

# ON PROPER HOLOMORPHIC MAPS BETWEEN BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS

SHAN TAI CHAN

ABSTRACT. We study proper holomorphic maps between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$ . In particular, when  $D$  and  $\Omega$  are of the same rank  $\geq 2$  such that all irreducible factors of  $D$  are of rank  $\geq 2$ , we prove that any proper holomorphic map from  $D$  to  $\Omega$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to certain canonical Kähler metrics of  $D$  and  $\Omega$ . We also obtain some results regarding holomorphic maps  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  which map minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . On the other hand, we obtain new rigidity results regarding semi-product proper holomorphic maps between  $D$  and  $\Omega$  under a certain rank condition on  $D$  and  $\Omega$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [Ts93], Tsai has proven that if  $F$  is a proper holomorphic map from an irreducible bounded symmetric domain  $D$  to a bounded symmetric domain  $\Omega$  with the assumption that  $\text{rank}(D) \geq \text{rank}(\Omega) \geq 2$ , then  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega)$  and  $F$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to the Bergman metrics up to a normalizing constant. In general, a proper holomorphic map  $f$  between reducible bounded symmetric domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of equal rank  $\geq 2$  can be nonstandard (i.e., not totally geodesic) when the domain  $D_1$  of  $f$  is reducible and has a rank-1 irreducible factor. We will give an example of such a proper holomorphic map. This example will also allow us to formulate an appropriate rigidity theorem (i.e., Theorem 1.2) for proper holomorphic maps between reducible bounded symmetric domains. Let  $D$  and  $\Omega$  be irreducible bounded symmetric domains of rank  $\geq 2$ . In [Ng15], Ng has proven that if a holomorphic map  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  maps minimal disks of  $D$  properly into the rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ , then  $f$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to the Bergman metrics up to a normalizing constant.

In the first part of this article, we will study proper holomorphic maps between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains along the lines of Ng [Ng15]. For an irreducible bounded symmetric domain  $U \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , we let  $g_U$  be the canonical Kähler-Einstein metric on  $U$  normalized so that minimal disks of  $U$  are of constant Gaussian curvature  $-2$ , and we denote by  $\omega_{g_U}$  the Kähler form of  $(U, g_U)$ . Then,  $g_U$  agrees with the standard complex Euclidean metric of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at  $\mathbf{0}$ . For Kähler manifolds  $(M, g_M)$  and  $(N, g_N)$  with the corresponding Kähler forms  $\omega_{g_M}$  and  $\omega_{g_N}$  respectively, a holomorphic map  $F : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$  is said to be *isometric* if and only if  $F^*\omega_{g_N} = \omega_{g_M}$ . In addition, a holomorphic map  $F : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$  is said to be *an isometric map up to a normalizing constant* if and only if  $F^*\omega_{g_N} = \lambda\omega_{g_M}$  for some positive real constant  $\lambda$ . Motivated by [Ng15, Proposition 1.2], we will

also study holomorphic maps  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$  which map minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . In this direction, we have the following generalization of [Ng15, Proposition 1.2].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$  such that  $F$  maps the minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . Suppose all irreducible factors of  $D$  are of rank at least two. Write  $D = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k$  and  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_l$ , where  $D_j \in \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are the irreducible factors of  $D$  and  $\Omega$  respectively with  $\text{rank}(D_j) \geq 2$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then,  $F$  is a totally geodesic isometric embedding from  $(D_1, g_{D_1}) \times \cdots \times (D_k, g_{D_k})$  to  $(\Omega_1, g_{\Omega_1}) \times \cdots \times (\Omega_l, g_{\Omega_l})$ .*

In the consideration of proper holomorphic maps between bounded symmetric domains, we will deduce the following result from Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a proper holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$  such that  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega)$ . Suppose all irreducible factors of  $D$  are of rank at least two. Write  $D = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k$  and  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_l$ , where  $D_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are the irreducible factors of  $D$  and  $\Omega$  respectively with  $\text{rank}(D_j) \geq 2$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then,  $F$  is a totally geodesic isometric embedding from  $(D_1, g_{D_1}) \times \cdots \times (D_k, g_{D_k})$  to  $(\Omega_1, g_{\Omega_1}) \times \cdots \times (\Omega_l, g_{\Omega_l})$ .*

In [Seo18], Seo has introduced semi-product proper holomorphic maps between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains. Then, Seo [Seo18] has proven that any proper rational map between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains is a semi-product proper holomorphic map. One of the main results in Seo [Seo18] is the classification of all proper holomorphic maps between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains of the same dimension (see [Seo18, Theorem 1.2]). Motivated by the work of Seo [Seo18], we will study semi-product proper holomorphic maps between non-equidimensional (reducible) bounded symmetric domains. Under certain rank conditions, we are able to get the complete description for such maps (see Theorem 4.5).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For a (reducible) bounded symmetric domain  $D = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k$ , where  $D_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , are the irreducible factors of  $D$ , there is a Kähler metric  $g'_D$  on  $D$  such that  $(D, g'_D) \cong (D_1, \lambda_1 g_{D_1}) \times \cdots \times (D_k, \lambda_k g_{D_k})$  for some positive real constants  $\lambda_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , namely,

$$g'_D = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \pi_j^* g_{D_j},$$

where  $\pi_j : D \rightarrow D_j$  is the canonical projection onto the  $j$ -th irreducible factor of  $D$ ,  $\pi_j(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) = Z^j$  for  $(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) \in D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k = D$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . In what follows, for any bounded symmetric domain  $D$  we call such a metric  $g'_D$  on  $D$  a **canonical Kähler metric** and denote by  $\text{rank}(D)$  the rank of  $D$ . It is well-known that a bounded symmetric domain  $D$  is of rank 1 if and only if  $D$  is biholomorphic to a complex unit ball.

