TOTAL POSITIVITY FOR MATROID SCHUBERT VARIETIES

XUHUA HE, CONNOR SIMPSON, AND KAITAO XIE

ABSTRACT. We define the totally nonnegative matroid Schubert variety \mathcal{Y}_V of a linear subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We show that \mathcal{Y}_V is a regular CW complex homeomorphic to a closed ball, with strata indexed by pairs of acyclic flats of the oriented matroid of V. This closely resembles the regularity theorem for totally nonnegative generalized flag varieties. As a corollary, we obtain a regular CW structure on the real matroid Schubert variety of V.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Matroids.** Matroids model the combinatorics of linear subspaces, and have found broad application in and out of mathematics [RST20; Rec11; Iri83] since their formulation by Nakasawa [Nak35] and Whitney [Whi35]. They enjoy a particularly close relationship with algebraic geometry [Kat14; Ard21].

In this work we study the so-called "matroid Schubert varieties". If $V \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is a linear subspace, then its **matroid Schubert variety** Y_V is the Zariski closure of V in $(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{K}})^n$, which contains \mathbb{K}^n as an open subset. Introduced by [AB16], matroid Schubert varieties are central to the proof of the Top Heavy Conjecture for realizable matroids [HW17], guide the conjecture's resolution for *all* matroids [Bra+20], and are the geometric model for matroidal Kazhdan-Lusztig theory [EPW16]. Preceding [AB16], a neighborhood of the most singular point of a matroid Schubert variety was studied in [PS06] and [Ter02].

The geometry of Y_V is controlled by the **flats** of V; that is, the sets $F \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that there is $v \in V$ whose zero coordinates are exactly those indexed by F. The flats of V are an example of a **matroid**. When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, we may consider the more refined notion of **covectors**, which record the combinations of signs that the coordinate functions of \mathbb{R}^n can take on V. This data gives an example of an **oriented matroid**. Our main theorem says that oriented matroid data controls the geometry of the **totally non-negative matroid Schubert variety** $\mathcal{Y}_V := \overline{V \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n}^{an}$, the closure of $V \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ in $(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})^n$ with respect to the analytic topology.

1.2. Total positivity. By definition, an invertible real matrix is called **totally positive** if all the minors are positive and **totally non-negative** if all the minors are non-negative. These notions were introduced in the 1930s by Schoenberg [Sch30]. The theory of totally positive real matrices was further developed by Whitney and Loewner in the 1950s and found important applications in many different areas, including, for example, statistics, game theory, mathematical economics, and stochastic processes. We refer to the book by Karlin [Kar68] for detailed discussions.

All $n \times n$ invertible matrices form the general linear group, which is an example of a split reductive group. The theory of total positivity for an arbitrary split real reductive group was developed by Lusztig in his foundational work [Lus94] and has had significant impacts on many active research directions, including, among others,

- the theory of cluster algebras by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02],
- higher Teichmüller theory by Fock and Goncharov [FG06],
- the theory of the amplituhedron by Arkani-Hamed and Trnka [AT14].

It has also been discovered that many spaces with *G*-action have natural positive structures. A typical example is the (partial) flag variety \mathcal{P} . This has a natural decomposition into (open) Richardson varieties: $\mathcal{P} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$. This is a stratification, i.e., the closure of each \mathcal{P}_{α} (under the Zariski topology) is a disjoint union

of other Richardson varieties \mathcal{P}_{β} . On the other hand, Lusztig defined the totally non-negative flag $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$. This is a semi-algebraic subvariety of \mathcal{B} . We then have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,>0}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{P}_{>0} = \mathcal{P}_{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}.$$

Lusztig refers to the totally non-negative flag as a "remarkable polyhedral space". It has been studied by many leading experts: Bao, Galashin, Karp, Lam, Lusztig, Marsh, Postnikov, Rietsch, Williams, the firstnamed author, and others. They have established many remarkable geometric/topological properties, including the following:

- Connected components: $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,>0}$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$.
- Cell structure: P_{α,>0} ≅ ℝ^{dim P_α}_{>0} is a semi-algebraic cell.
 Cellular decomposition: P_{α,>0}^{an} is a disjoint union of other totally positive cells P_{β,>0}.
- Regularity property: $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,>0}}^{an}$ is a regular CW complex homeomorphic to a closed ball.

1.3. Main result. One may expect that matroid Schubert varieties admits a "nice" positive structure, similar to the flag varieties. This is what we will establish in this paper.

Let E be a finite set. If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ is a linear subspace, then $Y_V \subset (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})^n$ can be decomposed as a disjoint union of locally closed "Richardson varieties" $Y_{FG}^{\circ} := Y_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus F})$, with $F \subset G \subset E$ running over all flats of V. For any sets $F \subset G \subset E$, we analogously define $\mathcal{Y}_{FG}^{\circ} := \mathcal{Y}_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus G})$, and let $\mathcal{Y}_{FG} := \overline{\mathcal{Y}_{FG}^{\circ}}^{an}$. Note that $\mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset,E} = \mathcal{Y}_V$ by definition. Call a flat F of V acyclic if $V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{E \setminus F})$ is nonempty. The **rank** of a flat is the codimension in V of the subspace $V \cap \{x_i = 0 : i \in F\}$.

The main result of this paper is that the totally non-negative matroid Schubert variety is a "remarkable polyhedral space". More precisely,

Theorem 1.1. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$, with matroid Schubert variety Y_V and totally non-negative Schubert variety \mathcal{Y}_V .

- (i) \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° is nonempty if and only if $F \subset G$ are acyclic flats of V. In this case, \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° is a single connected component of Y_{FG}° , and is a semi-algebraic cell isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\mathrm{rk}(G)-\mathrm{rk}(F)}$.
- (ii) The closure \mathcal{Y}_{FG} of a nonempty cell \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° decomposes as the disjoint union of cells $\mathcal{Y}_{F',G'}^{\circ}$ with $F \subset F' \subset$ $G' \subset G.$
- (iii) This decomposition makes \mathcal{Y}_{FG} a regular CW complex homeomorphic to a closed ball.

