $G(M, N) = \Gamma_0(M, N)$ or $\Gamma(M, N)$. We have $f|_W \in S_k(G(N, M))$.

(ii) If $f \in S_k(\Gamma(M, N))$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f|_{n(\frac{Nu}{r})}$ is a cusp form on $\Gamma(Mr^2, N)$ for any $u \pmod{r}$.

(iii) Let $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the characteristic function on $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{a,r}$ (cf. (1.2)). The twist

$$f \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(n) e(nz/N)$$

is a cusp form on $\Gamma(Mr^2, N)$.

Proof. (i) Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then $W\gamma W^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$. So $W\gamma W^{-1} \in G(M, N)$ if γ is belonged to $G(N, M)$.

[†]In [7], the product MN is used instead of the least common multiple.

(ii) For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(Mr^2, N)$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & Nu/r \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -Nu/r \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + N\frac{cu}{r} & b + Nu\frac{d-a}{r} - N\frac{cNu^2}{r^2} \\ c & d - \frac{cNu}{r} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is in $\Gamma(M, N)$ as $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{[Mr^2, N]}$ and $c \equiv 0 \pmod{Mr^2}$. Thus, $f|_{n(Nu/r)\gamma} = f|_{n(Nu/r)}$. Our assertion follows readily.

(iii) It is a direct consequence from (ii) and the fact

$$f \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(z) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{u \pmod r} e\left(\frac{-au}{r}\right) f \Big|_{n\left(\frac{Nu}{r}\right)}.$$

□

Any cusp form f on $\Gamma(M, N)$ has a Fourier expansion at $i\infty$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n) e(nz/N)$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$. Moreover its associated L -function

$$L(s, f) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{(k-1)/2}} n^{-s}$$

is entire and satisfies a functional equation.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $f \in S_k(\Gamma(M, N))$ and let $g = f|_W$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{N}{2\pi}\right)^s \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k-1}{2}\right) L(s, f) = i^k \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^{1-s} \Gamma\left(1-s + \frac{k-1}{2}\right) L(1-s, g).$$

Proof. For sufficiently large $\Re s$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty f(it) t^{s+(k-1)/2} \frac{dt}{t} = \left(\frac{N}{2\pi}\right)^{s+(k-1)/2} \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k-1}{2}\right) L(s, f).$$

The cuspidality of f ensures the absolute convergence of the integral for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Write $g(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_g(n) e(nz/M)$ for $g = f|_W \in S_k(\Gamma(N, M))$ by Lemma 3.1 (i). With a change of variable t into $1/t$, it is apparent that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f\left(\frac{-1}{it}\right) t^{-(s+(k-1)/2)} \frac{dt}{t} &= \int_0^\infty (it)^k f|_W(it) t^{-(s+(k-1)/2)} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= i^k \sum_{n \geq 1} a_g(n) \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi n t/M} t^{1-s+(k-1)/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= i^k \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^{1-s+(k-1)/2} \Gamma\left(1-s + \frac{k-1}{2}\right) L(1-s, g), \end{aligned}$$

yielding the functional equation. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let us assume more generally $f \in S_k(\Gamma(M, N))$. Write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{a,r}$ for any given $0 \leq a < r$. Lemma 3.1 (iii) implies $F := f \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \in S_k(\Gamma(Mr^2, N))$, thus its L -function $L(s, F)$ is entire and satisfies a functional equation with gamma factors. Separating into the two cases of $F = 0$ or not, the following proposition follows from [6, Remark 2 (iii)] with $a_n = a_F(n)/n^{(k-1)/2}$.

Proposition 4.1. *There exist positive constants $c_0 = c_0(F)$ and $x_0 = x_0(F)$ such that $a_F(u)a_F(v) \leq 0$ for some $u, v \in (x, x + c_0x^{1/2}]$ for all $x \geq x_0$.*

Arguing as before, we apply the proposition with $a = 0$. There exist constants c_0 and x_0 such that for all $x \geq x_0$, $a_f(n)a_f(n + \ell) \leq 0$ for some $n \in (x, x + c_0x^{1/2}] \cap \mathcal{A}_{0,\ell}$ ($\ell = r$ or $2r$). If $a_f(n)a_f(n + r) < 0$ for all $n \in \mathcal{A}_{0,r} \cap (x, x + c_0x^{1/2}]$, then all $a_f(n)$ with $n \in \mathcal{A}_{0,2r} \cap (x, x + c_0x^{1/2}]$ are nonzero and have the same sign, contradicting to Proposition 4.1 for $f \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{0,2r}}$. Now we are done.

Acknowledgements

Lau is supported by GRF 17302514 of the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong. Wang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501376), Guangdong Province Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 2015A030310241) and Natural Science Foundation of Shenzhen University (Grant No. 201541). Zhang is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. ZR2015AM010) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61672330). This paper is started during the visit of Wang and Zhang at The University of Hong Kong (HKU) in 2016. They would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at HKU for hospitality and excellent working conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Good, *Cusp forms and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian*, Math. Ann. 255 (1981), 523–548.
- [2] E. Hofmann and W. Kohnen, *On products of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, to appear in Forum Math., available at ArXiv, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1509.02431.pdf>.
- [3] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 17 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [4] H. Iwaniec, *Spectral methods of automorphic forms*, 2nd edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 53 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [5] M. Knopp, W. Kohnen and W. Pribitkin, *On the signs of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, Rankin memorial issues, Ramanujan J. 7 (2003), 269–277.
- [6] Y.-K. Lau, J. Liu and J. Wu, *Local behavior of arithmetical functions with applications to automorphic L-functions*, manuscript, accepted for publication in IMRN, available at <http://hkumath.hku.hk/~imr/IMRPreprintSeries/2016/IMR2016-4.pdf>.
- [7] W.C.W. Li, *Newforms and functional equations*, Math. Ann. 212 (1975), 285–315.
- [8] K. Matomäki and M. Radziwiłł, *Multiplicative functions in short intervals*, Ann. of Math., to appear.
- [9] W.A. Müller, *The Rankin-Selberg method for non-holomorphic automorphic forms*, J. Number Theory 51 (1995), 48–86.
- [10] Y.N. Petridis, *On squares of eigenfunctions for the hyperbolic plane and a new bound on certain L-series*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1995, 111–127.

YUK-KAM LAU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG

E-mail address: yklau@maths.hku.hk

DEYU ZHANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANDONG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JINAN, SHANDONG 250014, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: zdy_78@hotmail.com

YINGNAN WANG, COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHENZHEN UNIVERSITY, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG 518060, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: ynwang@szu.edu.cn