Denote by  $\Delta^k = \{(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k : |z_j| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$  the  $k$ -disk in  $\mathbb{C}^k$  for any integer  $k \geq 1$ . We let  $\mathbb{B}^n$  be the complex unit ball in the complex  $n$ -dimensional

Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with respect to the standard complex Euclidean metric, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{B}^n := \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

For any complex manifold  $M$ , we denote by  $T_x^{1,0}(M) = T_x(M)$  the holomorphic tangent space to  $M$  at  $x \in M$ .

Let  $D \cong G/K$  be a bounded symmetric domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $r := \text{rank}(D)$ , where  $G$  is the identity component of the automorphism group of  $D$  and  $K \subset G$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . By the Polydisk Theorem (cf. [Mok89, p. 88], [Wo72]), there is a totally geodesic complex submanifold  $\Pi \cong \Delta^r$  of  $D$  such that

$$D = \bigcup_{k \in K} k \cdot \Pi.$$

A vector  $v \in T_x(D)$ ,  $x \in D$ , is said to be a **characteristic vector** of  $D$  at  $x$  if  $v$  is tangent to any direct factor of a totally geodesic  $r$ -disk of  $D$  (cf. [Ng15, Section 2]). Write  $D = D_1 \times \dots \times D_k$ , where  $D_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , are the irreducible factors of  $D$ . Then, it follows from Wolf [Wo72] that any rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspace of  $D$  is of the form  $\{x_1\} \times \dots \times \{x_{j-1}\} \times B_j \times \{x_{j+1}\} \times \dots \times \{x_k\}$  for some  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , where  $B_j \cong \mathbb{B}^{m_j}$  is a rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspace of  $D_j$ ,  $x_\mu \in D_\mu$  is a point for each  $\mu \neq j$ , and  $m_j$  is a positive integer depending on  $D_j$ . Here, we also know that  $(B_j, g_{D_j}|_{B_j})$  is holomorphically isometric to  $(\mathbb{B}^{m_j}, g_{\mathbb{B}^{m_j}})$ , which is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $-2$ . For the notion of characteristic symmetric subspaces of bounded symmetric domains, we refer the readers to Mok-Tsai [MT92].

### 3. PROPER HOLOMORPHIC MAPS BETWEEN BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS OF EQUAL RANK $\geq 2$

Motivated by the study in Tsai [Ts93] and Ng [Ng15], we are concerning proper holomorphic maps between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains of the same rank  $\geq 2$ . In [Ts93], Tsai has proven that if  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  is a proper holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$ , then  $\text{rank}(D) \leq \text{rank}(\Omega)$ . Thus, it is natural to ask the following question.

**Question 3.1.** Let  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a proper holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$ . If  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega) \geq 2$ , then is  $F$  a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to some canonical Kähler metrics on  $D$  and  $\Omega$ ?

*Remark 3.2.* Tsai [Ts93, Main Theorem] has an affirmative answer to Question 3.1 under the assumption that  $D$  is irreducible.

However, we have a negative answer to Question 3.1 if  $D$  is reducible and some irreducible factor of the domain  $D$  is a complex unit ball, namely, we have

**Example 3.3.** We also denote by  $M(p, q; \mathbb{C})$  the space of  $p$ -by- $q$  complex matrices. A type-I irreducible bounded symmetric domain is given by

$$D_{p,q}^I := \left\{ Z \in M(p, q; \mathbb{C}) : \mathbf{I}_q - \overline{Z}^t Z > 0 \right\},$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are positive integers. We refer the readers to Mok [Mok89] for details about bounded symmetric domains.

For any integer  $n \geq 2$ , it is well-known that there is a positive integer  $q$  and a proper holomorphic map  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{q-2}$  which is not a holomorphic isometry from  $(\mathbb{B}^n, \lambda g_{\mathbb{B}^n})$  to  $(\mathbb{B}^{q-2}, g_{\mathbb{B}^{q-2}})$  for any real constant  $\lambda > 0$  (cf. D'Angelo [D88]). More precisely, from D'Angelo [D88, p. 84] we may let  $q = 2n + 1$  and

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) := (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_1 z_n, z_2 z_n, \dots, z_{n-1} z_n, z_n^2).$$

Writing  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{q-2})$ , we define a map  $F : \mathbb{B}^n \times D_{2,2}^I \rightarrow D_{3,q}^I$  by

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n; \mathbf{W}) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(z_1, \dots, z_n) & \cdots & f_{q-2}(z_1, \dots, z_n) & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{W} \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\mathbf{W} \in D_{2,2}^I$ . Then,  $F$  is a proper holomorphic map between the bounded symmetric domains  $\mathbb{B}^n \times D_{2,2}^I$  and  $D_{3,q}^I$  of rank three such that  $F$  is not a holomorphic isometry with respect to any canonical Kähler metrics of  $\mathbb{B}^n \times D_{2,2}^I$  and  $D_{3,q}^I$ .

*Remark 3.4.*

- (1) It is known from Chan-Xiao-Yuan [CXY17] and Mok [Mok12] that any holomorphic isometry between bounded symmetric domains with respect to the canonical Kähler metrics is a proper holomorphic map. In [Ch19], we have shown that any holomorphic isometry between bounded symmetric domains of the same rank with respect to the canonical Kähler metrics is totally geodesic. From Example 3.3, we know that this result from [Ch19] cannot be generalized to the case of proper holomorphic maps unless we impose additional assumptions on the bounded symmetric domains.
- (2) Example 3.3 shows that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 cannot be generalized to the case where some irreducible factor of the domain  $D$  is of rank 1.

We first recall the following lemma obtained from Mok-Tsai [MT92] and Tsai [Ts93], which is known by Ng [Ng15, p. 224].