Some comparison is due. Combinatorially, we see a new phenomenon in the matroid setting. The cells of $\mathcal{P}_{>0}$ and \mathcal{Y}_V are obtained by intersecting these sets with real Richardson strata of \mathcal{P} and Y_V , respectively. The poset of boundary strata of P is thin-that is, every interval of length two has exactly four elements-and $\mathcal{P}_{>0}$ contains exactly one connected component of every stratum. Hence, the poset of cells in the boundary of $\mathcal{P}_{>0}$ is also thin, a fact which is helpful for establishing the regularity property. On the other hand, the poset of boundary strata of Y_V is not thin. However, \mathcal{Y}_V fails to meet all strata of Y_V , and surprisingly, its cell poset is thin. As in the Lie-theoretic setting, this fact helps us to establish regularity.

Geometrically, the Richardson strata of matroid Schubert varieties are simpler than those of flag varieties. In the matroid Schubert case, each Richardson stratum is a hyperplane arrangement complement. Every connected component of a real hyperplane arrangement complement is homeomorphic to an open ball. However, a real open Richardson variety in a flag variety may have connected components with nontrivial topology (see, e.g. [MR00]). The relative simplicity of the matroid case's geometry allows us to show that \mathcal{Y}_V is a ball by directly exhibiting it as a cone over a closed ball in its boundary, bypassing such highpowered tools as the Poincaré conjecture, which underpins the known proofs of Theorem 1.1's Lie-theoretic analogues.

Example 1.2. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ be the linear subspace cut out by

$$x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = x_3 - x_4 - x_5 = 0.$$

The poset of flats of V (below left) is *not* thin, so its interval poset, which indexes strata of Y_V , is also *not* thin. On the other hand, the subposet of acyclic flats (below right) *is* thin, so its interval poset, which indexes cells of \mathcal{Y}_V is also thin.



The non-negative matroid Schubert variety \mathcal{Y}_V (below) is homeomorphic to a closed 3-ball. Cells of \mathcal{Y}_V are indexed by intervals in the poset of acyclic flats, ordered by inclusion. Hence, the cells structure of \mathcal{Y}_V has ten 0-cells and sixteen 1-cells (labelled), along with eight 2-cells and one 3-cell (unlabelled). One sees immediately that the closure of any cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball, so the cell structure is regular.



 \diamond

Acknowledgements. XH is partially supported by the New Cornerstone Foundation through the New Cornerstone Investigator Program and the Xplorer prize, and by Hong Kong RGC Grant 14300023. CS thanks: Xuhua for his support & hospitality during the visits that enabled this collaboration, Botong Wang for helpful conversation, and both CUHK and BICMR for pleasant working environments.

2. MATROIDS AND ORIENTED MATROIDS

We review aspects of (oriented) matroid theory, comprehensively explored in [Whi86] and [Bjö+99], and state the main properties of matroid Schubert varieties.

2.1. We may omit braces when writing one-element sets, e.g. " $\{1,2\} \cup i$ " means " $\{1,2\} \cup \{i\}$ " and " $\{1,2\} \times 0$ " means " $\{1,2\} \times \{0\}$ ". If E and K are sets, with E finite, then $K^E := \prod_{i \in E} K$. If $F \subset E$, then $\pi_F : K^E \to K^F$ is the projection. If all factors in a product are single-element sets, then we may omit notation for the product, e.g. " $\{0\} \times \{1\} \times \{1\}$ " will be written $0^{\{1\}}1^{\{2,3\}}$, and 0^E represents the origin of \mathbb{R}^E . Both conventions on singletons will be violated as necessary to avoid confusion.

Throughout this paper, E will denote a finite set.

In addition to sets, we will need to work with **signed sets**; that is, elements of $\{-, 0, +\}^E$. If X is a signed set, write X^- , X^0 , and X^+ for the coordinates of X that have value -, 0, and +, respectively. We define -X to be the signed set with $(-X)^- := X^+$, $(-X)^0 := X^0$, and $(-X)^+ := X^-$. If X and Y are signed sets, then their **composition** is given by

$$(X \circ Y)_i := \begin{cases} X_i, & \text{if } X_i \neq 0\\ Y_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Say *X* is contained in *Y*, and write $X \leq Y$, if $X^+ \subset Y^+$ and $X^- \subset Y^-$.

For most terminology on posets, we refer to [Sta11]. The **opposite** of a poset P is P^{op} , the poset on the same underlying set as P, but with order reversed.

2.2. A matroid on a finite set *E* is defined by a collection of flats $F \subset E$ such that (i) *E* is a flat, (ii) the intersection of two flats is a flat, and (iii) if *F* is a flat and $i \in E \setminus F$, then there is a unique smallest flat containing $F \cup i$. The flats of a linear subspace, defined in Section 1.1, satisfy these properties, giving us a recipe for producing a matroid from a linear subspace.

When ordered by inclusion, the flats of a matroid \underline{M} form a graded lattice. The rank of \underline{M} , denoted $\operatorname{rk}(\underline{M})$, is the length of any maximal chain in this poset. More generally, the rank of a flat F of \underline{M} is the length of any maximal chain of flats contained in F, and is denoted $\operatorname{rk}(F)$. The loops of \underline{M} are the elements of the minimal flat of \underline{M} . Call \underline{M} loopless if its minimum flat is empty.

If $F \subset E$ is a flat of \underline{M} , then we can form the **restriction** $\underline{M}|_F$ and **contraction** \underline{M}/F , matroids on F and E, respectively, with flats

 $\{G \subset F : G \text{ is a flat of } \underline{M}\}$ and $\{G \supset F : G \supset F \text{ is a flat of } \underline{M}\}.$

Remark 2.1 (Matroids and linear algebra). If \mathbb{K} is a field and $V \subset \mathbb{K}^E$ is a linear subspace, then the flats of V defined in Section 1.1 are the flats of a matroid \underline{M} . The rank of \underline{M} is dim V. Any matroid that arises in this manner is **realizable**, and V is its **realization**.

Let $\pi_F : \mathbb{K}^E \to \mathbb{K}^F$ be the coordinate projection. The restriction of \underline{M} to F is realized by $\pi_F(V) \subset \mathbb{K}^F$, and the contraction \underline{M}/F is realized by $V \cap \ker(\pi_F)$. The element $i \in E$ is a loop of \underline{M} if and only if $\pi_i(V) = \{0\}$.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field and $V \subset \mathbb{K}^E$. Recall (from Section 1.1) that the **matroid Schubert variety** Y_V associated to a linear subspace $V \subset \mathbb{K}^E$ is the Zariski closure of V in $(\mathbb{K} \cup \infty)^E = (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{K}})^E$. For each pair of flats $F \subset G$ of V, let $Y_{FG}^\circ := Y_V \cap (0^F \times (\mathbb{K}_{\neq 0})^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus G})$, and let Y_{FG} be the Zariski closure of Y_{FG}° .