**Lemma 3.5** (cf. Mok-Tsai [MT92], Tsai [Ts93] and Ng [Ng15]). *Let  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a proper holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega) \geq 2$ . Then,  $F$  maps rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . In particular,  $F$  maps minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ .*

This yields the following obvious corollary.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a proper holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$  such that  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega)$ . If  $\Omega$  is of tube type, then so is  $D$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega) = 1$ . Then,  $D$  (resp.  $\Omega$ ) is biholomorphic to a complex unit ball. Since  $\Omega$  is of tube type,  $\Omega$  is the complex unit disk and thus  $D$  can only be the complex unit disk as well. In particular,  $D$  is of tube type.

Now, we suppose  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega) \geq 2$ . Note that rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$  are precisely the minimal disks of  $\Omega$  because  $\Omega$  is of tube type (cf. Mok-Tsai [MT92] and Wolf [Wo72]). By Lemma 3.5,  $F$  maps rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . Therefore, rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $D$  could

only be unit disks. Hence, all irreducible factors of  $D$  are of tube type and so is  $D$  by Wolf [Wo72].  $\square$

We observe that [Ng15, Proposition 1.2] actually holds by [Ng15, Proof of Proposition 1.2] even when the target bounded symmetric domain is reducible, namely, we have

**Proposition 3.7** (cf. Proposition 1.2 in Ng [Ng15]). Let  $D$  and  $\Omega$  be bounded symmetric domains of rank  $\geq 2$  and let  $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose  $D$  is irreducible and  $F$  maps the minimal disks of  $D$  properly into the rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . Write  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_l$ , where  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are the irreducible factors of  $\Omega$ . Then,  $F$  is a totally geodesic isometric embedding from  $(D, g_D)$  to  $(\Omega_1, g_{\Omega_1}) \times \cdots \times (\Omega_l, g_{\Omega_l})$ .

*Remark 3.8.* From the proof of Proposition 1.2 in Ng [Ng15], we know that  $F$  is a totally geodesic isometric embedding from  $(D, \lambda g_D)$  to  $(\Omega_1, g_{\Omega_1}) \times \cdots \times (\Omega_l, g_{\Omega_l})$  for some positive real constant  $\lambda$ . But then by the fact that  $F$  maps minimal disks of  $D$  properly into the rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$  and  $F$  is totally geodesic, we can deduce that  $\lambda = 1$ .

By making use of Proposition 3.7 and results in Ng [Ng15], we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1, as follows.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We write  $Z^j = (Z_1^j, \dots, Z_{n_j}^j) \in D_j \Subset \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$  for the Harish-Chandra coordinates of  $D_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . For  $W = (W^1, \dots, W^k) \in D$ , we let  $\iota_{j,W} : D_j \hookrightarrow D$  be the natural embedding given by

$$\iota_{j,W}(Z^j) := (W^1, \dots, W^{j-1}, Z^j, W^{j+1}, \dots, W^k)$$

for  $Z^j \in D_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then, each  $F \circ \iota_{j,W} : D_j \rightarrow \Omega$  is a holomorphic map which maps the minimal disks of  $D_j$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Since  $D_j$  is an irreducible bounded symmetric domain of rank  $\geq 2$ , it follows from Proposition 3.7 that  $F \circ \iota_{j,W}$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding from  $(D_j, g_{D_j})$  to  $(\Omega_1, g_{\Omega_1}) \times \cdots \times (\Omega_l, g_{\Omega_l})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Let  $g'_\Omega$  be the canonical Kähler metric on  $\Omega$  such that  $(\Omega, g'_\Omega) \cong (\Omega_1, g_{\Omega_1}) \times \cdots \times (\Omega_l, g_{\Omega_l})$ . Therefore, for any  $W \in D$  we have  $\iota_{j,W}^*(F^* \omega_{g'_\Omega}) = \omega_{g_{D_j}}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

Write  $h := F^* g'_\Omega$  and  $\omega_h := F^* \omega_{g'_\Omega}$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , let  $\pi_j : D \rightarrow D_j$  be the canonical projection onto the  $j$ -th factor, i.e.,  $\pi_j(W^1, \dots, W^k) = W^j$  for  $(W^1, \dots, W^k) \in D$ . Let  $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^k) \in D$ . Note that  $T_Z(D) = T_{Z^1}(D_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_{Z^k}(D_k)$ . For any  $v \in T_Z(D)$ , we write  $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_k$ , where  $v_j \in T_{Z^j}(D_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Furthermore, for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and for any tangent vector  $v_j \in T_{Z^j}(D_j)$  we may write  $v_j = \sum_{\mu=1}^{r_j} v_{j,\mu} e_\mu^{(j)}$  in normal form (cf. Mok [Mok89, p. 252]), where  $r_j := \text{rank}(D_j) \geq 2$  and  $\{e_\mu^{(j)}\}_{\mu=1}^{r_j}$  is the standard basis for the holomorphic tangent space of a totally geodesic  $r_j$ -disk of  $D_j$  through the point  $Z^j \in D_j$ . In this situation,  $e_\mu^{(j)}$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq r_j$ , are characteristic vectors of  $T_{Z^j}(D_j)$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . From [Ng15, Proof of Lemma 3.1] we have

$$h(e_\mu^{(i)}, \overline{e_\nu^{(j)}}) = 0$$

for distinct  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , and for any  $\mu, \nu$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq r_i$ ,  $1 \leq \nu \leq r_j$ . In general, letting  $\alpha_\mu \in T_{Z^\mu}(D_\mu) \subset T_Z(D)$  be characteristic vectors,  $1 \leq \mu \leq k$ , we

have  $h(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_j) = 0$  for distinct  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . This implies that for tangent vectors  $v_\mu \in T_{Z^\mu}(D_\mu) \subset T_Z(D)$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq k$ , we have  $h(v_i, \bar{v}_j) = 0$  for distinct  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . In particular, we have