Theorem 2.2. [PXY18, Section 7] Let \mathbb{K} be a field. Let $V \subset \mathbb{K}^E$ be a linear subspace, with associated matroid <u>M</u>.

- (i) The intersection $Y_V \cap (\mathbb{K}^F \times \infty^{E \setminus F})$ is nonempty if and only if F is a flat, in which case the intersection is equal to $\pi_F(V) \times \infty^{E \setminus F}$.
- (ii) If F is a flat, then $Y_V \cap ((\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{K}})^F \times \infty^{E \setminus F}) = Y_{\pi_F(V)} \times \infty^{E \setminus F}$.
- (iii) If F is a flat, then $Y_V \cap (0^F \times (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{K}})^{E \setminus F}) = Y_{V \cap \ker(\pi_F)}$.
- (iv) Y_{FG} is the disjoint union of all $Y^{\circ}_{F'G'}$ with $F \subset F' \subset G' \subset G$.

5

If *L* is the set of loops of *V*'s matroid, then $Y_V = 0^L \times Y_{\pi_{E \setminus L}(V)}$, so we lose little by assuming the matroid of *V* is loopless.

2.3. An oriented matroid M on a finite set E is the data of a collection of covectors $C \subset \{-, 0, +\}^E$ such that

- (i) $0^E \in \mathcal{C}$,
- (ii) C is closed under composition and negation
- (iii) If $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X(i) = -Y(i) \neq 0$, then there exists $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ such that Z(i) = 0 and $Z(j) = (X \circ Y)_j = (Y \circ X)_j$ for all j such that $X_j = Y_j$.

The above axioms imply the collection $\{X^0 : X \in C\}$ is the flats of a matroid \underline{M} , the **underlying matroid** of M. Flats and **loops** of an oriented matroid are those of its underlying matroid.

Ordering $\{-, 0, +\}$ by 0 < - and 0 < +, we induce a partial order on C. The poset $C \cup \{\hat{1}\}$, formed by adjoining a maximum to C, is a graded lattice. Maximal covectors are called **topes**.

Fix $A \subset E$. By negating in each covector the coordinates indexed by A, we obtain a new subset $\mathcal{C}' \subset \{-, 0, +\}^E$. In fact, \mathcal{C}' the covectors of an oriented matroid M', called a **reorientation** of M. Evidently, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are isomorphic as posets, and the underlying matroids of M and M' are equal.

Given $F \subset E$ a flat of an oriented matroid M on E, the **restriction** of M to F and **contraction** of M by F are the oriented matroids $M|_F$ and M/F defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(M|_F) := \{\pi_F(X) : X \in \mathcal{C}(M)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}(M/F) := \{X : X \in \mathcal{C}(M), F \subset X^0\}.$$

From this description, one sees that the topes of M/F are the covectors X with $X^0 = F$. The underlying matroids of $M|_F$ and M/F are $\underline{M}|_F$ and \underline{M}/F , respectively.

Remark 2.3 (Oriented matroids and linear algebra). The sign map is $s : \mathbb{R}^E \to \{-, 0, +\}^E$ defined by

$$s(v)_i = \begin{cases} -, & \pi_i(v) < 0, \\ 0, & \pi_i(v) = 0, \\ +, & \pi_i(v) > 0. \end{cases}$$

The sign map explains composition: if $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^E$, then $s(v) \circ s(w) = s(v + \epsilon w)$ for small $\epsilon > 0$. If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ is a linear subspace, then $\{s(v) : v \in V\}$ is the covectors of an oriented matroid. An oriented matroid M that arises in this way is called **realizable**, and V its **realization**. Reorientations of M are obtained by negating some of the coordinate functions on \mathbb{R}^E .

By intersecting the coordinate hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^E with V, we obtain a hyperplane arrangement in V. The topes of M correspond to the connected components of the arrangement complement. More generally, each region of the arrangement is the preimage under s of a covector of M. The poset of the regions' closures, ordered by containment, is isomorphic to the poset of covectors of M.

2.4. An acyclic flat¹ of an oriented matroid M is a flat F of M such that $0^F + E \setminus F$ is a covector of M. When ordered by containment, the acyclic flats form a lattice \mathcal{L} , called the Las Vergnas face lattice of M.

Proposition 2.4. Let F be a flat of an oriented matroid M.

- (i) $H \supset F$ is an acyclic flat of M/F if and only if H is an acyclic flat of M.
- (ii) If F is an acyclic flat, then $G \subset F$ is an acyclic flat of $M|_F$ if and only if G is an acyclic flat of M.

Proof.

(i) If $H \supset F$, then $0^H + E \setminus H$ is a covector of M if and only if it is a covector of M/F.

¹Acyclic flats may be called "positive flats" elsewhere in the literature, e.g. [ARW06; AKW04].

(ii) Suppose F is an acyclic flat. If $G \subset F$ is an acyclic flat of M, then $0^G + E \setminus G$ is a covector of M, so $0^G + F \setminus G$ is an acyclic flat of $M|_F$.

Conversely, suppose *G* is an acyclic flat of $M|_F$; in other words, there is a covector *Y* of *M* such that $Y^0 \supset G$ and $Y^+ \supset F \setminus G$. Since *F* is an acyclic flat, there is also a covector *X* of *M* with $X^0 = F$ and $X^+ = E \setminus F$. Their composition satisfies $(X \circ Y)^0 = F \cap G = G$ and

$$(X \circ Y)^+ = X^+ \cup (Y^+ \setminus X^-) \supset (E \setminus F) \cup ((F \setminus G) \setminus \emptyset) = E \setminus G,$$

so G is an acyclic flat of M.

When M is realized by $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$, one can check the acyclicity of a flat F using the equations of V.

Proposition 2.5. Let *M* be the oriented matroid of a linear subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$. A flat *F* is acyclic if and only if there is no linear function $f = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$ that vanishes on *V*, satisfies $\alpha_i \ge 0$ for all $i \in E \setminus F$, and has $\alpha_i > 0$ for at least one $i \in E \setminus F$.

Proof. If such an f exists, then $V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{E \setminus F}) = \emptyset$ because f is strictly positive on $0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{E \setminus F}$. The converse holds by [Bjö+99, Proposition 3.4.8(i) & (ii)], applied to M/F.

Example 2.6. Proposition 2.4(ii) can fail if F is not an acyclic flat. Let $E = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ be defined by $x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4 = 0$, and M the associated oriented matroid. The flats of M are E, and all subsets of E of size ≤ 2 . In particular, $F = \{1, 2\}$ is a flat of M, but is not an acyclic flat because the system

$$x_1 = x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4 = 0$$

has no solutions with $x_3, x_4 > 0$. For similar reasons, $G = \{1\}$ is a flat, but not an acyclic flat of M.

On the other hand, G is an acyclic flat of $M|_F$. This is because the point (0, 2, -1, -1), for example, is in V, so (0, +, -, -) is a covector of M, so (0, +) is a covector of $M|_F$.

Remark 2.7. If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $V \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ is a polyhedral cone. The face lattice of $V \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ is known as the **Edmonds-Mandel lattice** of M, and the opposite poset is the Las Vergnas face lattice of M.

A graded poset is thin if all of its length-two intervals have exactly four elements.

Theorem 2.8. [Bjö+99, Theoerem 4.1.14] The poset of covectors is thin. In particular, the Las Vergnas face lattice is thin.

3. Strata of \mathcal{Y}_V

Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ be a linear subspace with oriented matroid M. Let L be the set of loops of M. Recall from Section 1.3: the non-negative matroid Schubert variety \mathcal{Y}_V is the analytic closure of $V \cap (0^L \times \mathbb{R}^{E \setminus L}_{>0})$ in $(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})^n$. For each $F \subset G \subset E$, $\mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{FG} := \mathcal{Y}_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}^{G \setminus F}_{>0} \times \infty^{E \setminus G})$, and $\mathcal{Y}_{FG} := \overline{\mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{FG}}^{\mathrm{an}}$.²

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1(i) and (ii), which say that the subsets \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° indexed by acyclic flats form a stratification of \mathcal{Y}_{V} , and that the closure poset is isomorphic to the interval poset of the Las Vergnas face lattice of the oriented matroid of V.

Lemma 3.1. Let
$$V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$$
 be a linear subspace defining an oriented matroid M . If $F \subset G \subset E$ are flats of M , then
 $Y_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}^{G \setminus F}_{> 0} \times \infty^{E \setminus G}) = (\pi_G(V) \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}^{G \setminus F}_{> 0})) \times \infty^{E \setminus G}.$

In particular, $Y_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus G})$ is nonempty if and only if F is an acyclic flat of $M|_G$.

²Careful readers will have noticed that in Section 1.1, we defined \mathcal{Y}_V as the closure of $V \cap \mathbb{R}^E_{\geq 0}$. The two definitions agree because $V \cap \mathbb{R}^E_{\geq 0}$ is in the closure of $V \cap (0^L \times \mathbb{R}^{E \setminus L}_{\geq 0})$.

Proof. The equality follows from Theorem 2.2(ii). For the statement on non-emptiness, recall (from Section 2.3) that the oriented matroid of $\pi_G(V)$ is $M|_G$. Non-emptiness of $\pi_G(V) \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}^{G \setminus F}_{>0})$ is equivalent to $0^F \times +^{G \setminus F}$ being a covector of $M|_G$, in turn equivalent to acyclicity of F in $M|_G$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ be a linear subspace defining an oriented matroid M. Let $F \subset E$. If F is not an acyclic flat, then $\mathcal{Y}_V \cap (\mathbb{R}_{>0}^F \times \infty^{E \setminus F}) = \emptyset$.

Proof. If F is not a flat, then $\mathcal{Y}_V \cap (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^F \times \infty^{E \setminus F}) = \emptyset$ by Theorem 2.2(i). Otherwise, suppose F is a flat, but not an acyclic flat and let $w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^F \times \infty^{E \setminus F}$. By Proposition 2.5, there is a linear functional $f = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$ that vanishes on V and satisfies $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for all $i \notin F$, with at least one such α_i nonzero. When $N \gg 0$, f does not vanish at any point of $\prod_{i \in F} (w_i - \frac{1}{N}, w_i + \frac{1}{N}) \times \prod_{j \in E \setminus F} (N, \infty)$. Hence, the neighborhood $\prod_{i \in F} (w_i - \frac{1}{N}, w_i + \frac{1}{N}) \times \prod_{j \in E \setminus F} (N, \infty)$ of w does not intersect $V \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^E$, meaning that $w \notin \mathcal{Y}_V$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ be a linear space defining an oriented matroid M. If $F \subset E$ is an acyclic flat, then

$$Y_V \cap (\mathbb{R}^F_{>0} \times \infty^{E \setminus F}) = \mathcal{Y}_V \cap (\mathbb{R}^F_{>0} \times \infty^{E \setminus F}).$$

Proof. Let $w \in Y_V \cap (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^F \times \infty^{E \setminus F})$. By Theorem 2.2(i), there is $w' \in V$ such that $\pi_F(w') \times \infty^{E \setminus F} = w$. Since F is acyclic, there is also $u \in V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{E \setminus F})$. For large t > 0, $w' + tu \in V_{\geq 0}$, and $\lim_{t \to \infty} w' + tu = w$, so $w \in \overline{V \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^E}^n = \overline{V \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^E} = \mathcal{Y}_V$. This shows

$$Y_V \cap (\mathbb{R}^F_{>0} \times \infty^{E \setminus F}) \subset \mathcal{Y}_V \cap (\mathbb{R}^F_{>0} \times \infty^{E \setminus F}),$$

and the reverse inclusion is obvious, so the two sets are equal.

We are now ready to prove the first part of the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(i). If G is not an acyclic flat, then \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° is empty by Lemma 3.2. If G is an acyclic flat, but F is not, then F is not acyclic in $M|_G$ by (ii), so \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° is empty by Lemma 3.1.

Conversely, if both F and G are acyclic flats, then

$$\mathcal{Y}_{FG}^{\circ} = Y_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus F}) = \left(\pi_G(V) \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F})\right) \times \infty^{E \setminus G}$$

by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Consequently, \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° is the interior of a polyhedral cone of dimension $\operatorname{rk}(G) - \operatorname{rk}(F)$. Via the equalities

$$Y_{FG}^{\circ} = Y_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus G}) = \left(\pi_G(V) \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{G \setminus F})\right) \times \infty^{E \setminus G},$$

we see Y_{FG}° is the complement of a real hyperplane arrangement in $V \cap \{x_i = 0 : i \in F\}$. Since F and G are acyclic, $0^F + G \setminus F$ is a tope of the oriented matroid associated to this arrangement; the corresponding connected component of the arrangement complement is \mathcal{Y}_{FG}° .