$$\omega_h = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq n_j} h_{\mu\bar{\nu}}^{(j)}(Z) dZ_\mu^j \wedge d\bar{Z}_\nu^j$$

on  $D$ . Recall that for  $W \in D$  we have  $\iota_{i,W}^* \omega_h = \omega_{g_{D_i}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Thus, for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , each  $h_{\mu\bar{\nu}}^{(j)}(Z)$ ,  $1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq n_j$ , only depends on  $Z^j$ , i.e.,  $h_{\mu\bar{\nu}}^{(j)}(Z) \equiv h_{\mu\bar{\nu}}^{(j)}(Z^j)$ . In addition, for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  we have

$$\sqrt{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq n_j} h_{\mu\bar{\nu}}^{(j)}(Z^j) dZ_\mu^j \wedge d\bar{Z}_\nu^j = \pi_j^* \omega_{g_{D_j}}$$

by  $\pi_j^* \omega_{g_{D_j}} = \pi_j^* (\iota_{j,W}^* \omega_h) = (\iota_{j,W} \circ \pi_j)^* \omega_h$ . Then, we have  $\omega_h = \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j^* \omega_{g_{D_j}}$  and thus  $F^* g'_\Omega = h = \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j^* g_{D_j}$ . Hence,  $F$  is a (proper) holomorphic isometric embedding from  $(D, \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j^* g_{D_j})$  to  $(\Omega, g'_\Omega)$ . Since the irreducible factors of  $D$  are of rank  $\geq 2$ , it follows from the arguments of [Mok12, Proof of Theorem 1.3.2] that the second fundamental form of  $(F(D), g'_\Omega|_{F(D)})$  in  $(\Omega, g'_\Omega)$  vanishes identically and thus  $F$  is totally geodesic, as desired.  $\square$

As a consequence, we have a simple proof of Theorem 1.2 in the following. (Noting that Theorem 1.2 actually provides an affirmative answer to Question 3.1 under the assumption that all irreducible factors of the domain  $D$  are of rank  $\geq 2$ .)

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By Lemma 3.5,  $F$  maps minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . Then, the result follows from Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

Now, we study holomorphic maps  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  which map minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ , where  $D$  and  $\Omega$  are bounded symmetric domains such that  $\Omega$  is reducible. The case where the reducible bounded symmetric domain  $\Omega$  has an irreducible factor of rank  $\geq 2$  can be quite complicated in general if some irreducible factors of the domain  $D$  are complex unit balls (See Example 3.3). Therefore, we will focus on the simplest case where the target  $\Omega$  is a product of complex unit balls. We first recall a result of Ng [Ng15].

**Lemma 3.9** (cf. Proposition 2.3 in [Ng15]). *Let  $F : \Delta \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$  be a holomorphic map such that  $F|_{\Delta \times \{\mathbf{0}\}} : \Delta \cong \Delta \times \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^k$  is a proper map, where  $U \Subset \mathbb{C}^m$  is a bounded domain containing  $\mathbf{0}$ . Then, for any  $(z, w) \in \Delta \times U$  we have  $F(z, w) = F(z, \mathbf{0})$ .*

On the other hand, by Mok [Mok16] and Yuan-Zhang [YZ12], we observe the non-existence of holomorphic isometries between certain bounded symmetric domains with respect to the canonical Kähler metrics, as follows.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^N$  be a bounded symmetric domain such that  $\Omega$  has an irreducible factor of rank  $\geq 2$ , i.e.,  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$  and there exists  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , such that  $\text{rank}(\Omega_j) \geq 2$ , where  $\Omega_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are the irreducible factors of  $\Omega$ . Equip a Kähler metric  $g'_\Omega$  on  $\Omega$  so that  $(\Omega, g'_\Omega) \cong (\Omega_1, \lambda_1 g_{\Omega_1}) \times \cdots \times (\Omega_n, \lambda_n g_{\Omega_n})$  for some positive real constants  $\lambda_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then, there does not exist a holomorphic*

isometry from  $(\Omega, g'_\Omega)$  to  $(\mathbb{B}^{N_1}, \mu_1 g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_1}}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{B}^{N_m}, \mu_m g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_m}})$ , where  $\mu_l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , are positive real constants.

*Proof.* Assume the contrary that there exists a holomorphic isometry  $f$  from  $(\Omega, g'_\Omega)$  to  $(\mathbb{B}^{N_1}, \mu_1 g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_1}}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{B}^{N_m}, \mu_m g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_m}})$ , where  $\mu_l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , are positive real constants. Then, by restricting to the irreducible factor  $\Omega_j$  of  $\Omega$ , we have a holomorphic isometry  $\hat{f}$  from  $(\Omega_j, g_{\Omega_j})$  to  $(\mathbb{B}^{N_1}, \mu'_1 g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_1}}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{B}^{N_m}, \mu'_m g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_m}})$ , where  $\mu'_l := \frac{\mu_l}{\lambda_j}$  for  $1 \leq l \leq m$ . Write  $\Omega_j$  for an irreducible factor of  $\Omega$  such that  $\text{rank}(\Omega_j) \geq 2$ . Then, it follows from [Mok16] that there exists a nonstandard (i.e., not totally geodesic) holomorphic isometry  $F$  from  $(\mathbb{B}^k, g_{\mathbb{B}^k})$  to  $(\Omega_j, g_{\Omega_j})$  for some integer  $k \geq 2$ . This gives a holomorphic isometry  $\hat{f} \circ F$  from  $(\mathbb{B}^k, g_{\mathbb{B}^k})$  to  $(\mathbb{B}^{N_1}, \mu'_1 g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_1}}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{B}^{N_m}, \mu'_m g_{\mathbb{B}^{N_m}})$ . By the rigidity theorem of Yuan-Zhang [YZ12],  $\hat{f} \circ F$  is totally geodesic. This contradicts with the fact that  $F$  is not totally geodesic. Hence, there does not exist such a holomorphic isometry  $f$ , as desired.  $\square$