The following two corollaries of Theorem 1.1(i) provide geometric interpretations for restriction and contraction at the level of totally non-negative matroid Schubert varieties. They closely resemble Theorem 2.2(i) and (iii).

Corollary 3.4. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ be a linear subspace defining an oriented matroid M. If $G \subset E$ is an acyclic flat of M, then

$$\mathcal{Y}_V \cap ((\mathbb{P}^1)^G \times \infty^{E \setminus G}) = \mathcal{Y}_{\pi_G(V)} \times \infty^{E \setminus G}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{Y}_V \cap ((\mathbb{P}^1)^G \times \infty^{E \setminus G})$ contains $(\pi_G(V) \cap \mathbb{R}^G_{>0}) \times \infty^{E \setminus G}$, the closure of which is $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_G(V)} \times \infty^{E \setminus G}$. This proves the " \supset " containment. For the reverse: by Theorem 1.1(i) the nonempty

strata of \mathcal{Y}_V are of the form $\mathcal{Y}_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus G})$, with $F \subset G$ acyclic flats of M. By Proposition 2.4(ii), F and G are also acyclic flats of $M|_G$, the oriented matroid represented by $\pi_G(V)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{Y}_{V} \cap (0^{F} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F} \times \infty^{E \setminus G}) = \left(\pi_{G}(V) \cap (0^{F} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F})\right) \times \infty^{E \setminus G} = \left(\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_{G}(V)} \cap (0^{F} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{G \setminus F})\right) \times \infty^{E \setminus G}$$

by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.1, which completes the proof.

A proof along the same lines shows:

Corollary 3.5. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$ be a linear subspace defining an oriented matroid M. If F is an acyclic flat, then $\mathcal{Y}_V \cap (0^F \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{E \setminus F}) = \mathcal{Y}_{V \cap \ker(\pi_F)}$.

Together, these corollaries yield a short proof of the main result's second part.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). By Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, \mathcal{Y}_{FG} is equal to $0^F \times \mathcal{Y}_{\pi_G(V \cap \ker(\pi_F))} \times \infty^{E \setminus G}$, in turn the closure of $0^F \times (\pi_G(V \cap \ker(\pi_F)) \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}^{G \setminus F}_{>0})) \times \infty^{E \setminus G}$. The latter set is equal to \mathcal{Y}°_{FG} . Strata of $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_G(V \cap \ker(\pi_F))}$ correspond to pairs $F' \subset G'$ of acyclic flats of $(M/F)|_G$. By Proposition 2.4, such $F' \subset G'$ are precisely the acyclic flats of M such that $[F', G'] \subset [F, G]$, as desired.

4. Topology of \mathcal{Y}_V

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1(iii), which says that \mathcal{Y}_V is a regular CW complex homeomorphic to a closed Euclidean ball. For basics on CW complexes, we refer the reader to [LW69].

4.1. Shellings and topology. A CW complex is **regular** if the closure of any of its cells is homeomorphic to a closed Euclidean ball. A *d*-complex is a finite regular CW complex with all maximal cells of dimension *d*. Maximal closed cells of a *d*-complex Δ are **facets**. Following [Bjö84] or [Bjö+99, Appendix 4.7], a **shelling** of Δ is an ordering of its facets (F_1, \ldots, F_m) such that the boundary complex of F_1 has a shelling, $F_j \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} F_i)$ is (d-1)-complex for $1 < j \le m$, and the boundary of F_j has a shelling in which the facets of $F_j \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} F_i)$ come first for $1 < j \le m$.

Example/Theorem 4.1. [BW83, Theorem 4.5] The boundary complex of any convex polytope is shellable.

A shellable complex satisfies the so-called "Property S" of [BW83]. It is equivalent to shellability for simplicial complexes.

Proposition 4.2. If (F_1, \ldots, F_m) is a shelling of a *d*-complex Δ , then for all i > j there exists k < i such that $F_i \cap F_j \subset F_k$ and $F_k \cap F_j$ has dimension d - 1.

Proof. If i > j then each cell of $F_i \cap F_j$ is contained in a cell G of Δ , maximal among those contained in $C_i := F_i \cap (F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_{i-1})$. Since G cannot be written as a union of its proper faces, it must be contained in some F_k with k < i. The dimension of G is d-1 because C_i is pure of dimension d-1.

The following result is our main topological tool.

Proposition 4.3. [Bjö84, Proposition 4.3] A shellable *d*-complex is homeomorphic to a closed Euclidean ball if each of its (d - 1)-cells is a face of at most two *d*-cells, and some (d - 1)-cell is contained in only one *d*-cell.

9

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1(iii). Let \mathcal{Y}_0 be the set of all points in \mathcal{Y}_V with at least one coordinate zero, and fix $w \in \mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset E}^\circ$. Define

$$\mu : (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \infty)^n \to [0, 1], \quad (y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto 1 - \exp(-\min\{y_i/w_i\})$$

The value $\mu(y)$ is the largest value of $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $y - \ln(1 - t)w$ has non-negative coordinates. The map

$$\psi: \mathcal{Y}_V \to \mathcal{Y}_0 \times [0,1]/(\mathcal{Y}_0 \times \{1\}), \quad y \mapsto (y + \ln(1-\mu(y))w, \mu(y))$$

is a (non-cellular) homeomorphism, with inverse $(x,t) \mapsto x - \ln(1-t)w$. To show \mathcal{Y} is a ball, it now suffices to show that \mathcal{Y}_0 is a ball. This follows from Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, whose proof is below. By induction, it is now proved that all closed strata of \mathcal{Y}_V are homeomorphic to closed balls, so \mathcal{Y}_V is a regular CW complex.

Lemma 4.4. $\partial \mathcal{Y}_V := \mathcal{Y}_V \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset,E}$ is a regular shellable CW complex in which all (d-1)-cells are contained in exactly two *d*-cells. The shelling can be chosen such that all the cells of \mathcal{Y}_0 come first, and there are (d-1)-cells of \mathcal{Y}_0 that are contained in only one *d*-cell of \mathcal{Y}_0 .

Proof. Let *M* be the oriented matroid of *V*, dim V = d + 1, and \mathcal{L} its Las Vergnas face lattice. We first check the containment assertions. The statement that every (d-1)-cell is contained in exactly two *d*-cells follows easily from the description of cells given by Theorem 1.1, combined with Theorem 2.8, which says that \mathcal{L} is thin.