Now, by making use of the technique in Ng [Ng15], we have the following structure theorem for holomorphic maps from a bounded symmetric domain  $D$  to a product  $\Omega$  of complex unit balls which map minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $D = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k$  be a bounded symmetric domain of rank  $\geq 2$  and  $\Omega := \mathbb{B}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{B}^{m_l}$  be a product of complex unit balls, where  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , are irreducible bounded symmetric domains. Let  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a holomorphic map which maps minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . Write  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_l)$ , where  $f_j : D \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{m_j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are holomorphic maps. Then, we have  $k \leq l$  and up to a permutation of the irreducible factors of  $\Omega$ , we have*

$$f(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) = \begin{cases} (f_1(Z^1), \dots, f_k(Z^k), f_{k+1}(Z), \dots, f_l(Z)) & \text{if } k < l, \\ (f_1(Z^1), \dots, f_k(Z^k)) & \text{if } k = l, \end{cases}$$

for  $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^k) \in D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k = D$ . Moreover,  $D_i \cong \mathbb{B}^{n_i}$  is a complex unit ball for some  $n_i \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , i.e.,  $D$  is also a product of complex unit balls.

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $f(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we choose a minimal disk  $\Delta^{(i)} := \{(z, 0, \dots, 0) \in D_i : |z| < 1\} \subseteq D_i$ . Write  $M_i := D_1 \times \cdots \times D_{i-1} \times \Delta^{(i)} \times D_{i+1} \times \cdots \times D_k \subseteq D$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Restricting  $f$  to the minimal disk  $\hat{\Delta}^{(i)} := \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \times \Delta^{(i)} \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \subseteq M_i \subseteq D$ , we have  $f(\hat{\Delta}^{(i)}) \subseteq B_i \subseteq \Omega$  for some rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspace  $B_i$  of  $\Omega$  which contains  $\mathbf{0}$ . Note that such a rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspace  $B_i$  is exactly  $\{(0, \dots, 0)\} \times \mathbb{B}^{m_{j_i}} \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  for some  $j_i$ ,  $1 \leq j_i \leq l$ . Thus,  $f_j(\hat{\Delta}^{(i)}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  for  $j \neq j_i$ , and  $f_{j_i}|_{\hat{\Delta}^{(i)}} : \hat{\Delta}^{(i)} \rightarrow B_i \cong \mathbb{B}^{m_{j_i}}$  is a proper holomorphic map. We write  $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^k) \in D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k = D$  and  $Z^i \in D_i$  is the Harish-Chandra coordinates of  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . By [Ng15, Proposition 2.3] (i.e., Lemma 3.9), we have  $f_{j_i}|_{M_i}(Z^1, \dots, Z^{i-1}; z, 0, \dots, 0; Z^{i+1}, \dots, Z^k) \equiv f_{j_i}|_{M_i}(0, \dots, 0; z, 0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, 0)$  and thus  $f_{j_i}(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) \equiv f_{j_i}(\mathbf{0}; Z^i; \mathbf{0})$  by the definition of  $M_i$ . In other words,  $f_{j_i}$  is independent of the variables  $Z^\mu$  for all  $\mu \neq i$ , i.e.,  $f_{j_i}(Z) \equiv f_{j_i}(Z^i)$ . It then follows that for distinct  $i_1, i_2$ ,  $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq k$ , we have  $j_{i_1} \neq j_{i_2}$  and thus  $k \leq l$ . We

may assume that  $j_i = i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  after a permutation of the irreducible factors of  $\Omega$ . Then, from the above results we have

$$f(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) = \begin{cases} (f_1(Z^1), \dots, f_k(Z^k), f_{k+1}(Z), \dots, f_l(Z)) & \text{if } k < l, \\ (f_1(Z^1), \dots, f_k(Z^k)) & \text{if } k = l. \end{cases}$$

Assume the contrary that  $D$  has an irreducible  $D_j$  which is of rank  $\geq 2$ , i.e.,  $\text{rank}(D_j) \geq 2$ . Then, by restricting to  $\{\mathbf{0}\} \times D_j \times \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset D$ , we have a holomorphic map  $F$  from  $D_j$  to  $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{B}^{m_l}$  which maps minimal disks of  $D_j$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ . By Proposition 3.7,  $F : D_j \rightarrow \Omega$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to certain canonical Kähler metrics on  $D_j$  and  $\Omega$ , which contradicts with the result of Proposition 3.10. Hence, all irreducible factors of  $D_j$  are of rank 1, i.e.,  $D_i \cong \mathbb{B}^{n_i}$  for some positive integer  $n_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , as desired.  $\square$

In general, for a holomorphic map  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$  of the same rank  $\geq 2$  which maps minimal disks of  $D$  properly into rank-1 characteristic symmetric subspaces of  $\Omega$ , we do not have the analogous structure theorem as in Theorem 3.11 if  $\Omega$  is not a product of complex unit balls. Actually, we have the following trivial example.

**Example 3.12.** Let  $p_j, q_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ , be positive integers such that  $p_j \leq q_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ . Let  $f : D_{p_1, q_1}^I \times D_{p_2, q_2}^I \times D_{p_3, q_3}^I \times D_{p_4, q_4}^I \rightarrow D_{p_1+p_2, q_1+q_2}^I \times D_{p_3+p_4, q_3+q_4}^I$  be the holomorphic map defined by

$$f(Z) := (f_1(Z), f_2(Z))$$

for  $Z = (Z^1, Z^2, Z^3, Z^4) \in D_{p_1, q_1}^I \times D_{p_2, q_2}^I \times D_{p_3, q_3}^I \times D_{p_4, q_4}^I$  with

$$f_1(Z) := \begin{bmatrix} Z^1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & Z^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_2(Z) := \begin{bmatrix} Z^3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & Z^4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that  $f$  is a proper holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains of the same rank  $\sum_{j=1}^4 p_j \geq 4$  but none of the  $f_1, f_2$  depends only on one of the  $Z^1, \dots, Z^4$ .

#### 4. SEMI-PRODUCT PROPER HOLOMORPHIC MAPS BETWEEN BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS

Motivated by the recent work of Seo [Seo18], we will study semi-product proper holomorphic maps between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains in this section. Let  $f : D_1 \times \dots \times D_k \rightarrow \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_l$  be a proper holomorphic map, where  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are irreducible bounded symmetric domains. Write  $Z^j$  (or  $W^j$ ) for the Harish-Chandra coordinates of  $D_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . In [Seo18], Seo introduced the notion of semi-product proper holomorphic maps between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains, as follows.

**Definition 4.1** (cf. Seo [Seo18]). The map  $f$  is said to be a **semi-product proper holomorphic map** if for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that the map  $f_{i,j,W} : D_i \rightarrow \Omega_j$  defined by

$$f_{i,j,W}(Z^i) = f_j(W^1, \dots, W^{i-1}, Z^i, W^{i+1}, \dots, W^k)$$



is a proper holomorphic map for  $W = (W^1, \dots, W^{i-1}, W^{i+1}, \dots, W^k)$  in some dense open subset of  $D_1 \times \dots \times \widehat{D}_i \times \dots \times D_k$ . Here,  $\widehat{D}_i$  means that  $D_i$  is omitted. On the other hand, we say that the map  $f$  is a **product map** if  $k = l$  and

$$f(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) = (f_1(Z^{\sigma(1)}), \dots, f_k(Z^{\sigma(k)}))$$

for some permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$  so that each holomorphic map  $f_j$  only depends on the holomorphic coordinates of  $D_{\sigma(j)}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

A map  $F$  from a bounded domain  $D \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$  to a bounded domain  $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^N$  is said to be **rational** if all component functions of  $F$  are rational functions in  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e.,  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_N)$  and  $F_j(z) = \frac{P_j(z)}{Q_j(z)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , for some complex polynomials  $P_j, Q_j \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ . Then, Seo [Seo18] has shown that any rational proper holomorphic map between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains is a semi-product proper holomorphic map, namely, we have

**Proposition 4.2** (cf. Proposition 3.5 in Seo [Seo18]). Let  $f : D_1 \times \dots \times D_k \rightarrow \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_l$  be a proper holomorphic map, where  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are irreducible bounded symmetric domains. If  $f$  is rational, then  $f$  is a semi-product proper holomorphic map.

Motivated by the example of a proper holomorphic map from  $D_{2,2}^I$  to  $D_{3,3}^I$  constructed by Tsai [Ts93, p.124], we give an example of a semi-product proper holomorphic map between certain reducible bounded symmetric domains which is neither a product map nor totally geodesic.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $f : D_{2,2}^I \times D_{2,2}^I \rightarrow D_{3,3}^I \times D_{3,3}^I$  be a holomorphic map given by

$$f(Z^1, Z^2) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} Z^1 & 0 \\ 0 & h_1(Z^2)g_1(Z^1) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} Z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2(Z^1)g_2(Z^2) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

for  $(Z^1, Z^2) \in D_{2,2}^I \times D_{2,2}^I$ , where  $h_j$  and  $g_j$  are holomorphic functions on  $D_{2,2}^I$  such that for any  $W \in D_{2,2}^I$  we have  $|h_j(W)| < 1$  and  $|g_j(W)| < 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then, it is clear that  $f$  is a semi-product proper holomorphic map but not a product map. In addition, we can choose the holomorphic functions  $h_j$  and  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , such that  $f$  is not totally geodesic. This also shows the existence of a semi-product proper holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains which is not a rational map.

We can actually obtain lots of holomorphic maps from  $D_{2,2}^I$  to  $\Delta := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$ . Write  $W = (w_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$  and let  $p(W)$  be a polynomial in  $(w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{21}, w_{22})$ . Let  $M := \sup_{W \in \overline{D_{2,2}^I}} |p(W)|$ . Then, we have  $M < +\infty$  by the boundedness of  $D_{2,2}^I$ . Moreover, by the maximum modulus principle we actually have  $|p(W)| < M$  for any  $W \in D_{2,2}^I$  because  $p$  is a non-constant holomorphic function on the bounded domain  $D_{2,2}^I$ . We define  $h(W) := \frac{1}{M}p(W)$ . Then, for any  $W \in D_{2,2}^I$  we have  $|h(W)| = \frac{1}{M}|p(W)| < 1$ . Thus,  $h : D_{2,2}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function such that for any  $W \in D_{2,2}^I$  we have  $|h(W)| < 1$ . In general, we may replace the polynomial  $p(W)$  by any non-constant bounded holomorphic function on  $D_{2,2}^I$  in the above.

In analogy to Lemma 3.9, Seo [Seo18] obtained the following result.

**Lemma 4.4** (cf. Corollary 2.3 in [Seo18]). Let  $D$  and  $\Omega$  be irreducible bounded symmetric domains such that  $\text{rank}(D) \geq \text{rank}(\Omega)$ . We also let  $F : D \times U \rightarrow \Omega$  be a

holomorphic map such that  $F|_{D \times \{w\}} : D \cong D \times \{w\} \rightarrow \Omega$  is a proper holomorphic map for each  $w \in U$ , where  $U \Subset \mathbb{C}^m$  is a connected bounded domain. Then,  $f$  does not depend on  $w \in U$ .