The *d*-cells of \mathcal{Y}_0 are $\mathcal{Y}_{F,E}$ with *F* of rank 1. If *G* is a corank 1 acyclic flat containing *F*, then $\mathcal{Y}_{F,E} \supset \mathcal{Y}_{F,G}$, but no other *d*-cell of \mathcal{Y}_0 contains $\mathcal{Y}_{F,G}$.

Next, we check the shellability statements. The Las Vergnas face lattice of M is dual to the face poset of the polyhedral cone $V_{\geq 0}$; therefore, \mathcal{L}^{op} and \mathcal{L} are the face posets of convex polytopes P_M^{op} and P_M with facets in bijection with the rank 1 and corank 1 acyclic flats of M, respectively. By Example/Theorem 4.1, let (F_1, \ldots, F_s) and (G_1, \ldots, G_t) be shellings of P_M^{op} and P_M , respectively. We will show by induction on dthat $([F_1, E], \ldots, [F_s, E], [\emptyset, G_1], \ldots, [\emptyset, G_t])$ indexes a shelling of $\partial \mathcal{Y}_V$.

The statement holds when d = 1; suppose d > 1. The boundary of $\mathcal{Y}_{F_1,E} \cong \mathcal{Y}_{V \cap \{x_i=0:i \in F_1\}}$ is shellable by the induction hypothesis. For later cells, we break into two cases. First consider

$$C_j := \mathcal{Y}_{F_j,E} \cap (\cup_{i < j} \mathcal{Y}_{F_i,E}) = \cup_{i < j} \mathcal{Y}_{F_i \vee F_j,E}.$$

Since (F_1, \ldots, F_s) is a shelling of P_M^{op} , for each i < j, there is k such that $\mathcal{Y}_{F_i \vee F_k, E} \supset \mathcal{Y}_{F_i \vee F_k, E}$ and $F_i \vee F_k$ has rank 2 by Proposition 4.2. This shows C_j is a (d-1)-complex.

Let $P_M^{op}(F)$ be the face of P_M^{op} corresponding to an acyclic flat F in \mathcal{L}^{op} . By hypothesis, $P_M^{op}(F_j)$ has a shelling in which the faces $P_M^{op}(F_j \vee F_i)$, i < j and $\operatorname{rk}(F_j \vee F_i) = 2$ come first. The face poset of $P_M^{op}(F_j)$ is the same as that of P_{M/F_j}^{op} , the polytope associated to the oriented matroid of $V \cap \{x_j = 0\}$. Hence, by induction $\partial \mathcal{Y}_{V \cap \{x_j = 0\}} \cong \partial \mathcal{Y}_{F_j,E}$ has a shelling in which the (d-1)-cells of C_j come first.

We now consider

$$D_j := \mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset,G_j} \cap \left(\mathcal{Y}_0 \cup \left(\cup_{i < j} \mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset F_i} \right) \right) = \left(\cup_{F_k \subset G_j} \mathcal{Y}_{F_k,G_j} \right) \cup \left(\cup_{i < j} \mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset,G_i \cap G_j} \right)$$

All cells of the form \mathcal{Y}_{F_k,G_j} are dimension d-1, and $\bigcup_{i < j} \mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset,G_i \cap G_j}$ is a (d-1)-complex by Proposition 4.2, as above, so D_j is a (d-1)-complex. Observing that $\mathcal{Y}_{\emptyset,G_j} \cong \mathcal{Y}_{\pi_{G_i}(V)}$, shellability follows as above. \Box

Remark 4.5. Our proof relies on the fact that both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}^{op} are face lattices of polytopes, hence CL-shellable (see [BW83]). It is known that \mathcal{L} is CL-shellable even when M is not realizable [Bjö+99, Theorem 4.3.5], but remains open whether \mathcal{L}^{op} is.

Remark 4.6. A slightly different route to Theorem 1.1(iii): the **order complex** of a poset is the simplicial complex whose faces are chains in the poset, and a poset is **shellable** if its order complex is. By [Bjö+99, Theorem 4.3.5], \mathcal{L}^{op} is shellable, so \mathcal{L} is also shellable, so the interval poset of \mathcal{L} is shellable by [BW83, Theorem 8.5]. Every length 2 interval in the interval poset of \mathcal{L} has cardinality 4, so $\partial \mathcal{Y}_V$ is homeomorphic to a sphere by [DK74, Propositions 1.1, 1.2] and [LW69, Theorem III.1.7]. In fact, by [Bjö+99, Proposition 4.7.26], $\partial \mathcal{Y}_V$ is a PL sphere. The link of a vertex of a PL sphere is also a PL sphere; in particular, the equator $\mathcal{Y}_{\ominus} := \mathcal{Y}_0 \setminus V_{\geq 0}$ is a PL sphere because it is the link of $\mathcal{Y}_{E,E}$. The star of a point is a cone over its link, so \mathcal{Y}_0 is a cone over \mathcal{Y}_{\ominus} , so \mathcal{Y}_0 is a closed ball. The proof may now be completed as above.

5. Topology of Y_V

Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$. In this section, we will show that Y_V admits a regular cell decomposition.

We first record a consequence of Theorem 1.1. Let M be the oriented matroid of V, and $s : \mathbb{R}^E \to \{-, 0, +\}^E$ the sign map (see Remark 2.3). Fix a tope T of M. A flat is **relatively acyclic** in T if it is the zero set of a covector contained in T. Define ${}_T\mathcal{Y}_V := \overline{s^{-1}(T)}^{\operatorname{an}}$, the analytic closure of $s^{-1}(T)$ in $(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})^E$. For each pair of sets $F \subset G \subset E$, let

$${}_{T}\mathcal{Y}_{FG}^{\circ} := {}_{T}\mathcal{Y}_{V} \cap (0^{F} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{(G \setminus F) \cap T^{+}} \times \mathbb{R}_{<0}^{(G \setminus F) \cap T^{-}} \times \infty^{E \setminus G}).$$

Finally, set $_T\mathcal{Y}_V := \overline{_T\mathcal{Y}_V^{\circ}}^{\mathrm{an}}$.