For any (reducible) bounded symmetric domain  $U$ , we write

$$R_U := \{\text{rank}(U') : U' \text{ is an irreducible factor of } U\}$$

and we define  $r_{\min}(U) := \min R_U$  and  $r_{\max}(U) := \max R_U$ . We remark here that there are reducible bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$  such that  $r_{\min}(D) \geq r_{\max}(\Omega)$  and  $\text{rank}(D) < \text{rank}(\Omega)$ . For example, for  $D = D_{3,p_1}^1 \times D_{3,p_2}^1$  and  $\Omega = D_{3,q_1}^1 \times D_{3,q_2}^1 \times D_{3,q_3}^1$ , where  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, q_3 \geq 3$  are integers, we have  $r_{\min}(D) = 3 = r_{\max}(\Omega)$  but  $\text{rank}(D) = 6 < 9 = \text{rank}(\Omega)$ .

From Example 4.3, there is a semi-product proper holomorphic map  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  which is nonstandard and not a product map even if  $r_{\min}(D) = r_{\max}(\Omega) - 1$  and that  $D$  and  $\Omega$  have the same number of irreducible factors. Therefore, for a semi-product proper holomorphic map  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  between bounded symmetric domains  $D$  and  $\Omega$ , by imposing a certain rank condition on  $D$  and  $\Omega$ , namely,  $r_{\min}(D) \geq r_{\max}(\Omega)$ , we have

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $D = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k$  and  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_l$  be bounded symmetric domains, where  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are irreducible bounded symmetric domains. Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_l) : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be a semi-product proper holomorphic map. If  $r_{\min}(D) = \min\{\text{rank}(D_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \geq \max\{\text{rank}(\Omega_j) : 1 \leq j \leq l\} = r_{\max}(\Omega)$ , then  $k \leq l$  and we have the following.*

- (1) *Suppose  $k = l$ . Then, we have*
  - (a)  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega)$ ,  $r_{\min}(D) = r_{\max}(\Omega) =: r$  and  $\text{rank}(D_i) = \text{rank}(\Omega_j) = r$  for all  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ .
  - (b)  $f$  is a product map, i.e.,

$$f(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) = (f_1(Z^{\sigma(1)}), \dots, f_k(Z^{\sigma(k)}))$$

for some permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ , where  $Z^j \in D_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

If in addition that  $r_{\max}(\Omega) \geq 2$ , then  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to certain canonical Kähler metrics on  $D$  and  $\Omega$ .

- (2) *Suppose  $k < l$ . Then, up to a permutation of the irreducible factors  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , of  $\Omega$ , we have*

$$f(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) = (f_1(Z^1), \dots, f_k(Z^k), f_{k+1}(Z), \dots, f_l(Z))$$

for  $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^k) \in D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k = D$ , and for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we have  $\text{rank}(D_i) = r_{\min}(D) = r_{\max}(\Omega) = \text{rank}(\Omega_i)$  and  $f_i : D_i \rightarrow \Omega_i$  is a proper holomorphic map. If in addition that  $r_{\max}(\Omega) \geq 2$ , then for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $f_i : D_i \rightarrow \Omega_i$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to the Bergman metrics up to a normalizing constant.

*Proof.* Our method here is inspired by the proof of Proposition 3.4 in Seo [Seo18]. Since  $f$  is a semi-product map, for  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq k$ , there are  $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $f_{i_\mu, j_\mu, w^{(\mu)}} : D_{i_\mu} \rightarrow \Omega_{j_\mu}$  defined by

$$f_{i_\mu, j_\mu, w^{(\mu)}}(Z^{i_\mu}) = f_{j_\mu}(w_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, w_{i_\mu-1}^{(\mu)}, Z^{i_\mu}, w_{i_\mu+1}^{(\mu)}, \dots, w_k^{(\mu)})$$

is a proper holomorphic map for  $w^{(\mu)} = (w_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, w_{i_\mu-1}^{(\mu)}, w_{i_\mu+1}^{(\mu)}, \dots, w_k^{(\mu)}) \in D_1 \times \dots \times \widehat{D_{i_\mu}} \times \dots \times D_k$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2$ . Here,  $\widehat{D_{i_\mu}}$  means that the factor  $D_{i_\mu}$  is omitted. If  $j_1 = j_2$ , then

$$f_{j_1}(w_1, \dots, w_{i_1-1}, \cdot, w_{i_1+1}, \dots, w_{i_2-1}, \cdot, w_{i_2+1}, \dots, w_k) : D_{i_1} \times D_{i_2} \rightarrow \Omega_{j_1}$$

is a proper holomorphic map, a plain contradiction because  $\text{rank}(D_{i_1} \times D_{i_2}) > \text{rank}(D_{i_1}) \geq \text{rank}(\Omega_{j_1})$  by the assumption (cf. [Ts93]). Thus, we have  $j_1 \neq j_2$ . In particular, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , there exists  $n_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $f_{i, n_i, w} : D_i \rightarrow \Omega_{n_i}$  is a proper holomorphic map for  $w \in D_1 \times \dots \times \widehat{D_i} \times \dots \times D_k$  and  $n_i \neq n_\mu$  whenever  $i \neq \mu$ . Then, we have  $k \leq l$ .

Now, we may assume that  $n_i = i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  after permuting the irreducible factors of  $\Omega$ . Note that  $\text{rank}(D_i) \geq r_{\min}(D) \geq r_{\max}(\Omega) \geq \text{rank}(\Omega_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Applying Corollary 2.3 in Seo [Seo18] (i.e., Lemma 4.4) to  $f_{i, i, w}$  for each  $i$ , we obtain that  $f_i$  depends only on  $Z^i \in D_i$  and  $f_i : D_i \rightarrow \Omega_i$  is a proper holomorphic map for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Case (1)** Suppose  $k = l$ . Then,  $f$  is a product map. Moreover, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \text{rank}(D) \geq kr_{\min}(D) \geq kr_{\max}(\Omega) = lr_{\max}(\Omega) \geq \text{rank}(\Omega),$$

i.e.,  $\text{rank}(D) \geq \text{rank}(\Omega)$ . From Tsai [Ts93, p.129], we have  $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(\Omega)$ . Thus, each inequality in Eq. (4.1) is actually an equality. In particular, we have

$$\text{rank}(D_i) = r_{\min}(D) = r_{\max}(\Omega) = \text{rank}(\Omega_j)$$

for all  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ .

If in addition that  $r_{\max}(\Omega) \geq 2$ , then we have  $\text{rank}(D_i) \geq r_{\min}(D) \geq r_{\max}(\Omega) \geq \text{rank}(\Omega_i) \geq 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . By Tsai [Ts93, Main Theorem],  $f_i : D_i \rightarrow \Omega_i$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to the Bergman metrics up to a normalizing constant for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Hence,  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  is a totally geodesic holomorphic isometric embedding with respect to certain canonical Kähler metrics on  $D$  and  $\Omega$ . (Noting that the result also follows directly from Theorem 1.2 in this situation.)

**Case (2)** Suppose  $k < l$ . From the above, we have

$$f(Z^1, \dots, Z^k) = (f_1(Z^1), \dots, f_k(Z^k), f_{k+1}(Z), \dots, f_l(Z))$$

after permuting the irreducible factors  $\Omega_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , of  $\Omega$ . The rest follows from the arguments in Case (1). □

*Remark 4.6.*

- (1) By Proposition 3.5 in Seo [Seo18] and Theorem 4.5, we know that any rational proper holomorphic map  $f$  from  $D_1 \times \dots \times D_k$  to  $\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_k$  is a product map whenever  $\text{rank}(D_i) = \text{rank}(\Omega_j) = r$  for all  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  and  $r$  is independent of  $i$  and  $j$ .
- (2) Define a holomorphic map  $f : D_3^{\text{III}} \times D_3^{\text{III}} \rightarrow D_{3, q_1}^{\text{I}} \times D_{3, q_2}^{\text{I}} \times \Delta$  by

$$f(Z^1, Z^2) := ([Z^1 \quad \mathbf{0}], [Z^2 \quad \mathbf{0}], h(Z^1, Z^2)),$$

where  $q_1, q_2 \geq 3$  are integers, and  $h : D_3^{\text{III}} \times D_3^{\text{III}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function such that  $|h(Z^1, Z^2)| < 1$  on  $D_3^{\text{III}} \times D_3^{\text{III}}$ . (Noting that  $r_{\min}(D_3^{\text{III}} \times D_3^{\text{III}}) = 3 = r_{\max}(D_{3, q_1}^{\text{I}} \times D_{3, q_2}^{\text{I}} \times \Delta)$  because  $q_1, q_2 \geq 3$ .) Then, we may

choose a function  $h$  so that  $f : D_3^{\text{III}} \times D_3^{\text{III}} \rightarrow D_{3,q_1}^{\text{I}} \times D_{3,q_2}^{\text{I}} \times \Delta$  is a semi-product proper holomorphic map which is not totally geodesic. That means in Case (2) of Theorem 4.5, it is possible that such a semi-product proper holomorphic map is not totally geodesic.

- (3) By Proposition 3.5 in [Seo18] (i.e., Proposition 4.2), the statement of Theorem 4.5 still holds true if we assume that  $f$  is rational instead of  $f$  is semi-product. In other words, Theorem 4.5 gives a complete description of all rational proper holomorphic maps  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$  between (reducible) bounded symmetric domains when  $r_{\min}(D) \geq r_{\max}(\Omega)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [Ch19] Shan Tai Chan: *Remarks on holomorphic isometric embeddings between bounded symmetric domains*, Complex Anal. Synerg. 5 (2019), 5:7.
- [CXY17] Shan Tai Chan, Ming Xiao and Yuan Yuan: *Holomorphic maps between products of complex unit balls*, Internat. J. Math. 28 (2017), no. 9, 1740010, 22 pp.
- [D88] D'Angelo, John P.: *Proper holomorphic maps between balls of different dimensions*, Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988),83–90.
- [Mok89] Ngaiming Mok: *Metric rigidity theorems on Hermitian locally symmetric manifolds*, Series in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 6, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore; Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [Mok12] Ngaiming Mok: *Extension of germs of holomorphic isometries up to normalizing constants with respect to the Bergman metric*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 14 (2012), 1617–1656.
- [Mok16] Ngaiming Mok: *Holomorphic isometries of the complex unit ball into irreducible bounded symmetric domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), pp. 4515–4525.
- [MT92] Ngaiming Mok and I-Hsun Tsai: *Rigidity of convex realizations of irreducible bounded symmetric domains of rank  $\geq 2$* , J. reine angew. Math. 431 (1992), 91–122.
- [Ng15] Sui-Chung Ng: *On proper holomorphic mappings among irreducible bounded symmetric domains of rank at least 2*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), 219–225.
- [Seo18] Aeryeong Seo: *Remark on Proper Holomorphic Maps Between Reducible Bounded Symmetric Domains*, Taiwanese J. Math. 22 (2018), 325–337.
- [Ts93] I-Hsun Tsai: *Rigidity of proper holomorphic maps between symmetric domains*, J. Differential Geom. 37 (1993), pp. 123–160.
- [Wo72] Joseph A. Wolf: *Fine structures of Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Symmetric spaces (Short Courses, Washington Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1969-1970), pp. 271–357. Pure and App. Math., Vol. 8, Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [YZ12] Yuan Yuan and Yuan Zhang: *Rigidity for local holomorphic isometric embeddings from  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^{N_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{B}^{N_m}$  up to conformal factors*, J. Differential Geometry 90 (2012) pp. 329–349.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG

*E-mail address:* mastchan@hku.hk