Corollary 5.1. Fix a tope T of the oriented matroid of $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$. Then

- (i) ${}_T\mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{FG}$ is nonempty if and only if $F \subset G$ are acyclic flats in T. In this case, ${}_T\mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{FG}$ is a single connected component of Y°_{FG} , and is a semi-algebraic cell isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\operatorname{rk}(G)-\operatorname{rk}(F)}$.
- (ii) The closure ${}_T\mathcal{Y}_{FG}$ of a nonempty cell ${}_T\mathcal{Y}_{FG}^\circ$ decomposes as the disjoint union of cells ${}_T\mathcal{Y}_{F',G'}^\circ$ with $F \subset F' \subset G' \subset G$.
- (iii) This decomposition makes $_T \mathcal{Y}_V$ a shellable regular CW complex homeomorphic to a closed ball.

Proof. For $A \subset E$, let $-_A : (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})^E \to (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})^E$ be the map that negates the coordinates indexed by E. The result follows from Theorem 1.1 because $-_{T^-}(s^{-1}(T)) = -_{T^-}(V) \cap \mathbb{R}^E_{>0}$.

Remark 5.2. A tope in the matroid-theoretic setting is akin to a **pinning** in the Lie-theoretic setting, as defined in [Lus94]. Indeed, SL(2) has just one negative simple root. Choosing an isomorphism $y : \mathbb{R} \to U_{-\alpha}$ up to positive scalars in each factor of $SL(2)^n$ is the same as choosing which side of $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ will be regarded as positive, hence is the same as choosing a positive side for each hyperplane in $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ obtained by intersecting V with a coordinate hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^n .

The various subsets $_T \mathcal{Y}_V$ are not disjoint. The following statement characterizes their intersections.

Lemma 5.3. Let M be the oriented matroid of $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$. Define an equivalence relation on the set of all triples (F, G, T), with $F \subset G$ flats relatively acyclic in the tope T of M, by $(F, G, T) \sim (F', G', T')$ if and only if (F, G) = (F', G') and $\pi_{G \setminus F}(T) = \pi_{G \setminus F}(T')$. The intersection of ${}_T \mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{FG}$ and ${}_{T'} \mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{F'G'}$ is empty unless $(F, G, T) \sim (F', G', T')$, in which case ${}_T \mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{FG} = {}_{T'} \mathcal{Y}^{\circ}_{F'G'}$.

Proof. Reorienting, applying Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, then reverting to the original orientation, we see

(*)
$${}_{T}\mathcal{Y}_{FG}^{\circ} = (\pi_{G}(V) \cap (0^{F} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{T^{+} \cap (G \setminus F)} \times \mathbb{R}_{<0}^{T^{-} \cap (G \setminus F)})) \times \infty^{E \setminus G} \text{ and}$$
$${}_{T'}\mathcal{Y}_{F'G'}^{\circ} = (\pi_{G'}(V) \cap (0^{F'} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{T'^{+} \cap (G' \setminus F')} \times \mathbb{R}_{<0}^{T'^{-} \cap (G' \setminus F')})) \times \infty^{E \setminus G'}.$$

The result follows.

REFERENCES

For each pair of flats $F \subset G \subset E$ and tope T of $(M/F)|_G$, let Y_{FGT}° be the connected component of Y_{FG}° corresponding to the tope T. Explicitly, $Y_{FGT}^{\circ} := Y_V \cap (0^F \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{T^+} \times \mathbb{R}_{<0}^{T^-} \times \infty^{E \setminus G})$. As usual, let $Y_{FGT} := \overline{Y_{FGT}^{\circ}}^{\text{an}}$.

Lemma 5.4. The equivalence classes of ~ (defined as in Lemma 5.3) are in bijection with cells Y_{FGT}° . If [S, I, J] is the equivalence classes corresponding to Y_{FGT}° , then $Y_{FGT}^{\circ} = {}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{IJ}^{\circ}$. Explicitly, $Y_{FGT}^{\circ} = {}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{IJ}^{\circ}$ if and only if (F, G) = (I, J) and $\pi_{G \setminus F}(S) = \pi_{G \setminus F}(T)$.

Proof. Given ${}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{IJ}^{\circ}$, take F := I, G := J, and $T := \pi_{G}(X)$, where X is the unique covector contained in S with $X^{0} = F$. Evidently, Y_{FGT} is independent of the representative of [S, I, J].

For the inverse: let $F \subset G$ be flats of M and let T be a tope of $(M/F)|_G$. There are covectors \tilde{F}, \tilde{G} of M satisfying $\tilde{F}^0 = F$, $\pi_G(\tilde{F}) = T$, and $\tilde{G}^0 = G$. The composition $X := \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{F}$ then satisfies $\tilde{G} \leq X, X^0 = F$, and $\pi_G(X) = T$. Both F and G are relatively acyclic with respect to any tope $S \geq X$ of M, so there is an equivalence class [S, F, G]. This class is independent of S because $\pi_{G\setminus F}(S) = \pi_{G\setminus F}(T)$.

Under the bijection described above, we see that Y_{FGT} corresponds to [S, I, J] if and only if (F, G) = (I, J) and $\pi_{G \setminus F}(S) = \pi_{G \setminus F}(T)$. In this case, ${}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{IJ}^{\circ} = Y_{FGT}$ by Eq. (*).

Corollary 5.5. Let M be the oriented matroid of $V \subset \mathbb{R}^E$.

- (i) Y_{FGT} contains $Y_{F'G'T'}^{\circ}$ if and only if $F \subset F' \subset G' \subset G$ and there is a tope S of M satisfying: F, G, F', G'are all relatively acyclic in S, $\pi_{G \setminus F}(S) = \pi_{G \setminus F'}(T)$ and $\pi_{G' \setminus F'}(S) = \pi_{G' \setminus F'}(T')$.
- (ii) The cells $Y_{F,G,T}^{\circ}$, where $F \subset G$ run over flats of M and T runs over topes of $(M/F)|_G$, form a regular CW decomposition of Y_V .

Proof. We prove the second statement first. The set $\cup_{T T} \mathcal{Y}_V$ is closed in $(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})^E$ and contains V; therefore, it is equal to Y_V . Together with Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 5.1, this implies the collection $\{{}_S\mathcal{Y}^\circ_{IJ}\}_{I,J,S}$ is a regular cell decomposition of Y_V . The cells in this decomposition are in fact the sets Y°_{FGT} by Lemma 5.4, completing the proof of Corollary 5.5(ii).

We now prove the first statement. The closure of any cell is contained in some set ${}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{V}$. Hence, the closure of Y_{FGT}° contains $Y_{F'G'T'}^{\circ}$ if and only if there is a tope S of M such that $Y_{FGT}^{\circ} = {}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{FG}^{\circ}$, $Y_{F'G'T'}^{\circ} = {}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{F'G'}^{\circ}$, and ${}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{FG} \supset {}_{S}\mathcal{Y}_{F'G'}^{\circ}$. By Corollary 5.1 and Lemma 5.4, this is equivalent to the conditions specified by Corollary 5.5(i).

Remark 5.6. If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined by $x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0$, then Y_V has nontrivial first homology. This means Y_V is not a shellable cell complex, since a shellable *d*-complex always has the homotopy type of a wedge of *d*-spheres [Bjö84, Proposition 4.3].

References

- [AB16] Federico Ardila and Adam Boocher. "The closure of a linear space in a product of lines". In: J. *Algebraic Combin.* 43.1 (2016), pp. 199–235. ISSN: 0925-9899.
- [AKW04] Federico Ardila, Caroline J. Klivans, and Lauren K. Williams. "The positive Bergman complex of an oriented matroid". In: *Eur. J. Comb.* 27 (2004), pp. 577–591.
- [Ard21] Federico Ardila. The geometry of geometries: matroid theory, old and new. 2021. arXiv: 2111.08726 [math.CO].
- [ARW06] Federico Ardila, Vic Reiner, and Lauren Williams. "Bergman complexes, Coxeter arrangements, and graph associahedra". In: *Euro. J. Combinatorics* 27.4 (2006), pp. 577–591.
- [AT14] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Trnka. "The amplituhedron". In: Journal of High Energy Physics (2014).
- [Bjö+99] A. Björner, Las Vergnas M., B. Sturmfels, N. White, and G. Ziegler. Oriented matroids. 2nd ed. Vol. 46. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[Bjö84]	A Björner. "Posets, regular CW complexes, and Bruhat order". In: <i>Euro. J. Combinatorics</i> 5 (1984), pp. 7–16.
[Bra+20]	Tom Braden, June Huh, Jacob P. Matherne, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Botong Wang. "Singular Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries". In: <i>arXiv e-prints</i> (Oct. 2020). arXiv: 2010.06088
[BW83]	[math.CO]. Anders Björner and Michelle Wachs. "On lexicographically shellable posets". In: <i>Trans. of the</i> <i>AMS</i> 277.1 (1983).
[DK74]	Gopal Danaraj and Victor Klee. "Shellings of spheres and polytopes". In: <i>Duke Math. J.</i> 41 (1974), pp. 443–451.
[EPW16]	Ben Elias, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Max Wakefield. "The Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial of a ma- troid". In: <i>Advances in Mathematics</i> 299 (2016), pp. 36–70. ISSN: 0001-8708.
[FG06]	V. Fock and A. Goncharov. "Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory". In: <i>Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 103</i> (2006), pp. 1–211.
[FZ02]	S. Fomin and A. Zelevinksy. "Cluster algebras. I. Foundations". In: <i>J. Amer. Math. Soc.</i> 15.2 (2002), pp. 497–529.
[HW17]	June Huh and Botong Wang. "Enumeration of points, lines, planes, etc". In: <i>Acta Math.</i> 218.2 (2017), pp. 297–317. ISSN: 0001-5962.
[Iri83]	M. Iri. "Applications of Matroid Theory". In: <i>Mathematical Programming The State of the Art: Bonn</i> <i>1982</i> . Ed. by Achim Bachem, Bernhard Korte, and Martin Grötschel. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1983, pp. 158–201. ISBN: 978-3-642-68874-4.
[Kar68]	S. Karlin. Total positivity. Vol. 1. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1968.
[Kat14]	Eric Katz. Matroid theory for algebraic geometers. 2014. arXiv: 1409.3503 [math.AG].
[Lus94]	G. Lusztig. "Total positivity in reductive groups". In: <i>Lie theory and geometry</i> . Vol. 123. Progr. Math. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1994, pp. 531–568.
[LW69]	Albert Lundell and Stephen Weingram. <i>The topology of CW complexes</i> . New York: Litton Educational Publishing Inc., 1969.
[MR00]	Bethany Rose Marsh and Konstanze Rietsch. "The Intersection of Opposed Big Cells in The Real Flag Variety of Type G_2 ". In: <i>Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society</i> 85 (2000).
[Nak35]	T. Nakasawa. "Zur axiomatik der linearen abhängigkeit". In: <i>Science Reports of the Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku</i> 2.43 (1935).
[PS06]	Nicholas Proudfoot and David Speyer. "A broken circuit ring". In: <i>Beitraäge zue algebra and geometrie</i> 47.1 (2006), pp. 161–166.
[PXY18]	Nicholas Proudfoot, Yuan Xu, and Ben Young. "The Z-polynomial of a matroid". In: <i>Electronic J. Combin.</i> 25.1 (2018).
[Rec11]	AndrÁs Recski. "Engineering Applications of Matroids - A Survey". In: <i>Matroid Theory and its Applications</i> . Ed. by A. Barlotti. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 300–321. ISBN: 978-3-642-11110-5.
[RST20]	Zvi Rosen, Jessica Sidman, and Louis Theran. "Algebraic matroids in action". In: <i>The American Mathematical Monthly</i> 127.3 (2020), pp. 199–216. arXiv: 1809.00865 [math.CO].
[Sch30]	I. Schoenberg. "Über variationsvermindernde lineare Transformationen". In: <i>Math. Z.</i> 32.1 (1930), pp. 321–328.
[Sta11] [Ter02]	Richard P. Stanley. <i>Enumerative Combinatorics</i> . 2nd ed. Vol. 1. Cambridge University Press, 2011. Hiroaki Terao. "Algebras generated by reciprocals of linear forms". In: <i>Journal of Algebra</i> 2.250 (2002), pp. 549–558.

- [Whi35] H. Whitney. "On abstract properties of linear dependence". In: *Amer. J. Math.* 3 (1935), pp. 509–533.
- [Whi86] Neil White. *Theory of matroids*. Ed. by Gian-Carlo Rota. Vol. 26. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1986.

Department of Mathematics and New Cornerstone Science Laboratory, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

Email address: xuhuahe@hku.hk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—MADISON, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON WI 53706-1388, USA *Email address*: csimpson6@wisc.edu

Department of Mathematics and New Cornerstone Science Laboratory, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

Email address: kaitaoxie@connect.hku.hk